Nutr Hosp. 2016; 33(1):123-130 ISSN 0212-1611 - CODEN NUHOEQ S.V.R. 318

Nutrición

Hospitalaria



| Nut Hosp |
|--|
| la analisa da anti-tana da anti |
| <text><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></text> |

Trabajo Original

Otros

Effects of oat bran and nutrition counseling on the lipid and glucose profile and anthropometric parameters of hypercholesterolemia patients

Efectos del salvado de avena y del asesoramiento nutricional en el perfil de lípidos y glucosa y en los parámetros antropométricos de los pacientes con hipercolesterolemia

Simone Raimondi de Souza¹, Gláucia Maria Moraes de Oliveira¹, Ronir Raggio Luiz² and Glorimar Rosa^{1,3}

1Postaraduate Program of Medicine/Cardiology, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, 2Institute of Collective Health, Rio de Janeiro Federal University, 3Josué de Castro Institute of Nutrition. Federal University of Rio de Janeiro. Brazil

Abstract

Background: In order to prevent chronic, non communicable disease, it is essential that lifestyle is modified to include a diet high in fiber.

Aim: To assess the effect oat bran (OB) in conjunction with nutrition counseling (NC) have on lipid and glucose profile, anthropometric parameters, quality of diet, and ingestion of ultraprocessed foods (UPF) and additives in hypercholesterolemia sufferers.

Method: This was a 90-day, double-blind, placebo-controlled, block-randomized trial undertaken on 132 men and women with LDL-c ≥ 130 mg/ dL. The participants were sorted into two groups: OB Group (OBG) and Placebo Group (PLG), and were given NC and 40g of either OB or rice flour, respectively. Lipid and glucose profile were assessed, as were the anthropometric data, guality of diet (Diet Quality Index revised for the Brazilian population - DQI-R) and whether or not UPF or additives were consumed.

Results: Both groups showed a significant decrease in anthropometric parameters and blood pressure, as well as a significant reduction in total and LDL cholesterol. There was also an improvement in DQI-R in both groups and a decrease in consumption of UPF. Blood sugar, HOMA-IR and QUICKI values were found to be significantly lower only in the OBG.

Conclusion: Our findings in lipid profile and anthropometric parameters signify that NC has a beneficial effect, which is attributable to the improved quality of diet and reduced consumption of UPF. Daily consumption of 40 g of OB was found to be of additional benefit, in decreasing insulin-resistance parameters.

Resumen

Antecedentes: con el fin de prevenir la enfermedad crónica, no transmisible, es esencial que el estilo de vida se modifique para incluir una dieta alta en fibra.

Objetivo: evaluar el efecto de la harina de avena (DE) en conjunto con consejos de nutrición (NC) sobre el perfil lipídico y glucémico, los parámetros antropométricos, la calidad de la dieta, y la ingestión de alimentos ultraprocesadas (UPF) y aditivos en los enfermos de hipercolesterolemia.

Método: se realizó un ensayo de 90 días, doble ciego, controlado con placebo, aleatorizado realizado en 132 hombres y mujeres con c-LDL > 130 mg / dl. Los participantes fueron clasificados en dos grupos: DE Group (OBG) y el grupo placebo (PLG), y se les dio NC y 40 g de DE o de harina de arroz, respectivamente. Se evaluó el perfil lipídico y la glucemia, así como los datos antropométricos, la calidad de la dieta (Índice de Calidad de la dieta revisada para la población brasileña ICD-R) y si se consumieron UPF o aditivos.

Resultados: ambos grupos mostraron una disminución significativa en los parámetros antropométricos y la presión arterial, así como una reducción significativa en el colesterol total y LDL. También hubo una mejora en la ICD-R en ambos grupos y una disminución en el consumo de la UPF. La glucemia, HOMA-IR, y QUICKI fueron significativas en inferiores sólo en el grupo que consumió salvado de avena.

Conclusión: nuestros hallazgos sobre las modificaciones en el perfil lipídico y en los parámetros antropométricos significan que los consejos nutricionales tienen un efecto beneficioso, que es atribuible a la mejoría en la calidad de la dieta y al menor consumo de alimentos ultraprocesados. El consumo diario de 40 q de salvado de avena proporciona un beneficio adicional, al disminuir los parámetros de resistencia insulínica.

Received: 27/10/15 Accepted: 12/11/15

de Souza SR, de Oliveira GMM, Luiz RR, Rosa G. Effects of oat bran and nutrition counseling on the lipid and glucose profile and anthropometric parameters of hypercholesterolemia patients. Nutr Hosp 2016;33:123-130

Correspondence:

Glorimar Rosa. Departamento de Nutrição e Dietética. Instituto de Nutrição Josué de Castro da Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro. Av. Carlos Chagas Filho. 373. Edifício do Centro de Ciências da Saúde, Bloco J/2º andar. Cidade Universitária. CEP: 21941-902. Rio de Janeiro, Brasil

e-mail: glorimar@nutricao.ufrj.br

Palabras clave:

Key words:

Oat bran. Beta-glucan.

Nutrition counseling.

Hypercholesterolemia.

Dyslipidemia. Chronic.

Non communicable

diseases

Harina de avena. Beta-glucano. Asesoramiento nutricional. Hipercolesterolemia. Dislipidemia. Enfermedades crónicas no transmisibles

INTRODUCTION

High serum cholesterol levels have been shown to cause approximately 4.4 million deaths per year worldwide (1). There are numerous studies demonstrating a strong relationship between dyslipidemia and atherosclerotic vascular disease (2-6). Research into both primary as well as secondary prevention sustains this link to increased cardiovascular risk, highlighting the essential role that lifestyle change plays in non-pharmacological treatment of atherosclerotic disease, especially in the long term (2-6).

In the prevention of chronic, non communicable disease, it is vital to create an environment conducive to health and health-ful choices (2). Research in primary and secondary prevention shows that in dyslipidemia sufferers nutrition counseling inhibits the modulation of mechanisms that regulate lipid and glucose metabolism (7,8).

Oat (*Avena sativa L.*) contains beta-glucans, soluble fibers that may act to inhibit the absorption of fats and carbohydrates. Although the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and ANVI-SA (Brazil's health watchdog) consider a daily intake of 3 g of beta-glucans to be sufficient to curtail cholesterol absorption, clinical trials have provided controversial results (11-13), which compels further investigation.

The main objective of our study is to assess the effect oat flour consumption coupled with nutrition counseling have on the lipid and glucose profile, anthropometric parameters, quality of diet and the ingestion of processed foods and additives in hypercholesterolemia sufferers.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee monitoring studies involving human beings at the Aloysio de Castro State Cardiology Institute (IECAC) –ruling no. 47305. All the participants that agreed to take part in the research signed a free and informed consent form (FICF).

The sample calculation was based on a pilot study involving 44 individuals (14 men, 30 women), using Openepi software version 3.0 (Open Source Epidemiological Statistics for Public Health, available at www.openepi.com), with a 95% (α) confidence interval, the power of 80%, and a 1:1 size ratio between the sample groups. We took into account differences between mean (intervention *vs.* placebo) decrease in LDL-c, of 30 mg/dl x 15 mg/dL (absolute values), with variability (SD) of 30. The resulting number was 63 individuals for each group.

We performed a 90-day, double-blind, placebo-controlled block-randomized trial on men and women over 20 years of age whom we found at the Aloysio de Castro State Cardiology Institute. Criteria for inclusion: LDL-c \geq 130 mg/dL, either taking or not taking lipid-lowering medication. Criteria for exclusion: water restrictions, use of dietary-fiber supplements, or pregnancy or lactation. We selected 227 individuals of whom 134 met the inclusion criteria. The screening and inclusion phase took place between October 2012 and January 2014.

The included individuals were sorted into two groups: Oat Bran Group (OBG) and Placebo Group (PLG). The nutrition counseling they were given was based on *Dez Passos para uma Alimentação Saudável do Ministério da Saúde* (14) (Ten Steps to a Healthy Diet published by the Ministry of Health). During each appointment individuals were given a box containing 30 daily portions of a morning mixture, comprising either fat-free powdered milk, sucralose-based artificial coloring and 40 g of oat bran (OBG) containing the equivalent of 3 g of beta-glucans, or 40 g of corn starch and rice flour (PLG), with instructions to consume the preparation as porridge in the morning. Each participant was monitored for 90 days through monthly visits.

The anthropometric data gathered: body mass (BM) and height, body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC) (15) and neck perimeter (NP) (16), blood pressure (BP) (17), food frequency questionnaire (FFQ), and 24-hour recall (24HR). A 12-hour fast preceded testing for total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG) (18), high-density lipoprotein (HDL-c) and low-density lipoprotein (LDL-c) (20), fasting blood sugar (FBS) (21), fasting insulin (FIN) and insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) (22), with insulin resistance defined as being a HOMA value > 2.71 (23), insulin-sensitivity index (QUICKI) (24).

Quality of diet was assessed through the 24HR throughout the entire intervention by using the Food Processor® software version 7.2 (25), the Nutritional Composition Table for Foods Consumed in Brazil (26), the Table for Assessing Dietary Intake in Home Food Proportions (27), and Dietary Reference Intakes (28). We applied the Diet Quality Index revised for the Brazilian population (DQI-R) (29), with a cutoff point of 75 percentile (75 p), taking as the baseline the points the DQI-R attributed to all the 24HRs at basal time (t0). DQI-R values greater than or equal to 75 p were deemed "adequate standard diet", while under 75 p was an "inadequate standard diet".

The assessment of ultraprocessed foods and additives was performed by way of 24HR, with the consumption of such foods as chocolate, margarine, cookies, whole milk, foods with fillings, soft drinks, candies, added sugar, instant noodles, vegetable oil, sodium and processed juices quantified before and after the nutritional intervention.

Exploratory analysis of the data was done by calculating the measures of central tendency and amplitude (median and interquartile range). We assessed the normality of the variables using the Komolgorov Smirnoff method. As most of the variables were not of normal distribution, we adopted the non-parametric Mann-Whitney and Wilcoxon tests. We used the SPSS software package (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) version 20.0. The level of statistical significance we adopted was 5% (p < 0.05).

RESULTS

One hundred and thirty-two participants completed the study, 66 in each group. Their overall characteristics are presented in table I. We found that there was no difference between the groups, testament to the effectiveness of the randomization. Around half the patients had at least one risk factor and around a fifth had suffered some sort of cardiovascular event. Around 40% used medication to treat high blood pressure, and 15% of the OBG and 17% of the PLG were taking hypolipidemic medication, without properly controlling LDL-c.

Table II presents the variation in anthropometric parameters and blood pressure over the course of the study. We found that

Table I. General and clinical characteristics of the study group

| Characteristics | OBG | PLG | | |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|
| Number of participants (n) | 66 (50%) | 66 (50%) | | |
| Age (years) | 55.42 ± 10.15 | 56.09 ± 11.10 | | |
| Women (n) | 40 (30%) | 48 (36%) | | |
| Below 60 years (n) | 42 (32%) | 41 (31%) | | |
| Years os schooling | | | | |
| Less than eight years (n) | 22 (17%) | 17 (13%) | | |
| Between eight and eleven (n) | 38 (29%) | 32 (24%) | | |
| Older than eleven (n) | 06 (5%) | 17 (13%) | | |
| Alcohol consumption | | | | |
| Does not consume (n) | 47 (36%) | 46 (35%) | | |
| Daily consumption (n) | 03 (2%) | 0 (0%) | | |
| Weekly consumption (n) | 09 (6%) | 10 (7%) | | |
| Casual consumption (n) | 07 (5%) | 10 (7%) | | |
| Smoking | | | | |
| No smoking (n) | 38 (29%) | 45 (34%) | | |
| Smoker (n) | 05 (4%) | 0 (0%) | | |
| Former smoker (n) | 23 (18%) | 21 (16%) | | |
| Medical history | | | | |
| Hypertensive (n) | 53 (40%) | 54 (41%) | | |
| Diabetics (n) | 13 (10%) | 09 (7%) | | |
| In use of lipid-lowering (n) | 20 (15%) | 23 (17%) | | |
| Post AMI (n) | 23 (17%) | 29 (22%) | | |
| Post-angioplasty (n) | 14 (11%) | 20 (15%) | | |
| Post-revascularization (n) | 25 (19%) | 21 (16%) | | |
| Stable angina (n) | 11 (8%) | 14 (11%) | | |

OBG: Oat bran group; PLG: Placebo group; AMI: Acute myocardial infarction. Results expressed as frequency (n) and percentage out of the total number of study participants, except for age which is expressed as mean \pm standard deviation.

[Nutr Hosp 2016;33(1):123-130]

both groups underwent a significant decrease in these variables by the end of 90 days of nutrition counseling.

Table III presents the variation between laboratory parameters over the course of the study. Both groups showed a significant decrease in total cholesterol and LDL-c. The HOMA-IR and QUICKI glucose values showed a significant decrease only in the group that was given the oat flour intervention.

Table IV presents the nutritional data over the course of the study and it shows that both groups reduced their carbohydrate consumption and achieved a normal distribution of macronutrients. However, only the OBG saw a significant increase in dietary fiber consumption.

In our diet-quality analysis, classified by DQI-R, we found the value 80.57 in 75 p. At to 27% of the OBG participants were found to have adequate eating habits, with a score \geq 80.57. In the PLG, 23% practiced an adequate diet. By the end of the study, the percentages increased significantly to 88% and 89% in the OBG and PLG, respectively.

Table V presents anthropometric, biochemical and blood pressure data from the pre- and post-intervention periods, as related to quality of diet. The OBG and PLG were subdivided into DQI-R < p75 *versus* DQI-R \geq p75 categories. We found that even the individuals who began the study with adequate eating habits improved their score. By the end of the study both subgroups achieved practically the same DQI-R. OBG and PLG participants were found to eat a low quantity of processed food at t0, which lowered even more once both the OBG and PLG had undergone 90 days of nutritional intervention.

DISCUSSION

Our findings from the lipid profile and anthropometric parameters show that nutrition counseling has a beneficial effect that can be attributed to the improvement in diet quality and the decreased consumption of ultraprocessed foods in our study. Nutrition intervention at a population level is shown to be beneficial over time, especially when the lifestyle changes proposed are followed, both in primary and secondary prevention (30). These measures seem to have a greater effect in developing nations where large segments of the population are low-income. However, it is necessary to involve society and health care professionals in order to see that the strategies being proposed are carried out step by step (31).

Karmally et al. (32) compared the effects of oat flour consumption (3 g of beta-glucans) with corn-based cereal lacking in soluble fiber in 152 individuals with hypercholesterolemia for six weeks. They noted a drop in TC and LDL-c of 4.5% and 5.3%, with no decrease in BM. Chen et al. (33) assessed 102 individuals with hypercholesterolemia taking 8g of beta-glucans over 90 days, with no significant results in BM, WC, TC or LDL-C. Maki et al. (11) conducted a study on 144 overweight or obese adults with LDL-c \geq 130 mg/dL and taking 3 g beta-glucans and undergoing a BM-loss program over a period of 12 weeks. They found a significant decrease in TC, LDL-c and not in HDL-c in the intervention group, with minor decreases in BM.

| | tO | | t30 | | t60 | | t90 | |
|-------------|--------|---------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|---------------------|
| | Median | Interquartile range |
| BM (kg) | | 1 | | | | | | |
| OBG | 76.5 | (66.8-88.5) | 74.5* | (65.5-86.5) | 74* | (66.3-87.3) | 73* | (64.8-87.3) |
| PLG | 74 | (66.5-85) | 74 | (65-84) | 72* | (65-84) | 72* | (64-84.5) |
| BMI (kg/m²) | | • | | | | · | | |
| OBG | 28.5 | (25.8-32.9) | 27.8* | (25.8-32.2) | 27.3* | (25.4-31.7) | 27.2* | (24.9-31.8) |
| PLG | 29.4 | (27-33.4) | 28.7* | (26.5-33) | 28.6* | (26-32.7) | 28.2* | (25.6-32.3) |
| WP (cm) | | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 97.5 | (88-108) | 96* | (86.8-105.3) | 94* | (86-104.3) | 93* | (86-103) |
| PLG | 97 | (89.5-106) | 94*# | (87-103) | 92*# | (86-101) | 92* | (83-101) |
| NP (cm) | | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 38 | (36-40) | 37* | (34.8-40) | 36.5* | (34.8-40) | 36* | (34-39.3) |
| PLG | 38 | (34-39) | 36* | (34-38) | 36* | (34-38) | 36* | (34-38) |
| SBP (mmHg) | | | | | | ` | | |
| OBG | 120 | (110-130) | 110* | (110-120) | 110* | (110-120) | 110* | (100-120) |
| PLG | 120 | (115-130) | 120 | (110-120) | 110* | (105-120) | 110* | (100-120) |
| DBP (mmHg) | | · | | · | | · | | · |
| OBG | 80 | (70-90) | 80 | (70-80) | 70* | (70-80) | 70* | (70-80) |
| PLG | 80 | (80-85) | 80 | (70-80) | 80 | (70-80) | 70* | (70-80) |

Table II. Anthropometric data and blood pressure during the study

OBG: Oat bran group; PLG: Placebo group; t0: Start of the study; t30: First consultation; t60: Second consultation; t90: Third consultation; t: End of the study; BM: Body mass; BMI: Body mass index; WC: Waist circumference; NP: Neck perimeter; SBP: Systolic blood pressure; DBP: Diastolic blood pressure. Results are expressed as median and interquartile range;*: Statistically significant reduction within each group relative to t0 (p < 0.05) by Wilcoxon test; #: Statistically significant difference (p < 0.05) when comparing the reductions achieved between groups, the Mann-Whitney test (U).

Charlton et al. (34) assessed the added effects that 1.5 g or 3 g of beta-glucans has on nutrition counseling in 87 adults with hypercholesterolemia over six weeks. They noted a significant drop in TC, LDL-c, BM and BP in both groups, with no difference between them, corroborating the results of this study. Zhang et al. (35) assessed the effect 3.6 g of beta-glucans coupled with nutrition counseling had on 166 adult hypercholesterolemia sufferers. They noted a significant decrease in TC, LDL-c and WC only in the beta-glucan group, a significant decrease in HDL-c in the control group and no significant changes in the other experimental parameters, BP or BM. The results from this study diverge from those of Charlton et al. (34) and Zhang et al. (35).

A recent systematic revision of the use of beta-glucans for lowering blood sugar and lipids in diabetic patients showed benefits stemming both from low and high doses over prolonged periods of use. The authors stress that there is a dearth of longitudinal studies where the beneficial effects can be better evaluated (36). A possible mechanism to explain this effect could be that the gelatinous layer created by the beta-glucans prevents the enterocytes from absorbing glucose (37). There are also reports of a decrease in insulin resistance in obese women who ingest high doses of beta-glucans (38). These results are similar to the results of this study, which present a significant drop in serum glucose, HOMA-IR and QUICKI, suggesting a decrease in insulin resistance in dyslipidemia sufferers. However, these findings were obtained through much higher doses than the ones previously described (38).

The inclusion of patients both in primary and secondary prevention may have masked the effect OF had on lipid profile due to 20% of the sample using of hypolipidemic medication. However, all the patients involved had LDL-c \geq 130 mg/dL, suggesting a

| | | t0 | | t30 | t60 | | t90 | | |
|----------------|--------|---------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|---------------------|--|
| | Median | Interquartile range | |
| CT (mg/dL) | | | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 238 | (214-262) | 202* | (184-246) | 216* | (191-248) | 221* | (200-249) | |
| PLG | 233 | (219-250) | 205* | (173-231) | 210* | (185-236) | 224* | (192-237) | |
| LDL-c (mg/dL) | | | | | | | - | | |
| OBG | 155 | (138-174) | 133* | (113-154) | 137* | (119-160) | 143* | (121-161) | |
| PLG | 152 | (138-169) | 131* | (108-151) | 134* | (116-151) | 140* | (116-157) | |
| HDL-c (mg/dL) | | | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 47 | (38-57) | 46.5 | (37-50.3) | 46 | (38-54.3) | 46.5 | (40.8-55.5) | |
| PLG | 48 | (42-57) | 45* | (39-52.5) | 47 | (40-55.5) | 48 | (41-56) | |
| No HDL (mg/dL) |) | | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 191 | (166-219) | 160* | (142-195) | 167* | (144-198) | 172* | (151-191) | |
| PLG | 179 | (164-202) | 158* | (131-183) | 158* | (136-189) | 165* | (141-193) | |
| TG (mg/dL) | | | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 147 | (106-232) | 121*# | (93-178) | 136.5 | (95-194) | 138.5 | (100-198) | |
| PLG | 126 | (98.5-166.5) | 130 | (87-170) | 118 | (88.5-160.5) | 127 | (99-183) | |
| GLI (mg/dL) | | | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 99.5 | (92-112) | 95* | (89-107) | 93.5* | (90-110) | 95* | (90-107) | |
| PLG | 95 | (88-103) | 94 | (87-102.5) | 94 | (85.5-103) | 92 | (88-103.5) | |
| INS (µU/dL) | | | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 7 | (4.8-11.3) | 5.5* | (4-9) | 6 | (4-10.3) | 6 | (4-9) | |
| PLG | 7 | (5-10.5) | 6 | (4-9.5) | 6 | (4-9) | 6 | (4-10) | |
| HOMA-IR | | | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 1.95 | (1.1-3.27) | 1.27*# | (0.94-2.5) | 1.45* | (0.9-2.7) | 1.42* | (0.9-2.6) | |
| PLG | 1.7 | (1.17-2.37) | 1.38 | (0.92-2.6) | 1.43 | (1.06-2.23) | 1.46 | (0.94-2.5) | |
| QUICKI | | | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 0.34 | (0.32-0.38) | 0.37* | (0.33-0.39) | 0.36* | (0.33-0.39) | 0.36* | (0.33-0.39) | |
| PLG | 0.35 | (0.34-0.37) | 0.36 | (0.33-0.39) | 0.36 | (0.34-0.38) | 0.36 | (0.33-0.39) | |

Table III. Biochemical data during the study

OBG: Oat bran group; PLG: Placebo group; to: Start of the study; t30: First consultation; t60: Second consultation; t90: Third consultation-end of the study; TC: Total cholesterol; LDL-c: Cholesterol bound to low density lipoprotein; HDL-c: Cholesterol attached to high-density lipoprotein; Non-HDL: Lipoprotein sum except HDL-c; TG: Triglycerides; GLI: Fasting plasma glucose; INS: Fasting insulin; HOMA-IR: Homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance; QUICKI: Checking quantitative index of insulin sensitivity. Results are expressed as median and interquartile range;*: Statistically significant reduction within each group relative to t0 (p < 0.05) by Wilcoxon test; #: Statistically significant difference (p < 0.05) when comparing the reductions achieved between groups, the Mann-Whitney test (U).

lack of control, even while employing pharmacological measures. The same reasoning applies to 10% of the diabetic patients taking oral hypoglycemic agents. When patients taking hypolipidemic and hypoglycemic drugs were excluded from the sample group, results were not affected.

CONCLUSION

The findings pertaining to lipid profile and anthropometric parameters show that NC has a beneficial effect attributable to the improved quality of diet and decreased consumption of UPF.

| | | Т0 | | t30 | t60 | | t90 | |
|------------------------|---------|---|--------|---|---------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|
| | Median | Interquartile range | Median | Interquartile range | Median | Interquartile range | Median | Interquartile range |
| VET t (kcal) | | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 1,973 | (1,758-2,365) | 1,958 | (1,746-2,352) | 1,961 | (1,739-2,356) | 1,959 | (1,741-2,338) |
| PLG | 1,877 | (1,731-2,158) | 1,872 | (1,720-2,142) | 1,865 | (1,707-2,088) | 1,879 | (1,702-2,115) |
| VET c (kcal) | | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 2,026 | (1,748-2,538) | 1,700* | (1,414-2,009) | 1,795* | (1,534-2,101) | 1,890* | (1,539-2,261) |
| PLG | 2,152 | (1,796-2,659) | 1,706* | (1,348-1,935) | 1,738* | (1,318-2,249) | 1,695* | (1,434-2,036) |
| PTN (kcal) | | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 445 | (339-599) | 407* | (302-521) | 419 | (319-603) | 453 | (368-579) |
| PLG | 446 | (344-537) | 428 | (277-532) | 389 | (295-581) | 383*# | (299-481) |
| CHO (kcal) | | 1 | | | | 1 | | |
| OBG | 1,135 | (970-1,331) | 909* | (749-1,146) | 993* | (752-1,220) | 980* | (868-1,320) |
| PLG | 1,193 | (947-1,404) | 899* | (734-1,057) | 909* | (702-1,155) | 962* | (779-1,094) |
| LIP (kcal) | | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 446 | (341-745) | 308* | (207-489) | 297* | (226-472) | 329* | (228-469) |
| PLG | 475 | (308-773) | 298* | (187-477) | 288* | (191-492) | 312* | (200-519) |
| lotal fibers (g |) | (10.0.00.5) | 00.7** | (00.0.00.7) | 0.1.0** | (07.0.40) | 04.4** | (00.1.10) |
| OBG | 22.3 | (13.3-30.5) | 29.7** | (23.3-36.7) | 31.2** | (27.2-43) | 34.1** | (29.1-43) |
| PLG Colubla fibara | 21.7 | (13.9-29) | 19.9 | (14.7-28.6) | 22 | (16.9-29.5) | 22.3 | (15.9-30.7) |
| Soluble libers | (9) | (0.47.0) | 10.1*# | (9.0.12.5) | 11 0*# | (9.0.15) | 11 //*# | (0, 0, 1, 4, 5) |
| | 4.0 | (2.47-0) | 5.2 | (0.9-12.3) | 52 | (0.9-13) | 51 | (9.2-14.3) |
| I LU Insoluble fibe | rs(a) | (2.3-0.0) | 0.2 | (0.0-7.0) | 0.0 | (0.7-7.1) | 0.1 | (0.0-7.2) |
| ORG | 122 | (7 1-18 6) | 12.9 | (10.3-18.5) | 15 7*# | (10.9-21.3) | 15.6*# | (11 2-22 1) |
| PLG | 11.8 | (7.1-16.1) | 11.3 | (6.6-16.4) | 10.3 | (7 2-13) | 11.9 | (7.2-16.8) |
| Riboflavin (m |) (r | (7.11 10.17) | 1110 | | 10.0 | (112 10) | 1110 | (112 1010) |
| OBG | 1.64 | (1.13-2.1) | 1.57 | (1.31-1.88) | 1.65 | (1.4-2.1) | 1.67 | (1.3-2.1) |
| PLG | 1.61 | (1.17-2.29) | 1.43*# | (1.24-1.81) | 1.47*# | (1.21-1.84) | 1.58 | (1.25-1.85) |
| Niacin (mg) | 1 | , | | , | | | | |
| OBG | 26.9 | (18.1-35.2) | 18.2*# | (13-31.7) | 21.9*# | (12.9-33) | 21.3*# | (14-34) |
| PLG | 25.8 | (20.6-32) | 24.4 | (16.7-28.4) | 29.9* | (17.1-48.9) | 22 | (15.1-37.8) |
| Calcium (mg) | | | | | | • | | |
| OBG | 592 | (306-713) | 677* | (568-886) | 753* | (597-937) | 697* | (587-958) |
| PLG | 478 | (279-603) | 783* | (625-960) | 723* | (604-997) | 902*# | (673-1091) |
| Magnesium (i | ng) | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 301 | (210-393) | 334*# | (295-426) | 377*# | (310-481) | 383*# | (309-476) |
| PLG | 265 | (226-360) | 262 | (215-343) | 270 | (206-349) | 250 | (210-347) |
| Potassium (m | g) | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 2,503 | (1,930-3,343) | 3,110* | (2,526-3,636) | 3,387* | (2,893-4,087) | 3,408* | (2,926-4,294) |
| PLG | 2,479 | (1,880-3,170) | 2,940* | (2,414-3,401) | 3,052* | (2,604-4,010) | 2,951* | (2478-3,761) |
| Sodium (mg) | | | | | | 1 | | |
| OBG | 1,776 | (1,291-2,647) | 1,146* | (729-1,496) | 1,155* | (912-1,573) | 1,101* | (738-1,816) |
| PLG | 1,747 | (1,137-2,510) | 1,186* | (843-1,632) | 1,032* | (744-1,440) | 1,173* | (783-1,692) |
| Catteine (mg) | <u></u> | | 0.1.1 | (0.50.0) | 00.01 | (0.50.1) | 44.00 | |
| OBG | 64.7 | (58.1-123.17) | 24.1* | (0-58.1) | 23.2* | (0-58.1) | 11.6* | (0-58.1) |
| PLG | 103.5 | (55.6-144.5) | 29.1* | (0-58.1) | 11.62* | (0-58.1) | 29.05* | (0-58.1) |

Table IV. Consumption of nutrients, dietary fibers, caffeine and micronutrients during the study

OBG: Oat bran group; PLG: Placebo group; t0: First consultation-baseline; t30: First return visit; t60: Second return visit; t90: Third return visit-study end; TEV t: Theoretic total energy value; VET c: Total energy consumed; Ptn: Protein; Cho: Carbohydrates; Lip: Lipids; kcal: kilocalories. Results are expressed as median and interquartile range;*: Statistically significant reduction within each group relative to t0 (p < 0.05) by Wilcoxon test; #: Statistically significant difference in comparing the parameters observed between the groups, the Mann-Whitney test (U).

| | t0 | | | | t90 | | | |
|---------------------|------------|---------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|--------|---------------------|
| | D | QI-R < p75 | D | $QI-R \ge p75$ | D | QI-R < p75 | D | $QI-R \ge p75$ |
| | Median | Interquartile range | Median | Interquartile range | Median | Interquartile range | Median | Interguartile range |
| DQI-R | | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 70.9 | (63.84-75.71) | 84.7 | (83.3-87.5) | 89.8* | (89.9-92.9) | 89.8* | (88.4-95.5) |
| PLG | 71.9 | (67.55-76.07) | 83.4 | (81.95-83.92) | 88.7* | (84.18-91.2) | 89.6* | (87.74-94.4) |
| MC (kg) | | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 77 | (68-94.5) | 76 | (63.5-85) | 73* | (66-90) | 74* | (60.5-83.5) |
| PLG | 77 | (67-93) | 71 | (65-78) | 75* | (65-86) | 69* | (60-77) |
| IMC (kg/m²) | | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 29.6 | (26.3-33.4) | 27.3 | (24.6-30.9) | 28.8* | (25.4-32.7) | 26.1* | (24.03-30.2) |
| PLG | 30.2 | (27.8-35) | 27.8 | (24.8-30.6) | 28.7* | (26.4-32.9) | 25.8* | (24.6-30.1) |
| WC (cm) | | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 98 | (89.5-110) | 94 | (86-103) | 93* | (87-104) | 92* | (83.5-98.5) |
| PLG | 98 | (90-110) | 93 | (84-101) | 93* | (85-105) | 88* | (81-94) |
| NP (cm) | | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 38 | (36-40.5) | 38 | (35-40) | 36* | (34-39.5) | 37* | (33.5-39.5) |
| PLG | 38 | (35-42) | 38 | (33-39) | 36* | (34-40) | 36* | (33-38) |
| SBP (mmHg) | | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 120 | (115-130) | 120 | (110-125) | 110* | (100-120) | 110* | (105-115) |
| PLG | 120 | (120-130) | 120 | (110-120) | 110* | (100-120) | 110* | (100-110) |
| DBP (mmHg) | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| OBG | 80 | (75-90) | 80 | (70-85) | 70* | (70-80) | 70* | (70-80) |
| PLG | 80 | (80-90) | 80 | (80-80) | 70* | (70-80) | 70* | (70-80) |
| CT (mg/dL) | | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 235 | (212-264) | 241 | (216-262) | 224* | (196-250) | 215* | (201-230) |
| PLG | 233 | (218-249) | 231 | (219-256) | 215* | (185-238) | 229 | (197-236) |
| LDL-C (mg/dL) |) | (107.105) | 455 | (140,405) | 4.40* | | 100* | (100 157) |
| OBG | 155 | (137-185) | 155 | (142-165) | 146^ | (124.5-165.5) | 136^ | (120-157) |
| PLG | 152 | (139-169) | 147 | (137-181) | 140^ | (112-156) | 140 | (125-164) |
| HDL-C (Mg/aL) | / | (00.57) | 47 | | 45 | (07.50) | 47 | |
| | 4/ | (38-57) | 47 | (43-55) | 45 | (37-58) | 47 | (41-52.5) |
| PLG Non UDL (mg) | 40 | (42-30) | 51 | (48-02) | 47 | (40-54) | 53 | (44-03) |
| | UL) 100 | (166,000) | 101 | (164.016) | 170* | (151 100) | 166* | (151 104) |
| | 100 | (166,201) | 191 | (161,207) | 1/3 | (101-199) | 100 | (151-104) |
| TC (mg/dL) | 100 | (100-201) | 109 | (101-207) | 104 | (137-193) | 107 | (150-195) |
| | 151 | (112-211) | 1/12 | (08-237) | 150 | (104-201) | 100*# | (02.175) |
| | 120 | (112-211) | 142 | (01 196) | 122 | (104-201) | 122 " | (92-173) |
| GLL(ma/dL) | 130 | (107-100) | 112 | (91-100) | 100 | (90-103) | 120 | (103-103) |
| | 100 | (02-113) | 96 | (01-113) | 96* | (01-107) | 02* | (08-102) |
| PLG | 95 | (88-103) | 90 Q/ | (82-103) | 90 | (88-107) | 92 | (83-102) |
| | 30 | (00-103) | 34 | (02-103) | 52 | (00-104) | JZ | (03-103) |
| OBG | 8 | (5-12) | 5 | (4-10.5) | 7 | (4-9 5) | 4 | (3-7 5) |
| PLG | 7 | (5-11) | 7 | (5-13) | 6 | (4-10) | 8 | (4-10) |
| | | | | | | | | |
| OBG | 2.22 | (1 11-3 3) | 1 27 | (0.92-3.67) | 1.56*# | (0.96-2.62) | 1 03* | (0 71-2 42) |
| PLG | 1.76 | (1.17-2.4) | 1.7 | (1.18-3.1) | 1.42*# | (0.93-2.77) | 1.7 | (1-2.24) |
| QUICKI | | (=) | | (| | | | () |
| OBG | 0.34 | (0.32-0.38) | 0.37 | (0.32-0.39) | 0,36* | (0.33-0.39) | 0,38* | (0.34-0.41) |
| PLG | 0.35 | (0.33-0.37) | 0.35 | (0.32-0.37) | 0.36 | (0.33-0.39) | 0.35 | (0.34-0.38) |

Table V. Anthropometric, biochemical and blood pressure data in study groups at the beginning and end of the intervention according to the quality of the diet

DQI-R < p75: Group who started the study with the Diet Quality Index (Revised) below the 75th percentile; $IQD-R \ge p75$: Group who started the study with the Diet Quality Index (Revised) at or above the 75th percentile; to: Start of the study; t90: End of the study; MC: Body mass; BMI: Body mass index; WC: Waist circumference; NP: Neck perimeter; SBP: Systolic blood pressure; DBP: Diastolic blood pressure; CT: Total cholesterol; C LDL: Cholesterol bound to low density lipoprotein; HDL-C: Cholesterol attached to high-density lipoprotein; Non-HDL: Lipoprotein sum except HDL-c; TG: Triglycerides; GLI: Fasting plasma glucose; INS: Fasting insulin; HOMA-IR: Homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance; QUICKI: Checking quantitative index of insulin sensitivity. Results are expressed as median and interquartile range; *: Statistically significant reduction within each group relative to t0 (p < 0.05) by Wilcoxon test; #: Statistically significant difference (p < 0.05) when comparing the reductions achieved between groups, the Mann-Whitney test.

Daily consumption of 40 g of OF was shown to be of added benefit in lowering the parameters relating to insulin resistance.

REFERENCES

- Lewington S, Whitlock G, Clarke R, Sherliker P, Emberson J, et al. Blood cholesterol and vascular mortality by age, sex, and blood pressure: A meta-analysis of individual data from 61 prospective studies with 55,000 vascular deaths. Lancet 2007;370:1829-39.
- Farzadfar F, Finucane MM, Danaei G, Pelizzari PM, Cowan MJ, Paciorek CJ, et al. Global Burden of Metabolic Risk Factors of Chronic Diseases Collaborating G. National, regional, and global trends in serum total cholesterol since 1980: Systematic analysis of health examination surveys and epidemiological studies with 321 country-years and 3.0 million participants. Lancet 2011;377:578-86.
- Verschuren WM, Jacobs DR, Bloemberg BP, Kromhout D, Menotti A, Aravanis C, et al. Serum total cholesterol and long-term coronary heart disease mortality in different cultures. Twenty-five-year follow-up of the seven countries study. JAMA 1995;274:131-6.
- Kannel WB, Dawber TR, Kagan A, Revotskie N, Stokes J. Factors of risk in the development of coronary heart disease – six year follow-up experience. The framingham study. Ann Intern Med 1961;55:33-50.
- Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, Dans T, Avezum A, Lanas F, et al. Effect of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with myocardial infarction in 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): case-control study. Lancet 2004;364(9438):937-52.
- O'Donnell M, Xavier D, Liu L, Zhang H, Chin S, Rao-Melacini P, et al. Risk factors for ischaemic and intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke in 22 countries (the INTERSTROKE study): a case-control study. Lancet 2010;376(9735):112-23.
- Kouki R, Schwab U, Hassonen M, Komulainen P, Heikkilä H, Lakka T A, et al. Food consumption, nutrient intake and the risk of having metabolic syndrome: the DR's EXTRA Study. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition 2011;65(3):368-377.
- Camargo A, Menezes ME, Perez-Martinez P, Delgado-Lista J, Jimenez-Gomez Y, Ceuzteno C, et al. Dietary fat differentially influences the lipids storage on the adipose tissue in metabolic syndrome patients. European Journal of Nutrition 2014;53(2):617-26.
- United States of America. Department of Health and Human Services. Food and Drug Administration. Federal Register. Rules and Regulations 2002;67(191):61773-83.
- Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária. Lista de alegações de propriedade funcional aprovadas. Atualizada em junho/2008. Available at: http://www.anvisa.gov.br/alimentos/comissoes/tecno_lista_alega.htm. (Accesed on Aug 15th 2013).
- 11. Maki KC, Beiseigel JM, Jonnalagadda SS, Gugger CK, Reeves MS, Farmer MV, et al. Whole-grain ready-to-eat oat cereal, as part of a dietary program for weight loss, reduces low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in adults with overweight and obesity more than a dietary program including low-fiber control foods. Journal of the American Dietetic Association 2010;110(2):205-14.
- Dalen JE, Devries S. Diets to Prevent Coronary Heart Disease 1957-2013: What have we learned? The American Journal of Medicine 2014;127(5):364-9.
- Wolever TMS, Gibbs AL, Brand-Miller J, Duncan AM, Hart V, Lamarche B, et al. Bioactive oat -glucan reduces LDL cholesterol in Caucasians and non-Caucasians. Nutrition Journal 2011;10:1-4.
- 14. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Atenção à Saúde. Coordenação-Geral da Política de Alimentação e Nutrição. Guia alimentar para a população brasileira: Dez Passos para uma Alimentação Saudável. Brasília; 2006. p. 32. Available at: http://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/guia_ alimentar_alimentacao_saudavel.pdf (Accessed on May 17th 2013).
- World Health Organization. Waist circumference and waist-hip ratio: report of a WHO expert consultation, Geneva, 8-11, December 2008. Available at: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241501491_eng.pdf (Accessed on March 12th 2012).
- Ben-Noun L, Laor A. Relationship of neck circumference to cardiovascular risk factors. Obesity Research 2003;11(2):226-31.
- Sociedade Brasileira de Cardiologia. Sociedade Brasileira de Hipertensão. Sociedade Brasileira de Nefrologia. VI Diretrizes Brasileiras de Hipertensão. Arquivos Brasileiros de Cardiologia 2010;95(1) (Supl. 1):1-51.

- Warnick GR, Nauck M, Rifai N. Evolution of Methods for Measurement of HDL-Cholesterol: From Ultracentrifugation to Homogeneous Assays. Clinical Chemistry 2001;47(9):1579-96.
- Fossati P, Prencipe L. Serum triglycerides determined colorimetrically with an enzyme that produces hydrogen peroxide. Clinical Chemistry 1982; 28(10):2077-80.
- Friedwald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. Clinical Chemistry 1982;Vol 18(6):499-502.
- Trinder P. Determination of blood glucose using an oxidase-peroxidase system with a non-carcinogenic chromogen. Journal of Clinical Phatology 1969; 22(2):158-61.
- Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia 1985;28(7):412-9.
- Geloneze B, Repetto EM, Geloneze SR, Tambascia MA, Ermetice MN. The threshold value for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) in admixtured population IR in the Brazilian Metabolic Syndrome Study. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice 2006;72(2):219-20.
- Katz A, Nambi SS, Mather K, Baron AD, Follmann DA, Sullivan G, et al. Quantitative insulin sensitivity check index: a simple, accurate method for assessing insulin sensitivity in humans. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism 2000;85(7):2402-10.
- Food Processor Nutrition Analysis System. Version 12.0. USA: ESHA Corporation; 1984.
- Brasil. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. Tabela de Composição Nutricional dos Alimentos Consumidos no Brasil, 2011. Available at: http://www.ibge.gov.br/home/estatistica/populacao/condicaodevida/ pof/2008_2009_composicao_nutricional/default_pdf.shtm (Accessed on Jan 12th 2013).
- Pinheiro ABV, Lacerda EMA, Benzecry EH, Gomes MCS, Costa MV. Tabela para Avaliação de Consumo Alimentar em Medidas Caseiras. 5ª ed. São Paulo: Editora Atheneu; 2005.
- Institute of Medicine. Dietary Reference Intakes for Energy, Carbohydrate, Fiber, Fat, Fatty Acids, Cholesterol, Protein, and Amino Acids (Macronutrients). Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, 2005. Available at: http://www.nap.edu/openbook.php?isbn=0309085373 (Accessed on May 15th 2012).
- Previdelli NA, Andrade SC, Pires MM, Ferreira SRG, Fisberg RM, Marchioni DM. Índice de qualidade da dieta revisado para população brasileira. Revista de Saúde Pública 2011;45(4):794-8.
- Ribeiro AG, Cotta RMM, Ribeiro SMR Ribeiro A Promoção da Saúde e a Prevenção Integrada dos Fatores de Risco para Doenças Cardiovasculares. Ciência & Saúde Coletiva 2012;17(1):7-17.
- The Lancet NCD Action Group. Country actions to meet UN commitments on non-communicable diseases: a stepwise approach. Lancet 2013;381:575-84.
- Karmally W, Montez MG, Palmas W, Martinez W, Branstetter A, Ramakrishnan R, et al. Cholesterol-Lowering Benefits of Oat-Containing Cereal in Hispanic Americans. Journal of the American Dietetic Association 2005;105(6):967-70.
- Chen J, He J.; Wildman RP, Reynolds K, Streiffer RH, Whelton PK. A randomized controlled trial of dietary fiber intake on serum lipids. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition 2006;60(1):62-8.
- Charlton KE1, Tapsell LC, Batterham MJ, O'Shea J, Thorne R, Beck E, Tosh SM. Effect of 6 weeks' consumption of b-glucan-rich oat products on cholesterol levels in mildly hypercholesterolaemic overweight adults. British Journal of Nutrition 2011;106(3):1-11.
- Zhang J, Li L., Song P, Wang C, Man Q, Meng L, et al. Randomized controlled trial of oatmeal consumption versus noodle consumption on blood lipids of urban Chinese adults with hypercholesterolemia. Nutrition Journal 2012;11(1):1-8.
- Andrade EF, Lobato RV, Araujo TV, Zangeronimo1 MG, Sousa RV, Pereira LJ. Effect of beta-glucans in the control of blood glucose levels of diabetic patients: a systematic review. Nutr Hosp 2015;31(1):170-7.
- Reyna NY, Cano C, Bermudez VJ, et al. Sweeteners and Beta Glucans Improve Metabolic and Anthropometrics Variable in Well Controlled Type 2 Diabetic Patients. Am J Ther 2003;10:438-43.
- Kim H, Stote KS, Behall KM, et al. Glucose and insulin responses to whole grain breakfasts varying in soluble fiber, sglucan: A dose response study in obese women with increased risk for insulin resistance. Eur J Nutr 2009;48:170-5.