

# **Nutrición Hospitalaria**



**Mas allá de la estimación del tamaño muestral en los análisis univariados clínicos. Una calculadora online para modelos de ecuaciones estructurales y análisis de red en variables latentes y observables**

**Beyond sample size estimation in clinical univariate analysis. An online calculator for structural equation modeling and network analysis on latent and observable variables**

CE 3751

**Beyond sample size estimation in clinical univariate analysis.  
An online calculator for structural equation modeling and  
network analysis on latent and observable variables**

*Mas allá de la estimación del tamaño muestral en los análisis univariados clínicos. Una calculadora online para modelos de ecuaciones estructurales y análisis de red en variables latentes y observables*

Cristian Antony Ramos-Vera

Área de investigación. Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud. Universidad César Vallejo y Sociedad Peruana de Psicometría. Lima, Perú

Recibido: 24/06/2021

Aceptado: 11/07/2021

**Correspondencia:** Cristian A. Ramos-Vera. Área de investigación. Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud. Universidad César Vallejo. Av. Del Parque 640. San Juan de Lurigancho 15434. Lima, Perú  
e-mail: cristony\_777@hotmail.com

*Conflicts of interest: the author declares no conflicts of interest.*

**Keywords:** Sample size. Structural equations. Network analysis. Latent variables. Instrument validation. Power analysis.

**Palabras clave:** Tamaño de la muestra. Ecuaciones estructurales. Análisis de red. Variables latentes. Validación de instrumentos. Análisis de potencia.

Dear Editor:

A recent study in the present journal presented some methodological recommendations for sample size in research involving confirmatory factor analysis (1); these latent variable models (not directly measurable) are in line with the structural equation method (SEM) used beyond instrument validation to address more complex research questions and to test models with multiple latent and observable variables in a single investigation (2).

A meta-analysis of 74 articles found that about 80 % of investigations are based on insufficient sample sizes (3). Therefore, it is essential to present a method for estimating sample size in SEM models by analyzing statistical power, effect size, probability level, number of latent variables, and observed variables to evaluate multiple clinical hypotheses (4).

We considered the minimum statistical power criterion value of 0.80 or greater magnitude with a probability level  $\alpha$  (0.05) applied to any research design employing a probability or nonprobability sampling technique in SEM study data collection (2). The sample calculation was performed using an online calculator (4), where a minimum sample size accessible to any health sciences professional or researcher can be obtained. As an example, the study by Trujillo-Hernández et al. (5) presented a structural model for a measure of self-efficacy of eating behavior in 467 Mexican adolescents, which has 16 observable variables and four latent variables; with an anticipated effect size of 0.30 (recommended for instrumental SEM research), a desired probability of 0.05 and a statistical power level of 0.95, the minimum recommended size is 207 participants. Such finding indicates that the previous study included a suitable sample according to the complexity of the SEM model. This favors the selection of the best SEM model considering the a priori sample power analysis that provides greater confidence in the clinical conclusions as a first step to take into account in the identification of the most

acceptable structure of the models evaluated, given the estimation of the evidence of the reported parameters (6).

Soper's online calculator (4) also allows determining the minimum sample in multiple regression models and Student's t-statistics. It is also useful for network analyses because of statistical similarity to SEM models (7), with an anticipated effect size adjustment of 0.10 as minimum value of moderate magnitude in partial correlation networks (partial  $r \geq 0.10$ ) that are identified as one-dimensional models where all variables in the network are associated.

The Bayes factor (BF) makes it possible to specify a minimum sample with conclusive evidence ( $BF > 10$ ) of the significant effect beyond the significance values and the various statistical analyses by converting the effect magnitudes (8-10). The minimum effect of interest or the clinically important effect according to previous quantitative systematic studies can also be set as a cut-off point, which is favorable for clinical decision making according to the estimation of the weight of evidence of clinical results (10).

## REFERENCES

1. Roco Videla A, Hernández Orellana M, Silva González O. ¿Cuál es el tamaño muestral adecuado para validar un cuestionario? *Nutr Hosp* 2021;38(4):877-8. DOI: 10.20960/nh.03633
2. Kline R. Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling. 3a ed. New York: The Guilford Press; 2016.
3. Westland JC. Lower bounds on sample size in structural equation modeling. *Electron Commerce Res Appl* 2010;9(6):476-87. DOI: 10.1016/j.elerap.2010.07.003
4. Soper DS. A-priori Sample Size Calculator for structural equation models; 2020. Available from: <http://www.danielsoper.com/statcalc>

5. Trujillo-Hernández PE, Flores Peña Y, Gómez Melasio DA, Lara Reyes BJ, Ángel García J, Gutiérrez Valverde JM. Análisis de las propiedades psicométricas de la Escala de Autoeficacia de la Conducta Alimentaria en adolescentes mexicanos. *Nutr Hosp* 2021;38(4):821-6. DOI: 10.20960/nh.03501
6. Grace JB. A 'Weight of Evidence' approach to evaluating structural equation models. *One Ecosystem* 2020;5:e50452. DOI: 10.3897/oneeco.5.e50452
7. Van Bork R, Rhemtulla M, Waldorp LJ, Kruis J, Rezvanifar S, Borsboom D. Latent Variable Models and Networks: Statistical Equivalence and Testability. *Multivariate Behav Res* 2021;56(2):175-98. DOI: 10.1080/00273171.2019.1672515
8. Ramos-Vera CA. The use of Bayes factor in clinical cardiology research. *Rev Esp Cardiol* 2021;74(7):641-2. DOI: 10.1016/j.rec.2021.01.020
9. Ramos-Vera CA. The Bayes Factor, a Suitable Complement beyond Values of  $p < 0.05$  in Nursing Research and Education. *Invest Educ Enferm* 2021;39(1):e14. DOI: 10.17533/udea.iee.v39n1e14
10. Ramos-Vera C. Quantitative weight of evidence (QWOE) in clinical decision making for significant results. *Nutr Hosp* 2021. DOI: 10.20960/nh.03701