

Original

Influence of G1359A polymorphism of the cannabinoid receptor gene (CNR1) on insulin resistance and adipokines in patients with non alcoholic fatty liver disease

R. Aller^{1,2}, D. A. de Luis², D. Pacheco², M. C. Velasco², R. Conde², O. Izaola² y M. González Sagrado²

¹Gastroenterology Department Hospital Clínico Universitario of Valladolid. ²Institute of Endocrinology and Nutrition, Medicine School and Unit of Investigation, Hospital Rio Hortega, University of Valladolid, Valladolid Spain.

Abstract

Background: Considering the evidence that endogenous cannabinoid system plays a role in metabolic aspects of body weight and metabolic syndrome components such as non alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). The aim of our study was to investigate the influence of this polymorphism on insulin resistance, liver histological changes, anthropometric parameters and adipocytokines in patients with NAFLD.

Material and methods: A population of 71 patients with NAFLD was recruited in a cross sectional study. A biochemical analysis of serum was measured. Genotype of G1359A polymorphism of CB1 receptor gene CB1 receptor was studied. Forty one patients (36.9%) had the genotype G1359G (wild type group) and twenty nine (26.1%) patients G1359A or A1359A (mutant type group).

Results: Twenty four 24 patients (32,3%) had a Brunt grade > 4 and 12 patients (17%) had a significant fibrosis (F >= 2). HOMA values were higher in wild type group than mutant type group. Adiponectin and visfatin levels were higher in mutant type group. Moreover, TNF-alpha and resistin levels were higher in wild type group than mutant type group. Patients with mutant genotype showed less frequently elevated levels of AST. AST > 40 UI/L was detected in 28.5% of patients in the mutant vs. 53% of patients with wild genotype, p < 0.05. Patients with mutant type group presented a percentage of Brunt grade >= 4 less frequently than patients with wild type group (28,5% vs 7,1%).

Conclusion: A variant of the polymorphism G1359A CBR1 is associated with lower levels of HOMA, TNF-alpha, resistin and higher levels of adiponectin than patients with the wild variant of this polymorphism. Besides, patients with A allele variant shown lower Brunt grade in liver biopsy.

(Nutr Hosp. 2012;27:1637-1642)

DOI:10.3305/nh.2012.27.5.6011

Key words: Adipokines. Cannabinoid receptor gene. Steatosis. Polymorphism. Insulin resistance.

Correspondence:

R. Aller.
Professor Associated of Gastroenterology.
Coinvestigator of Institute of Endocrinology and Nutrition.
Medicine School, Valladolid University.
C/Los perales, 16.
47130. Simancas, Valladolid, Spain
E-mail: dadluis@yahoo.es

Recibido: 28-II-2012.

Aceptado: 20-VI-2012.

INFLUENCIA DEL POLIMORFISMO G1359A DEL GEN DEL RECEPTOR CANNABINOIDE (CNR1) SOBRE LA RESISTENCIA A LA INSULINA Y ADIPOCINAS EN PACIENTES CON ENFERMEDAD HEPATICA NO ALCOHÓLICA

Resumen

Antecedentes: Teniendo en cuenta la evidencia de que el sistema cannabinoide endógeno juega un papel importante en aspectos metabólicos, peso corporal y componentes del síndrome metabólico como la enfermedad hepática NO alcohólica (EHNA). El objetivo de nuestro estudio fue investigar la influencia de este polimorfismo en la resistencia a la insulina, cambios en la histología hepática, parámetros antropométricos y adipocitoquinas en pacientes con hígado graso no alcohólico.

Material y métodos: Una población de 71 pacientes con hígado graso no alcohólico fue reclutado en un estudio de corte transversal. Se realizó un análisis bioquímico de suero. El genotipo del polimorfismo G1359A del gen del receptor CB1 se ha estudiado en todos los pacientes. Cuarenta y un pacientes (36,9%) tenían el genotipo G1359G (grupo de tipo salvaje) y veintinueve (26,1%) de los pacientes o G1359A A1359A (grupo mutante).

Resultados: Veinticuatro 24 pacientes (32,3%) tenían un grado de Brunt > 4 y 12 pacientes (17%) tenían una fibrosis significativa (F >= 2). Los valores de HOMA fueron mayores en el grupo con genotipo salvaje que el grupo mutante. Los niveles de adiponectina y visfatina fueron mayores en el grupo con genotipo mutante. Por otra parte, el TNF-alfa y los niveles de resistina fueron más altos en el grupo con genotipo salvaje que el grupo mutante. Los pacientes con genotipo mutante mostraron niveles elevados de menor frecuencia de AST. AST > 40 UI/L se detectó en el 28,5% de los pacientes con el genotipo mutante frente a 53% de los pacientes con genotipo salvaje, p < 0,05. Los pacientes con genotipo mutante presentaron un porcentaje de grado de Brunt >= 4 con menos frecuencia que los pacientes con genotipo salvaje (28,5% vs 7,1%).

Conclusión: Una variante del polimorfismo G1359A CBR1 se asocia con menores niveles de HOMA, TNF-alfa, resistina y mayores niveles de adiponectina que los pacientes con la variante salvaje de este polimorfismo. Además, los pacientes con una variante del alelo muestra menor grado de Brunt en la biopsia hepática.

(Nutr Hosp. 2012;27:1637-1642)

DOI:10.3305/nh.2012.27.5.6011

Palabras clave: Adipocitoquinas. Gen del receptor cannabinoide. Esteatosis. Polimorfismo. Resistencia a la insulina.

Introduction

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a liver disease characterized by elevated serum aminotransferase levels and accumulation of fat in liver accompanied by inflammation and necrosis resembling alcoholic hepatitis in the absence of heavy alcohol consumption.^{1,2}

The endocannabinoid system comprises receptors, CB1 and CB2, their endogenous lipidic ligands and machinery dedicated to endocannabinoid synthesis and degradation. An overactive endocannabinoid system appears to contribute to the pathogenesis of several diseases, including liver diseases. Endogenous activation of peripheral CB1 receptors is a key mediator of insulin resistance and enhances liver lipogenesis in experimental models of NAFLD. Moreover, some authors have demonstrated that adipose tissue CB2 receptors are markedly upregulated and promote fat inflammation, thereby contributing to insulin resistance and liver steatosis.³ Also, tonic activation of CB1 receptors is responsible for progression of liver fibrosis, whereas CB2 receptors display anti-fibrogenic properties. Moreover, preliminary data derived from clinical trials strongly suggest that selective CB1 antagonism improves insulin resistance and reduces liver fat. The current view of adipose tissue is that of an active secretor organ, sending out and responding to signals that modulate appetite, insulin sensitivity, energy expenditure, inflammation and immunity.⁴ In this scenario, the important role played by endocannabinoid system is emerging: it controls food intake, energy balance and lipid and glucose metabolism through both central and peripheral effects, and stimulated lipogenesis and fat accumulation.

Cannabis (*Cannabis Sativa*, marijuana) has been used for medicinal and ritual purposes for over 3 millennia, and remains the most commonly used recreational drug in the western world.⁵ The identification of the cannabinoid receptor 1 (CB₁) in human brain some twenty years ago⁶ and the subsequent discovery of endogenous cannabinoids, has led to an understanding of the importance of the endocannabinoid system in health and disease. There are two G protein-coupled cannabinoid receptors; CB₁ and CB₂.⁷

Emerging evidence suggests that cannabinoids play an important role in the modulation of fatty liver. The endocannabinoid system is primarily comprised of three components: endocannabinoids, endocannabinoid receptors, and endocannabinoid-metabolizing enzymes. Endocannabinoids (endogenous cannabinoids) are lipid mediators that interact with cannabinoid receptors to produce effects similar to those of delta 9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), which is the main psychoactive component of cannabis. The two main endocannabinoids discovered are arachidonoyl ethanolamide (anandamide) and 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG), and two main cannabinoid receptors identified to date are cannabinoid receptor 1 (CB1) and cannabinoid receptor 2 (CB2).

CB1 receptors are expressed at high levels in the brain, but they are also present in peripheral tissues, though at much lower concentrations in most of the peripheral tissues.^{7,8}

A silent intragenic biallelic polymorphism (1359 G/A) (rs1049353) of the CB1 gene resulting in the substitution of the G to A at nucleotide position 1359 in codon 435 (Thr), was reported as a common polymorphism in the German population,⁹ reaching frequencies of 24-32% for the rarer allele (A). Considering the evidence that endogenous cannabinoid system plays a role in metabolic aspects of body weight and metabolic syndrome components such as NAFLD,⁸ we decide to investigate the physiological implications of this CB1 receptor polymorphism in patients with NAFLD.

The aim of our study was to investigate the influence of the missense polymorphism (G1359A) of CB1 receptor gene on insulin resistance, liver histological changes, anthropometric parameters and adipocytokines in patients with NAFLD.

Subjects and methods

Subjects

A population of 70 Caucasian subjects were recruited for this study. The exclusion criteria were alcohol consumption, diabetes mellitus, intolerance fasting glucose, hepatitis B, C, cytomegalovirus, Epstein Barr infections, nonorgan-specific autoantibodies, medication (blood-pressure lowering medication and statins) and hereditary defects (iron and copper storage diseases and alpha 1-antitrypsin deficiency). The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee. These patients signed an informed consent.

Liver biopsies

The diagnosis of NAFLD was confirmed by percutaneous liver biopsy performed in all subjects with a 1.6 mm Menghini-type biopsy needle. Liver samples were routinely processed, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin-eosin and Manson's trichrome. All biopsies were studied by the same liver pathologist. Histology was analysed using the Brunt classification:¹⁰ Steatosis was graded as mild (< 33% of hepatocytes affected); moderate-severe (≥ 33% of hepatocytes affected). The Brunt system also includes as grading: portal inflammation, ballooning and lobular inflammation. Brunt grade was graded as follows: mild (< 4) and moderate-severe (> 4) and staging fibrosis: stage 1: zone 3 perivenular perisinusoidal/pericellular fibrosis, focal or extensive; stage 2: as above with focal or extensive periportal fibrosis; stage 3: bridging fibrosis, focal or extensive; stage 4: cirrhosis. In our study, fibrosis variable was divided as absent or presence. Weight, blood pressure, basal glucose, c-reactive protein (CRP),

insulin, insulin resistance (HOMA), total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, triglycerides blood and adipocytokines (leptin, adiponectin, resistin, TNF alpha, and interleukin 6) levels were measured at basal time. A tetrapolar bioimpedance, an indirect calorimetry and a prospective serial assessment of nutritional intake with 3 days written food records were realized. Genotype of CB1 receptor gene polymorphism was studied.

Genotyping of CB1 gene polymorphism

Oligonucleotide primers and probes were designed with the Beacon Designer 4.0 (Premier Biosoft International®, LA, CA). The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was carried out with 50 ng of genomic DNA, 0.5 uL of each oligonucleotide primer (primer forward: 5'-TTC ACA GGG CCG CAG AAA G-3' and reverse 5'-GAG GCA TCA GGC TCA CAG AG-3'), and 0.25 uL of each probes (wild probe: 5'-Fam-ATC AAG AGC ACG GTC AAG ATT GCC-BHQ-1-3') and (mutant probe: 5'-Texas red- ATC AAG AGC ACA GTC AAG ATT GCC -BHQ-1-3') in a 25 uL final volume (Termociclador iCycler IQ (Bio-Rad®), Hercules, CA). DNA was denaturated at 95° C for 3 min; this was followed by 50 cycles of denaturation at 95° C for 15 s, and annealing at 59.3° for 45 s). The PCR were run in a 25 uL final volume containing 12.5 uL of IQTM Supermix (Bio-Rad®, Hercules, CA) with hot start Taq DNA polymerase. Hardy Weimberger equilibrium was assessed.

Assays

Plasma glucose levels were determined by using an automated glucose oxidase method (Glucose analyser 2, Beckman Instruments, Fullerton, California). Insulin was measured by RIA (RIA Diagnostic Corporation, Los Angeles, CA) with a sensitivity of 0.5 mUI/L (normal range 0.5-30 mUI/L) (11) and the homeostasis model assessment for insulin sensitivity (HOMA) was calculated using these values.¹² CRP was measured by immunoturbimetry (Roche Diagnostcis GmbH, Mannheim, Germany), with a normal range of (0-7 mg/dl) and analytical sensivity 0.5 mg/dl. Lipoprotein (a) was determined by immunonephelometry with the aid of a Beckman array analyzer (Beckman Instruments, Calif., USA).

Serum total cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations were determined by enzymatic colorimetric assay (Technicon Instruments, Ltd., New York, N.Y., USA), while HDL cholesterol was determined enzymatically in the supernatant after precipitation of other lipoproteins with dextran sulfate-magnesium. LDL cholesterol was calculated using Friedewald formula.

Alanine amino transferase, aspartate aminotransferase activity, bilirubin and gamaglutamine trans-

ferase were determined by enzymatic colorimetric assay Hitachi 917 (Roche Diagnostics, Geneve, Switzerland).

Adipocytokines

Resistin was measured by ELISA (Biovendor Laboratory, Inc., Brno, Czech Republic) with a sensitivity of 0.2 ng/ml with a normal range of 4-12 ng/ml.¹³ Leptin was measured by ELISA (Diagnostic Systems Laboratories, Inc., Texas, USA) with a sensitivity of 0.05 ng/ml and a normal range of 10-100 ng/ml.¹⁴ Adiponectin was measured by ELISA (R&D systems, Inc., Mineapolis, USA) with a sensitivity of 0.246 ng/ml and a normal range of 8.65-21.43 ng/ml.¹⁵ Interleukin 6 and TNF alpha were measured by ELISA (R&D systems, Inc., Mineapolis, USA) with a sensitivity of 0.7 pg/ml and 0.5 pg/ml, respectively. Normal values of IL6 was (1.12-12.5 pg/ml) and TNFalpha (0.5-15.6 pg/ml).¹⁶⁻¹⁷

Anthropometric measurements

Body weight was measured to an accuracy of 0.5 kg and body mass index computed as body weight/(height²). Waist (narrowest diameter between xiphoid process and iliac crest) and hip (widest diameter over greater trochanters) circumferences to derive waist-to hip ratio (WHR) were measured, too. Tetrapolar body electrical bioimpedance was used to determine body composition with an accuracy of 5 g.¹⁸ An electric current of 0.8 mA and 50 kHz was produced by a calibrated signal generator (Biodynamics Model 310e, Seattle, WA, USA) and applied to the skin using adhesive electrodes placed on right-side limbs. Resistance and reactance were used to calculate total body water, fat and fat-free mass.

Blood pressure was measured twice after a 10 minutes rest with a random zero mercury sphygmomanometer, and averaged.

Statistical analysis

Sample size was calculated to detect differences over 1 units of HOMA with 90% power and 5% significance (n = 70). The results were expressed as average ± standard deviation. The distribution of variables was analyzed with Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Quantitative variables with normal distribution were analyzed with a two-tailed Student's-t test. Non-parametric variables were analyzed with the U-Mann-Whitney test. Qualitative variables were analyzed with the chi-square test, with Yates correction as necessary, and Fisher's test. The statistical analysis was performed for the combined G1359A and A1359A as a group and wild type G1359G as second group, with a dominant model.

Table I <i>Anthropometric variables</i>		
<i>Characteristics</i>	<i>G1359G</i> (<i>n</i> = 41)	<i>(G1359A or A1359A)</i> (<i>n</i> = 29)
BMI	34.4 ± 10.3	31.5 ± 9.3
Weight (kg)	96.7 ± 30	87.4 ± 21
WC (cm)	99.8 ± 14.2	99 ± 14.5
Waist to hip ratio	0.95 ± 0.1	0.93 ± 0.09

WC: Waist circumference. No statistical differences between groups. (*) *p* < 0.05, in each group with basal values.

Table II <i>Classical cardiovascular risk factors</i>		
<i>Characteristics</i>	<i>G1359G</i> (<i>n</i> = 41)	<i>(G1359A or A1359A)</i> (<i>n</i> = 29)
Glucose (mg/dl)	108.557	100.79 ± 19.7
Total ch. (mg/dl)	200.1 ± 58.5	192.2 ± 44.4
LDL-ch. (mg/dl)	124.9 ± 52.3	120.5 ± 33.3
HDL-ch. (mg/dl)	54.3 ± 27	51.7 ± 14.4
TG (mg/dl)	141.7 ± 90	125.5 ± 87.7
HOMA	3.6 ± 2.2	2.8 ± 1.1*

Ch: Cholesterol. TG: Triglycerides. HOMA: Homeostasis model assessment. (*) *p* < 0.05, in each group with basal values.

A *p*-value under 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Seventy patients gave informed consent and were enrolled in the study. The mean age was 42.9 ± 11.5 years and the mean BMI 36.1 ± 10.1. All subjects were weight stable during the 2 weeks period preceding the study (body weight change, 0.25 ± 0.2 kg). Forty seven were men and 23 women.

Forty one patients (36.9%) had the genotype G1359G (wild type group) and twenty nine (26.1%) patients G1359A or A1359A (mutant type group). Age was similar in both groups (wild type: 42.95 ± 16.6 years vs mutant group: 45.1 ± 16.8 years:ns). In wild type group, 29 were men and 12 were women and in the mutant type group 18 were men and 11 were women.

Twenty four 24 patients (32,3%) had a Brunt grade > 4 and 12 patients (17%) had a significant fibrosis (F>=2).

Table I shows the anthropometric variables. No differences were detected between genotype groups.

Table II shows the classic cardiovascular risk factors. HOMA values were higher in wild type group than mutant type group.

Table III shows levels of adipocytokine levels. Adiponectin and visfatin levels were higher in mutant type group. Moreover, TNF-alpha and resistin levels were higher in wild type group than mutant type group.

Table III <i>Circulating adipocytokines</i>		
<i>Characteristics</i>	<i>G1359G</i> (<i>n</i> = 41)	<i>(G1359A or A1359A)</i> (<i>n</i> = 29)
IL 6 (pg/ml)	7.9 ± 13	4.6 ± 7.7
TNF-α (pg/ml)	7.4 ± 3.5	5.2 ± 3.5*
Adiponectin (ng/ml)	20.5 ± 18.2	34.3 ± 34.5*
Resistin (ng/ml)	4 ± 2.3	3 ± 0.9*
Leptin (ng/ml)	38.6 ± 34.1	37.7 ± 34.9
Visfatin (ng/ml)	12.2 ± 5.6	13.6 ± 1.1*

IL-6: Interleukin 6.
**p* < 0.05.

Table IV <i>Histological parameters</i>		
<i>Parameters</i>	<i>G1359G</i> (<i>n</i> = 41)	<i>(G1359A or A1359A)</i> (<i>n</i> = 29)
<i>Brunt grade</i>		
Mild (< 4)	22 (31.4%)	23 (32.8%)*
Moderate-Severe (>= 4)	20 (28.5%)	5 (7.1%)*
<i>Fibrosis</i>		
Absent	22 (31.4%)	13 (18.5%)
Presence	20 (28.5%)	15 (21.4%)

Chi square test, **p* < 0.05 (%) frequencies in each genotype group.

Patients with mutant genotype did not show significant differences in liver biochemistry parameters (serum aminotransferase levels) with respect to patients with wild genotype; Alanine amino transferase (ALT:67.7 ± 25.1 UI/L vs. 60.4 ± 30.4 UI/L; *p* > 0.05), aspartate aminotransferase activity (AST:41.3 ± 15.5 UI/L vs. 35.6 ± 10.4 UI/L; *p* > 0.05) and gamaglutamine transferase levels (GGT:118.2 ± 63.1 UI/L vs. 107.7 ± 60.8 UI/L; *p* > 0.05). Only patients with mutant genotype showed less frequently elevated levels of AST. AST > 40 UI/L was detected in 28.5% of patients in the mutant vs. 53% of patients with wild genotype, *p* < 0.05.

Table IV shows the histological lesions in relation to both genotypes. Patients with mutant type group presented a percentage of Brunt grade >= 4 less frequently than patients with wild type group. We observed similar ratios of absent/presence of fibrosis in both genotype groups.

Discussion

Metabolic syndrome, leading to liver steatosis, has emerged as an important and frequent cause of chronic liver injury, ranging from simple steatosis to steatohepatitis, which is accompanied by inflammatory reaction and progressive fibrosis of liver tissue.

The main finding of our study is the association of the A variant of the polymorphism G1359A CBR1 with lower levels of HOMA, TNF-alpha, resistin and higher levels of adiponectin than patients with the wild variant of this polymorphism. Besides, patients with A allele variant shown lower Brunt grade in liver biopsy. These above-mentioned relationships have an unclear explanation. However, the literature supports the notion that endocannabinoid system is positioned for regulation of endocannabinoid levels that could influence craving and reward behaviors through the relevant neuronal circuitry and metabolic parameters.¹⁹ Osei-Hyiaman et al.²⁰ have observed that endocannabinoid activation of hepatic CB1 receptors contributes to diet-induced steatosis and associated hormonal and metabolic changes. This study suggests that peripheral CB1 receptors could be selectively targeted for the treatment of fatty liver, impaired glucose homeostasis and dyslipidemia.

Otherwise, a reduction in the CB1-mediated endocannabinoid system activity in visceral fat is associated with a normalization of adipocyte metabolism, which may be a determining factor in the reversion of liver steatosis induced by treatment with the CB1-specific antagonist (SR141716).²¹

The involvement of the endocannabinoid system in the pathogenesis of fatty liver disease has been shown recently. Since endocannabinoids are essential in regulation of energy balance, food intake and lipogenesis, impairment of this homeostasis results in various metabolic disturbances.²² Apart from central control of energy homeostasis *via* CB1 receptors localized in the brain, endocannabinoids seem to exert, as well CB1-receptor-dependent, peripheral effects on lipid metabolism in adipocytes, liver tissue and skeletal muscle.²² Moreover, fat-rich diet has been shown to contribute to enhanced hepatic expression of CB1 in liver tissue and increased levels of endocannabinoids, thus increasing the metabolic imbalance.²³ This association might be related with our recent results, because patients with the A variant of rs1049353 SNP had higher percentage of low Brunt's grades and a lower frequency of AST under 40 UI/ml than patients with wild type genotype.

Ravinet et al.²⁴ found that CB-1 gene-deficient mice were lean and resistant to diet-induced obesity and showed reduced plasma insulin and leptin levels. In our patients, HOMA index was higher in patients carrying the wild type CB1 allele than in heterozygous subjects (G/A and A/A) as shown by Gazeerro et al.²⁵ This metabolic relationship between the polymorphism and metabolic profile has been described by other previous study.²⁶ In this cross sectional study, an association of the mutant type group G1359A and A1359A with a better cardiovascular profile (triglyceride, HDL cholesterol, insulin and HOMA levels) than wild type group was detected.

In our study we do not observed an association between genotype of CBR1 and liver fibrosis. Others authors have observed that an antifibrogenic effects

results were obtained either by pharmacological inactivation with rimonabant (SR141716), a selective antagonist of CB1 receptor, or *via* genetic inactivation in homozygous CB1-deficient mice. Decreased progression of fibrosis was accompanied by reduced hepatic TGF- β expression and growth inhibition and increased apoptosis of myofibroblasts. These effects seemed to result from reduced phosphorylation of protein kinase B (PKB/Akt) and extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK), thus affecting the pathways responsible for cell proliferation and survival.²⁸ A possible explanation of these discrepancies is that our study is not a prospective study and this is an important limitation to assess

In our study, patients with mutant group type presented higher resistin and TNF-alpha levels and lower adiponectin levels. Some investigators have indicated that increased serum resistin levels are associated with increased obesity, visceral fat,²⁸ and type 2 diabetes mellitus,²⁹ whereas other groups have not observed such associations.³⁰ Visfatin expression is regulated by cytokines that promote insulin resistance, such as TNF-alpha.³¹ De Luis et al.³² have showed that visfatin is related in a negative way to insulin resistance and a relation was detected with inflammatory markers such as TNF-alpha. Perhaps, the associations of these adipokines levels with the polymorphism (1359 G/A) (rs1049353) of the CB1 gene could explain the relationship of this SNP with liver histology. However, the cross sectional design of our study could not response to this association with a hypothesis of causality.

In conclusion, A variant of the polymorphism G1359A CBR1 is associated with lower levels of HOMA, TNF-alpha, resistin and higher levels of adiponectin than patients with the wild variant of this polymorphism. Besides, patients with A allele variant shown lower Brunt grade in liver biopsy. Further prospective studies are need to clarify the association of this polymorphism and non alcoholic fatty liver disease.

References

1. Ludwig J, Viggiano TR, McGill DB, Oh BJ. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: Mayo Clinic experiences with a hitherto unnamed disease. *Mayo Clinic Proc* 1980; 55: 434-438.
2. Kral JG, Schaffner F, Pierson RN, Wang J. Body fat topography as an independent predictor of fatty liver. *Metabolism* 1993; 42: 548-551.
3. Mallat A, Lotersztajn S. Endocannabinoids and their role in fatty liver disease. 2010; 28 (1): 261-6.
4. Matsuzawa Y. Adipocytokines: Emerging therapeutic targets. *Current Atherosclerosis Reports* 2005; 7: 58-62.
5. Smart RG, Ogborne AC. Drug use and drinking among students in 36 countries. *Addict Behav* 2000; 25: 455-460.
6. Devane WA, Hanus L, Breuer A, Pertwee RG, Stevenson LA et al. Isolation and structure of a brain constituent that binds to the cannabinoid receptor. *Science* 1992; 258: 1946-1949.
7. Pacher P, Batkai S, Kunos G. The endocannabinoid system as an emerging target of pharmacotherapy. *Pharmacol Rev* 2006; 58: 389-462.
8. Mukhopadhyay P, B atkai S, Rajesh M, Czifra N, Harvey-White J, Hask o G et al. Pharmacological inhibition of CB1 cannabi-

- noid receptor protects against doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007; 50: 528-36. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2007.03.057.
9. Gadzicki D, Muller-Vahl K, Stuhmann M. A frequent polymorphism in the coding exon of the human cannabinoid receptor (CNR1) gene. *Mol Cell Probes* 1999; 13: 321-323.
 10. Brunt EM. Non alcoholic steatohepatitis: definition and pathology. *Semin Liver Dis* 2001; 21: 3-16.
 11. Duart MJ, Arroyo CO, Moreno JL. Validation of a insulin model for the reactions in RIA. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2002; 40: 1161-1167.
 12. Mathews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher Df. Homesotasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia* 1985; 28: 412-414.
 13. Pflutzner A, Langefeld M, Kunt T, Lobig M. Evaluation of human resistin assays with serum from patients with type 2 diabetes and different degrees of insulin resistance. *Clin Lab* 2003; 49: 571-576.
 14. Meier U, Gressner M. Endocrine regulation of energy metabolism: review of pathobiochemical and clinical chemical aspects of leptin, Ghrelin, adiponectin, and resistin. *Clin Chem* 2004; 50: 1511-1525.
 15. Suominen P. evaluation of an enzyme immunometric assay to measure serum adiponectin concentrations. *Clin Chem* 2004; 50: 219-221.
 16. Lubrano V, Cocci F, Battaglia D, Papa A. Usefulness of high – sensitivity IL6 measurmentfor clinical characterization of patients with coronary artery disease. *J Clin Lab Anal* 2005; 19: 110-114.
 17. Khan SS, Smith MS, reda D, Suffredini AF, Mc Coy JP. Multiplex bead array assays for detection of soluble cytokines: comparisons of sensitivity and quantitative values among kits from multiple manufactures. *Cytometry B Clin Cytom* 2004; 61: 35-39.
 18. Pichard C, Slosman D, Hirschel B, Kyle U. Bioimpedance analysis: an improved method for nutritional follow up. *Clin Res* 1993; 41: 53.
 19. Di Marzo V, Goparaju SK, Wang L, Liu J, Batkai S, Jarai Z, Fezza F, Miura GI, Palmiter RD, Sugiura T, Kunos G. Leptin. Regulated endocannabinoids are involved in maintaining food intake. *Nature* 2001; 410: 822-825.
 20. Osei-Hyiaman D, Liu J, Zhou L, Godlewski G, Harvey-White J, Jeong W, Batkai S, Marsicano G, Lutz B, Buettner C, Kunos G. Hepatic CB1 receptor is required for development of diet – induced steatosis , dyslipidemia, and insulin and leptin resistance in mice. *J Clin Invest* 2008; 118: 3160-3169.
 21. Jourdan T, Djaouti L, Demizieux L, Gresti J, Verges B, Degrace P. CB1 antagonism exerts specific molecular effects on visceral and subcutaneous fat aand reverses liver steatosis in diet-induced obese mice.
 22. Cota D, Marsicano G, Tschop M, Grubler Y, Flachskamm, C, Schubert M, Auer D, Yassouridis A, Thone-Reineke C, Pasquali R, Lutz B, Stalla GK, Pagotto U. The endogenous cannabinoid system affects energy balance via central orexigenic drive and peripheral lipogenesis. *J Clin Invest* 2003; 112: 423-431.
 23. Osei-Hyiaman D, DePetrillo M, Pacher P, Liu J, Radaeva S, Batkai S, Harvey-White J, Mackie K, Offertaler L, Wang, L, Kunos G. Endocannabinoid activation at hepatic CB1 receptors stimulates fatty acid synthesis and contributes to diet-induced obesity. *J Clin Invest* 2005; 115: 1298-1305.
 24. Ravinet TC, Delgorge C, Menet C, Arnone M, Soubrie P. CB1 cannabinoid receptor knockout in mice leads to leanness, resistance to diet-induced obesity and enhanced leptin sensitivity. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2004; 28:640-648.
 25. Gazzero P, Caruso MG, Notarnicola M, Misciagna G, Guerra V, Laezza C, Bifulco M. Association between cannabinoid type 1 receptor polymorphism and body mass index in a southern Italian population. *Int J Obes* 2007; 31: 908-912.
 26. De Luis DA, González Sagrado M, Aller R, Izaola O, Conde R. Influence of g139A polymorphism of the cannabinoid receptor gener (CNR1) on anthropometric parameters and insulin resistance in females with obesity.
 27. Anna Parfieniuk, Robert Flisiak Role of cannabinoids in chronic liver diseases. *World J Gastroenterol* 2008; 14: 6109-6114.
 28. Pagano C, Marin O, Calcagno A, Schiappelli P, Pilon C, Milan G et al. Increased serum resistin in adults with Prader Willi syndrome is related to obesity and not to insulin resistance. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2005; 90: 4335-40.
 29. Burnett MS, Devaney JM, Adenika RJ, Lindsay R, Howard BV. Cross-sectional associations of resistin, coronary heart disease and insulin resistance. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2006; 91: 64-8.
 30. Zou CC, Liang L, Hong F, Fu JF, Zhao ZY. Serum adiponectin, resistin levels and non alcoholic fatty liver disease in obese children. *Endocr J* 2005; 52: 519-24.
 31. Ognjanovic S. Genomic organization of the gene coding for human pre-Bcell colony enhancing factor and expression in human fetal membranes. *J Mol Endocrinol* 2001; 26: 107-17.
 32. De Luis DA, González Sagrado M, Aller R, Conde R, Izaola O. Circulating visfatin in obese non-diabetic patients in relation to cardiovascular risk factors, insulin resistance, and adipocytokines: A contradictory piece of the puzzle. *Nutrition* 2010; 1130-1133.