Nutrición Hospitalaria



Trabajo Original

Otros

Awareness and usage of nutrition information and effect of sociodemographic characteristics on various aspects of food labels in Al-Ahsa, Saudi Arabia

Conocimiento y uso de la información nutricional y efecto de las características sociodemográficas sobre diversos aspectos del etiquetado de alimentos en Al-Ahsa, Arabia Saudí

Manal Abdulaziz Binobead, Mashael Ayed Alotaibi, Sahar Abdulaziz AlSedairy, Laila Naif Al-Harbi, Shaista Arzoo, Wahidah H. Al-Qahtani

College of Food and Agriculture Sciences. Department of Food and Nutrition Sciences. King Saud University. Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Introduction: labels deliver basic product information, health safety and nutritional information.

Objectives: this study aims to assess consumers' knowledge, awareness, and practices in relation to food labels and the effect of sociodemographic characteristics on various aspects of food labels in Al-Ahsa.

Methods: a random sampling method was used to recruit participants (n = 403) and a validated electronic questionnaire was used to gather data.

Results: most of the participants (81.4 %) had moderate knowledge about general nutrition. The majority of them (58.8 %) placed high importance on reading food labels. Participants have positive opinions about the significance of reading food labels, and practice most of its aspects on a "frequent" basis. Lack of time was the main barrier for not utilizing food label information and obesity was the main concern if they did not read food labels. Results also demonstrate significant ($p \le 0.01$) positive correlations between participants' nutrition knowledge and their rating of the significance and their opinion and practices of reading food labels. Participants' rating of the importance of reading food labels was positively correlated with participants' opinion and practices regarding food label. Results also indicate that participants' sex, age, body mass index, educational level and health status have significant ($p \le 0.05$) effects on various aspects of food label under study. Finally, this study suggests some actions are required to enrich the knowledge, awareness, and practices regarding the food label among Saudi consumers in Al-Ahsa.

Conclusion: this study emphasizes the significance of education and awareness initiatives to empower consumers to understand and use nutrition facts labels in order to make healthy dietary choices.

Received: 17/02/2022 • Accepted: 04/07/2022

Funding: this work was funded by the Researchers Supporting Project No. RSP-2021/293, King Saud University, Saudi Arabia.

Informed consent statement: informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data availability statement: the data presented in this study are available on request.

Conflict of interest: the authors declare no conflict of interest.

Binobead MA, Alotaibi MA, AlSedairy SA, Al-Harbi LN, Arzoo S, Al-Qahtani WH. Awareness and usage of nutrition information and effect of sociodemographic characteristics on various aspects of food labels in Al-Ahsa, Saudi Arabia. Nutr Hosp 2022;39(5):1106-1116

Correspondence:

Shaista Arzoo. Department of Food Science and Nutrition. King Saud University. Riyadh, Saudi Arabia e-mail: sarzoo@ksu.edu.sa

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.20960/nh.04087

Copyright 2022 SENPE y Cara Ediciones S.L. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-SA (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).

Keywords:

Food labeling. Nutrition knowledge. Consumer awareness. Sociodemographic. Education.

Resumen

Introducción: las etiquetas contienen la información básica del producto en términos de seguridad para la salud y composición nutricional.

Objetivos: este estudio pretende valorar el conocimiento, la concienciación y la práctica de los consumidores saudíes con respecto a las etiquetas de los productos, así como el efecto de las características sociodemográficas sobre varios aspectos de dichas etiquetas en la región de Al-Ahsa. **Métodos:** se usó el método del muestreo aleatorio para reclutar a consumidores saudíes (n = 403) en Al-Ahsa y se empleó un cuestionario electrónico validado para recolectar los datos.

Resultados: la mayoría de los participantes (el 81,4 %) tenían conocimientos moderados acerca de la nutrición en general. La mayor parte de los participantes (58,8 %) daba mucha importancia a la lectura de la etiqueta alimentaria. Además, los participantes tienen opiniones positivas sobre la importancia de leer las etiquetas de los alimentos y practican la mayoría de los aspectos relacionados con las mismas de forma "frecuente". La falta de tiempo era el principal obstáculo para aprovechar la información nutricional de las etiquetas de los alimentos y la obesidad, la principal preocupación en caso de no leerlas. Los resultados muestran también correlaciones positivas significativas ($p \le 0,01$) entre los conocimientos nutricionales de los participantes, su valoración de la importancia que tiene leer las etiquetas alimentarias y las prácticas derivadas de ellas. Además, la valoración que hacen los participantes de leer las etiquetas de los alimentos positivamente con su opinión sobre dichas etiquetas y las prácticas relacionadas con ellas. Los resultados también indican que el sexo, la edad, el índice de masa corporal, el nivel educativo y el estado de los participantes tienen un efecto significativo ($p \le 0,05$) sobre diversos aspectos de la etiqueta alimentaria sometida a estudio. Por último, este estudio sugiere que se precisan algunas acciones para enriquecer el conocimiento, la concienciación y las prácticas concernientes al etiquetad alimentario entre los consumidores saudíes de Al-Ahsa.

Palabras clave:

Etiquetado de productos. Conocimiento nutricional. Conciencia del consumidor. Sociodemográfico. Educación.

Conclusión: se resalta la importancia de las iniciativas de educación y concienciación para permitir a los consumidores comprender y usar las etiquetas de datos nutricionales a fin de que puedan elegir una dieta sana.

INTRODUCTION

A healthy and well balanced diet has a significant impact on body health and could control various nutrition-related diseases such as obesity, diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases. Particularly, around 73 % of deaths in Saudi Arabia are related to non-communicable diseases (1). A study on the prevalence of the chronic disease among elderly Saudi men showed a high percentage of obesity (77.8 %), followed by hypertension (71.3 %), diabetes (27.3 %), heart disease (16.4 %), asthma (9.7 %), ulcer (8.9 %), and cancer (2.0 %), respectively (2). On the other hand, iron-deficiency anemia was highly prevalent in females (94 %) (3). The Saudi Food and Drug Authority (SFDA) is an "institution that oversees all matters related to foods including food laws and regulations, information and education activities for the consumers" (1). Recently, the SFDA had issued a law that requires bakeries, restaurants, cafes, and ice cream parlors to provide their consumers with calorie information on written menus and monitors (4). It is an approach to promote a healthier lifestyle by reducing salt, sugar, and saturated and trans fats in products. Since 2007, the SFDA has been implementing mandatory prepackaged food labeling containing nutritional information such as calorie counts, carbohydrates, fats (saturated fat, trans fat), proteins, and other components, along with production and expiration dates (5).

Since nutrition has been recognized as an effective factor in order to control chronic diseases, nutritional awareness increased among the people which makes them learn how to choose healthy food. Labeling is defined as "any words, particulars, trademarks, brand names, pictorial matter or symbol relating to a food stuff and placed on any packaging, document, notice, ring or collar accompanying or referring to such food stuff" (6). It includes any statement provided about the food product, explanation or description, whether it is illustrated, written, printed, glued, engraved, or connected to the food package. The main purpose for this label is to provide basic information related to the product, health safety, and nutritional information, as well as to facilitate promotion. According to Anastasiou et al. (7), food companies should specify the quantities and types of fats, total fat, saturated fat, cholesterol, sodium, total carbohydrates, dietary fiber, sugar, protein, vitamin A and C, calcium and iron in one serving of the product.

Reading the food label has many benefits for consumers, such as identifying the food item, understanding the contents of the package, avoiding unwanted foods, choosing a taste they like, knowing the internal components of the food, identifying food additives and knowing the nutrition value. Because of its importance as one of the most powerful mediums to convey information from manufacturers to consumers, it should be detailed but simple, accurate and unbiased, and it should provide evidence-based health claims to assist consumers in their decision-making process by encouraging them to choose healthy foods (7). According to Kretser's (8) study, consumers are more likely to purchase healthy foods and beverages by utilizing information provided on food labels to achieve their health goals. Furthermore, choosing healthy food depends on other factors, including how information is perceived and understood. But this perception and understanding can be influenced by other factors such as nutrition knowledge (9), nutrition labeling content (10), and even socioeconomic factors (11). Regulatory measures on food labels alone would be insufficient if they were not accompanied by an assessment of consumers awareness regarding healthy choices and nutrition labels, and choosing a healthy diet depends on understanding and interpreting food labels (12). Drichoutis et al. (13) found that personality traits and behavioral and attitudinal factors influenced the use of food labels. A study carried out in India concluded that around 66 % of adolescents believe that nutrition details on food stuff labels are complex and are difficult to understand (14).

A previous study by Washi (15) revealed that most Saudi consumers were not familiar with the serving size, the nutritional content of the food product, and the health claims mentioned on the labels. Another study by Al-Barqi et al. (1) on students in health colleges reported that food label use was low among the participants. Research shows that nutrition knowledge promotes food label use, while time constraints pose a serious obstruction. To our knowledge, no study has been found that assessed the knowledge and awareness of the Saudi consumers in the Al-Ahsa Governorate in Saudi Arabia about the use of food labels. Therefore, the objective of this study was to assess Saudi consumers' knowledge, awareness, and practices in relation to food labels. The results may provide valuable information for the SFDA in order to evaluate the impact of their efforts, policies and regulations associated with food labeling on Saudi consumer's behaviors through its frequent and effective use.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

STUDY DESIGN AND PARTICIPANTS

A cross-sectional study was carried out on 403 consumers (males and females \geq 18 years) in Al-Ahsa, Saudi Arabia. Random sampling was used to recruit Saudi consumers in Al-Ahsa. All consumers participating in the study provided their informed consent, after their roles and responsibilities in the study were explained clearly. All participants signed their consent forms on a voluntary basis and were informed that they are free to withdraw at any time.

INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD STATEMENT

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Ethics Committee of King Saud University (Ref. No. KSU-HE-20-407).

INSTRUMENTS

Empirical data on Saudi consumers' knowledge, awareness, and practices related to food label were collected through a self-reported instrument. An electronic questionnaire containing 43 items was created to collect data. It consisted of six sections. The questions were adapted from previous studies (1,16,17).

Section 1

Participants' sociodemographic and health characteristics included gender, age, height (cm), weight (kg), marital status, educational level, and health status.

Section 2

The aim of this section was to assess participants' nutritional knowledge. This section contains one question to assess the participants nutrition knowledge (self-assessment) on a 5-point Likert scale (5 = very high knowledge, 4 = high knowledge, 3 = moderate knowledge, 2 = little knowledge, 1 = very little knowledge). Based on the mean scored, participants were classified as follow: 1-2.33, 2.34-2.67 and 2.68-5 were indicative of low, moderate and high knowledge, respectively. The questions were adapted from previous studies (1,17). The other questions in this section were multiple-choice questions with only one correct answer to assess the nutrition knowledge of participants (Nutrition knowledge scoring). A 10-point score was used to assess their reply of multiple-choice questions. Based on the points scored, participants were grouped as follow: scores of 0-3, 4-7, and 8-10 were indicative of low, moderate and high, respectively.

Section 3

This section aimed to evaluate participants' awareness of the food label. The questions were related to participants' awareness of reading and understanding the food label. Frequency categories were yes and no for reading and understanding the food label, and the willingness to buy the product in case of having no food label. Then, on a 3-point Likert scale (1 = little important, 2 = moderately important, 3 = very important), participants were requested to answer questions related to their attitudes towards the importance of food label. In case of having difficulties in understanding the food label, participants were requested to identify the reasons for this difficulty on a yes/no scale. Four reasons were identified for such difficulty: language differences, the small print size and font, limitation in understanding and other difficulty.

Section 4

This section identifies participants' opinions about food labels. On a 5-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = neutral, 4 = agree and 5 = strongly agree), participants were asked to answer the questions associated with their opinions about the significance of the food label to consumers and their health, food label's accuracy and honesty, as well as their keenness to buy the unhealthy products.

Section 5

This section aimed to measure the practices regarding food label by participants. The participants were requested to answer the questions associated with their practices regarding food labels in terms of using these labels, reading the production and expiration date, checking calorie, fats and sugar contents, checking and monitoring warning information, checking the product's price before purchasing and manufacturer's name, and reading storage conditions. All answers were made on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = never, 2 = rarely, 3 = often, 4 = frequently and 5 = always).

Section 6

This last section focused on some general areas regarding food label use. It contained questions related to barriers that hinder the use of labels and health worries if the participant does not read the food label. Options were provided and the participants were supposed to choose the best option.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data was analyzed using IBM SPSS statistics software version 21. Results were presented as percentage or mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Pearson's correlation test was performed to estimate the correlation between the participants' knowledge, awareness and practices in relation to food labels. One-sample t test was used to test whether the sample mean is statistically different from a known or hypothesized population mean (= 3). Independent-samples t test and one-way ANOVA were performed to determine the difference of participants' knowledge, awareness and practices regarding food labels according to certain variables: sex, body mass index (BMI), age, educational level, marital status and health status. Statistical significance level was set at p-value ≤ 0.05 .

RESULTS

SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC AND HEALTH CHARACTERISTICS OF PARTICIPANTS AND NUTRITION KNOWLEDGE ASSESSMENT AND SCORING OF THE PARTICIPANTS

The data obtained on sociodemographic and health characteristics of the participants (n = 403) is summarized in table I. The majority of participants (74.2 %) were female and 51.1 % were between 19 and 30 years old. About 59 % of the participants were married and 72 % of them held a university degree. The BMI of almost 50 % of them was normal while almost 47 % were either overweight or obese. The majority of participants (82.9 %) did not have any chronic diseases. The most common chronic disease reported by the participants was blood pressure, followed by diabetes.

Participants' self-assessment of nutrition knowledge was at a moderate level (3.0 ± 0.807). The majority of participants (81.4 %) had moderate knowledge about general nutrition and the mean score (5.79 over 10) also indicated that participants' nutrition knowledge was at moderate level (Table I).

Variables	Frequency	Percent	Mean ± SD				
Sociodemographic and health characteristics							
Sex							
Male	104	25.8					
Female	299	74.2					
Age (years)							
19-30	206	51.1					
31-40	94	23.3					
41-50	70	17.4					
51 or above	33	8.2					
Marital status							
Single	150	37.2					
Married	239	59.3					
Other	14	3.4					
Education level	0	0					
Uneducated	75	18.6					
School (middle and secondary)	289	71.7					
University (Bachelor)	39	9.7					
University (higher studies)							

Table I. Sociodemographic, health characteristics, nutrition knowledge assessment and scoring of the participants characteristics

(Continues on next page)

Variables	Frequency Percent						
Sociodemographic and health characteristics							
Body mass index (BMI) Underweight Normal Overweight Obese Extremely obese	18 195 109 59 22	4.5 48.4 27 14.6 5.5					
<i>Health status</i> Not having chronic disease Having chronic disease	334 69	82.9 17.1					
Chronic disease* Hypertension Diabetes High cholesterol Obesity Other	30 19 8 11 22	43.5 27.5 11.6 15.9 31.9					
Nutrition knowledge assessment and scoring of the participants							
Self-assessment of nutrition knowledge			3.0 ± 0.807				
<i>Nutrition knowledge scoring</i> Low Moderate High	31 328 44	7.7 81.4 10.9	5.79 ± 1.482				

Table I (Cont.). Sociodemographic, health characteristics, nutrition knowledge assessment and scoring of the participants characteristics

*Some participants may have two or more chronic diseases at the same time. Data has been represented as frequency and percentage or mean + standard deviation.

PARTICIPANTS' AWARENESS OF FOOD LABEL

According to our results, 57.6 % of participants read the products food label and 52.1 % confirmed that food label was easy to understand (Table II). On the other hand, some participants struggled in understanding these labels due to their small size and font of print (23.6 %). The majority of participants (62.3 %) do not like to buy products without food label. Around 58.8 % of participants indicate that it is very important to read the food label.

PARTICIPANTS' OPINION AND PRACTICES IN RELATION TO FOOD LABELS

Results showed that the opinions of participants about some aspects of food label were significantly high ($p \le 0.01$) in the score (mean = 4.13, SD = 0.587) (Table III). Participants strongly ($p \le 0.01$) believed that reading and understanding food labels is important and beneficial to the consumer (mean = 4.56, SD = 0.634) and it may prevent some diseases (mean = 4.5, SD = 0.67). In addition, participants believed that the nutritional warnings on food labels are honest (mean = 3.89, SD = 0.958), and the information mentioned on the food labels was accurate (mean = 3.57, SD = 0.996). All t values were significant

(p \leq 0.01), indicating that the means of the sample were significantly (p \leq 0.01) different from the proposed value of three.

Results in table III revealed that participants practiced some of the food label uses on a "frequent" basis (mean = 3.73, SD = 0.849). Participants "always" read the production and expiration date (mean = 4.57, SD = 0.842) and checked the price of the product before purchasing (mean = 4.38, SD = 0.978). However, the results indicated some "frequently" practices regarding food labels such as checking the name of the manufacturer and warning information. Some practices fall into the category of "often", such as checking calorie, sugar and fat contents. All t values were significant (p \leq 0.01), indicating that the means of the sample were significantly (p \leq 0.01) different from the proposed value of three.

PARTICIPANTS' ORDERING OF FOOD LABEL'S INFORMATION

The study demonstrated that 44.4 % of participants read first the "calories and energy" contents and 31.3 % of them read the "vitamins and minerals" contents at the end (Fig. 1). The results showed a significant variation between participants selections in the order of the information checked while using the food label.

Variables	Frequency	Percent (%)
<i>Reading the products food label</i> Yes No	232 171	57.6 42.4
<i>Is the food label easy to understand</i> Yes No	210 193	52.1 47.9
Reasons for difficulty in understanding food labels* Difference in language Small size of print and font sizes Unable to understand the terms Other	15 95 63 20	3.7 23.6 15.6 5.0
Willingness to buy products without food label Yes No	152 251	37.7 62.3
<i>Importance of reading food labels</i> Little Moderate High	21 145 237	5.2 36.0 58.8

Table II. Participants' awareness of food labelling

*Calculation was made upon answering the question "Is the food label easy to understand?" with "no". Data have been represented as frequency and percentage.

PARTICIPANTS' GENERAL AREAS OF INTEREST FOR FOOD LABEL USE

Results demonstrated that obesity (40.2 %) was the participant's main health worry in case they did not check the food label, followed by diabetes (25.1 %) (Fig. 2A), while high blood lipids (12.7 %), heart diseases (7.9 %) and hypertension (5 %) were the least common health worries. The investigation revealed that around 27 % of participants agreed that lack of time was the main barrier for not using food label information (Fig. 2B), followed by the difference in language (23.6 %). Among the 403 participants, only 2.7 % stated that food label has no use and 46.6 % of them stated that some other barriers are responsible for not using the food label information (Fig. 2B).



Figure 1.

Participants' ranking of food labels information.

Mean ± SD Indication Variables ŧ р Participants' opinion about food labels Reading and understanding food labels is important and beneficial to the consumer 4.56 ± 0.63 49.374 0.001* Very high Reading and understanding the nutritional label may prevent some diseases 4.5 ± 0.67 44.945 0.001* Very high The nutritional warnings on food labels are honest 3.89 ± 0.96 18.568 0.001* High The information on food labels is accurate 3.57 ± 0.99 11.400 0.001* High 4.13 ± 0.59 38.548 0.001* High Average mean Participants' practices regarding food labels 0.001* Use of food labels 3.48 ± 1.09 8.793 Frequently Reading the production and expiration date 4.57 ± 0.842 37.330 0.001* Always Checking calorie content 3.41 ± 1.195 6.963 0.001* Often Checking fat content 3.24 ± 1.234 3.833 0.001* Often 3.33 ± 1.237 5.395 Often Checking sugar content 0.001* Checking and following warning information 3.72 ± 1.203 11.967 0.001* Frequently Checking the price of the product before purchasing 4.38 ± 0.978 0.001* Always 28.262 Checking the name of the manufacturer 3.84 ± 1.198 14.010 0.001* Frequently Reading storage conditions 3.59 ± 1.259 9.456 0.001* Frequently Average mean 3.73 ± 0.849 17.202 0.001* Frequently

Table III. Participants' opinion and practices in relation to food labels

One-sample t test was used to test whether the sample mean is statistically different from a known or hypothesized population mean (= 3). *Significant at p < 0.01.



Figure 2.

Participants' general areas of interest for food label use. Results have been expressed in percentages. A. Health worries if the participant does not read food labels. B. Barriers for not using food labels information. C. Reasons behind not reading food labels.

In addition, the reasons given by participants behind not reading the food labels (Fig. 2C) included buying certain food products they used to buy (36.2 %), buying favorite products, and buying what families preferred (19.9 %). On the other hand, 10.4 % of the participants claimed they are satisfied with their current diet and health, and only 2 % did not believe the food label is important.

CORRELATIONS BETWEEN THE MAIN ASPECTS OF FOOD LABEL

Pearson correlations were calculated to investigate the associations between participants' nutrition knowledge, their rating of the importance of reading food labels, their opinions about food labels and their practices in relation to food labels (Table IV). A significant positive correlation ($p \le 0.01$) was found between participants' nutrition knowledge and their rating of the importance of reading the food labels (r = 0.175), their opinion about food labels (r = 0.178) and their practices in relation to food labels (r = 0.242). In addition, participants' rating of the importance of reading the food labels (r = 0.345) and participants' practices regarding food labels (r = 0.481). The correlation between participants' opinion about food labels (r = 0.481). The correlation between participants' opinion about food labels (r = 0.481). The correlation of 0.503.

PARTICIPANTS' DIFFERENCES IN THE MAIN ASPECTS OF FOOD LABEL BASED ON SEX AND HEALTH STATUS

Results in table V revealed a highly significant ($p \le 0.01$) difference between male and female participants in nutrition knowledge (t = -3.851, p = 0.000), rating of the importance of reading the food labels (t = -4.045, p = 0.001), opinions about

food labels (t = -2.694, p = 0.007) and practices regarding food labels (t = -6.467, p = 0.000). Moreover, participants who have chronic diseases are more aware of practicing food labels than participants with non-chronic diseases (t = 3.926, p = 0.002). Other aspects of food labels were not affected by health status.

PARTICIPANT DIFFERENCES IN THE MAIN ASPECTS OF FOOD LABEL BASED ON AGE, BMI, MARITAL STATUS, AND EDUCATIONAL LEVEL

Our study indicated that the age of participants (Table VI) significantly affected their nutrition knowledge (F = 4.443, p = 0.004), their opinions about food labels (F = 2.596, p = 0.04) and their practices regarding food labels (F = 6.090, p = 0.000). All these significant ($p \le 0.05/0.01$) differences were on behalf of the age category (31-40) as indicated by the Scheffe test. However, participants' rating of the significance of reading the food labels was not affected by participants' age and participants BMI, and they have an insignificant effect on their nutrition knowledge, rating of the importance of reading food labels and their practices in relation to food labels. This variable only affected significantly $(p \le 0.05)$ participants' opinion about food labels (F = 2.483, p = 0.043). This significant ($p \le 0.05$) difference was on behalf of the "underweight" category as indicated by the Scheffe test. Marital status has an insignificant effect on participants' nutrition knowledge, rating of the importance of reading food labels, opinions about food labels and practices in relation to food labels. However, results also indicated that the participants' educational level significantly ($p \le 0.05/0.01$) affected their nutrition knowledge (F = 2.721, p = 0.044), the rating of the importance of reading food labels (F = 3.627, p = 0.013), their opinions about food labels (F = 6.582, p = 0.000) and their practices regarding food labels (F = 7.800, p = 0.000). All these significant differences were on behalf of the "higher studies" category.

Aspects of food labels	Participants' nutrition knowledge	Participants' rating of the importance of reading food labels	Participants' opinion about food labels
Participants' nutrition knowledge	-	-	-
Participants' rating of the importance of reading food labels	0.175* (p = 0.001)	-	-
Participants' opinion about food labels	0.178* (p = 0.002)	0.345* (p = 0.001)	-
Participants' practices regarding food labels	0.242* (p = 0.001)	0.481* (p = 0.003)	0.503* (p = 0.004)

Table IV. Correlations between t	the main aspects of food labels
----------------------------------	---------------------------------

Pearson's correlation test was used to evaluate the correlation between participants' knowledge, awareness and practices regarding food labels. *Significance at $p \le 0.01$.

Table V. Participants' differences in the main aspects of food labels based on sex and health status

Aspects of food label	Male (n = 104)	Female (n = 299)	t	р	- Chronic diseases (334)	+ Chronic diseases (n = 69)	t	р
Participants' nutrition knowledge	5.38 ± 1.66	5.93 ± 1.39	-3.85	0.000**	5.71 ± 1.54	5.81 ± 1.47	0.485	0.638
Participants' rating of the importance of reading food labels	2.34 ± 0.71	2.61 ± 0.54	-4.045	0.001**	2.41 ± 0.671	2.56 ± 0.575	1.811	0.073
Participants' opinion about food labels	4.00 ± 0.66	4.17 ± 0.56	-2.694	0.007**	4.03 ± 0.643	4.15 ± 0.574	1.811	0.073
Participants' practices regarding food labels	3.29 ± 1.06	3.88 ± 0.700	-6.467	0.000**	3.37 ± 1.08	3.80 ± 0.78	3.926	0.002**

Independent-samples t test was used to investigate the difference of participants' knowledge, awareness and practices regarding food labels according to variables of sex and health status. *Significant at $p \le 0.5$. **Significant at $p \le 0.01$.

Table VI. Participant differences in the main aspects of food label according to age	, BMI,
marital status and educational level	

Accests of food lobal	Age		BMI		Marital status		Educational level	
Aspects of food label	F	р	F	р	F	р	F	р
Participants' nutrition knowledge	4.443	0.004†	1.138	0.338	0.456	0.713	2.721	0.044*
Participants' rating of the importance of reading food labels	0.882	0.450	0.652	0.626	2.197	0.088	3.627	0.013*
Participants' opinion about food labels	2.596	0.040*	2.483	0.043*	0.75	0.523	6.582	0.000†
Participants' practices regarding food labels	6.090	0.000†	0.889	0.470	1.135	0.225	7.800	0.000†

One-way ANOVA was used to investigate the difference of participants' knowledge, awareness and practices regarding food labels due to variables of age, body mass index (BMI), marital status, educational level and health status. *Significant at $p \le 0.05$. *Significant at $p \le 0.01$.

DISCUSSION

This study was aimed to evaluate Saudi consumers' knowledge, awareness, and practices as regards food labels, as well as to explore the effect of sociodemographic characteristics on various aspects of food labels. SFDA exerted extensive efforts in regulating the food labeling in Saudi Arabia, to enhance health awareness among Saudi consumers and to reduce nutrition-related diseases through healthier food choices. This study showed that most participants had moderate knowledge about general nutrition, which is in line with the results of a previous study carried out on Saudi female university students in Rivadh (1). The nutritional label provides information to customers about the available choices and inspires the consumption and production of healthy products. The result recorded around 57.6 % participants read the food labels. Other studies conducted in different parts of the world showed different percentages in reading food labels, for example, in China (28.7 %) (18), South Africa (70 %) (12) and US adolescents (9-16 %) (19). The Ministry of Health of Saudi Arabia has expended considerable effort in delivering public health programs and raising awareness about healthy diet, chronic disease prevention (obesity, diabetes) and the promotion of physical activity, which may be the reason for moderate knowledge about nutrition and reasonable use of food labels in the country (20,21).

Apart from this, positive and significant correlation between participants' nutrition knowledge and consumers' rating of the importance of reading the food label, their opinion about food labels and their practices in relation to food label has been found. Thus, it can be concluded that the knowledge of nutrition motivates the use of food labels. This finding is in agreement with previous studies (1,22). However, this study is contrary to the study performed on undergraduate student in Malaysia, by Nurliyana et al. (23). This inconsistency could be due to variants in the sample size, study time, ethnicity of the examined population, and participants characteristics. The cognitive processing model recommends that consumers with preceding knowledge tend towards using label information more efficiently than those without knowledge (24). So, in order to promote a positive attitude towards the nutrition label, it is immensely vital to upsurge consumers' awareness about health and the importance of nutrition to prevent diseases and promote health. Along with nutrition knowledge, consumers' attitudes toward other aspects related to food products such as taste and economic condition could also affect their use of food labels during the buying process.

It has been observed that participants with high ratings of the significance of reading food labels were more involved in having positive opinions/attitude and good practices regarding food labels. The results of this study showed that the majority of participants preferred to read the food products labels and they were not willing to buy the product without the label. Also, they were aware of the significance of food labels. It became a routine for Indian consumers to purchase prepackaged food after reading the label, so they were familiar with most of the products (25). Participants who find difficulties in understanding food labels mentioned that the causing agent behind this difficulty was the tiny size and font of print and shortage of time, as well as differences in language. This result obtained in the present study is in agreement with Al Bargi et al. (2020) (1) and Jacobs et al. (26). Moreover, Heidarinejad et al. (27) reported that the major causes for not paying attention to food labels include tiny printing of labels, ignorance, and lack of time, interest and motivation. Schupp et al. (28) reported that scarcity of time and interest and availability of previous information about food were the main reasons behind not paying attention to food labels among Americans. Another study revealed that dearth of knowledge and scarcity of interest in nutrition, along with lack of faith on information provided on the labels, small print size, checking the nutrition information only at first instance and scarcity of time were ranked in order of priority as reasons for lack of attention to food labels (29). Graham and Laska (30) reported that clear and colored fonts used on the nutrition label could be more appealing to consumers to read the nutrition label. Participants in the present study firmly believe that reading and understanding the food label is important for the consumer to avoid diseases. Additionally, participants strongly believe that food labels provide accurate nutrition information and the nutritional warnings were honest. These findings indicate that participants have positive attitudes toward using food labels, which in turns positively affects their practices regarding food labels. Al-Bargi's et al. (1) have confirmed similar findings where participants with positive attitudes toward food labels were more likely to use them compared with those with negative attitudes. Ng et al. (31) reported that attitude plays an important role on the customer's decision on food products and purchase. By educating the customer about the concept, importance, and application of food labels, it is possible to influence their desire to choose a healthier food product.

Mahgoub et al. (32) reported that half of the consumers worldwide understand only "partially" the nutritional label available on food packages. They found that lack of understanding was most prevalent among members of Asia Pacific (60 %), followed by Europeans (50 %) and Latin Americans (45 %), while North Americans, followed by Portugueses, Cannadians, and the consumers from New Zealand and Spain were most conversant with food labellings.

In the present study, manufacturing and expiration date, as well as the cost of the product, were read on a regular basis (always) before purchasing, while the manufacturing company name and warning information were frequently checked. Ganbari et al. (33) reported that maximum participants were more interested in production and expiration date of products, while fewer were concerned with the product's nutritional information and information related to additives. Similar findings have been reported earlier in other countries (27,34). A study carried out in Malawi stated that price was the foremost determinant factor to purchase (35). On the contrary, a study in Lesotho (32) showed that instead of price, nutrition information was the major element that influenced consumer's choice to purchase the product. On the other hand, food expiry dates on labels are easy to check and understand, which is very important to ensure food safety (36). Interestingly, calorie, sugar and fat contents were often checked,

which reflects a little interest of participants toward these contents. Wahab (16) observed in his study on Bahraini customers that when buying food for the first time, fat content was the most commonly observed nutrient, followed by sugar and calories. The level of participants' nutrition knowledge, their opinions and the importance of checking food labels may affect their checking of nutrient contents such as calorie, sugar and fat contents. Thus, we can conclude that participants' practices in relation to food labels was positively correlated with these three variables.

However, other factors might affect some aspects of food label usage. Our results indicated that participants' sex affects their nutrition knowledge, rating of the importance of checking food labels, opinions about food labels and practices regarding food labels. Several studies agreed with this finding (16,32) and indicated that sex has a role in persuading food label use. In this study, the highest values recorded in females may be due to the chief role and responsibility of women in grocery shopping for household needs. But it could be also due to females being more concerned about their appearance and fitness than men, which makes them selective and in a mindset to prepare healthy meals (10,32). Nagya (37) reported that many males do not believe that nutritional information is valuable, or that it can help in food choices, or even think about to what extent health is important. By contrast, Aryee et al. (38) demonstrated that males were more likely to understand nutrition labels while other socio-demographic characteristics such as age, educational level, occupation and income were insignificant predictors. Simultaneously, they reported an insignificant connotation between the use of food labels, income and gender. Participants' age has an effect on their nutrition knowledge, opinions about food labels and practices when using food labels. This can be attributed to the restricted diets that their health advisers recommend to prevent them from developing chronic diseases at this age (13). However, BMI was found to have a significant effect on their opinion of food label. This indicates that BMI influences participants attitudes with little impact on their practices and other uses of food label.

Furthermore, results revealed that participants' educational level significantly affects their nutrition knowledge, the rating of the importance of reading food labels, their opinions about food label and their practices when using food labels on behalf of the "higher studies" category. Therefore, highly educated participants are more interested in some aspects of food labels than low educated ones. This is probably because educated consumers read academic journals and academic papers more regularly, so they have more exposure to health-related news and are aware of the connection between diet and health. This finding agrees with Mahgoub's et al. (32), who observed that educational levels have a role in the use of food labels. An educated person can interpret the nutritional information and incorporate it into a healthier diet. Researchers in the United Arab Emirates found a statistical correlation between consumer interest in reading and using food labels and levels of education (39).

The study recorded that most of the participants perceive obesity followed by diabetes, high blood lipid, heart disease and hypertension as the most significant health concerns related to

not reading food labels. Similar results have been reported by Wahab (16) in the study carried out on consumers in Bahrain. In addition, results show that participants who have chronic diseases are more aware of checking food labels than participants who do not suffer from chronic diseases. This is a logical finding since individuals with chronic diseases are always at risk and trying to prevent some negative effects of foods contents such as salt, sugar, calories, etc. on their health. Choice the healthy food is acted by an individual to consume food with less sugar and fat and high content of fibers, fruits and vegetables. Nutrition plays a significant role in a healthier life. Since the public is trying to choose healthier food items, so, the health system and food department have a responsibility to provide the public with clear information about food. Finally, marital status has been found to have an insignificant effect on participants' nutrition knowledge, rating of the importance of reading food labels, opinions about food labels and practices in relation to food labels. Arfaoui et al. (40) reported that knowledge about nutrition facts labels and NHC is higher among participants without children and those shopping with their families because respondents have more time available to read food labels than parents and child-care giving parents shopping for household needs.

LIMITATIONS

This study used a valid questionnaire and a substantial sample size (n = 403), representative of the Saudi consumers in Al-Ahsa. However, due to this restriction (Saudi consumers in Al-Ahsa), the current study results cannot be generalized and may not reveal the reality of other Saudi population groups. Thus, further investigation including other Saudi population groups are needed to generalize these results. Moreover, it is crucial to emphasize the significance of nutrition knowledge to instill positive attitudes in Saudi consumers in Al-Ahsa to read the labels on their food products and practice this during purchase, which may reduce the occurrence of diet-related diseases in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

CONCLUSIONS

This study assessed the knowledge, awareness, and practices regarding food labels during purchasing among Saudi consumers in Al-Ahsa. In Al-Ahsa, Saudi consumers' positive attitudes and practices toward food labels, and their emphasis on reading them seem to be influenced by nutrition knowledge, while shortage of time and language differences posed significant barriers. Hence, emphasis on increasing knowledge, awareness, and practices regarding food labels during purchasing among Saudi consumers in Al-Ahsa is required to meet the desired outcomes of the SFDA's policies and regulations. In order to prevent the phenomenon of random food shopping, it is suggested that the SFDA and other Saudi stakeholders develop a policy which should emphasize predetermined health food needs. Also, it needs to be publicized in every setting of the Saudi community

(e.g., schools, universities, etc.). Schools and universities curricula should have nutrition basics, as nutrition knowledge has an impact on some food label aspects. Television, radio and internet programs can significantly improve consumers' knowledge, awareness and practices regarding food labels during purchasing. As such, consumers will learn more about food labels, which will lead to healthier dietary options. And consequently, this will reduce the deterioration in public health, morbidity and the occurrence of multiple diseases.

In contrast, more attention should be paid to barriers such as the difference in languages, print size, and the font used on food labels. So, the goal should be to ensure food labels are easier for consumers to read and comprehend.

REFERENCES

- Al-Barqi R, Al-Salem Y, Mahrous L, Abat E, Al-Quraishi R, Benajiba N. Understanding barriers towards the use of food labels among Saudi female college students. Malays J Nutr 2020;26:019-30. DOI: 10.31246/mjn-2019-0117
- SaquibN, Saquib J, Alhadlag A, Albakour MA, Aljumah B, SughayyirM, et al. Chronic disease prevalence among elderly Saudi men. Int J Health Sci (Qassim) 2017;11:11-6.
- Owaidah T, Al-NumairN, Al-Suliman A, Mohammed Z, Hasanato R, Al Zahrani F, et al. Iron deficiency and iron deficiency anemia are common epidemiological conditions in Saudi Arabia: report of the National Epidemiological Survey. Anemia 2020;2020:6642568. DOI: 10.1155/2020/6642568
- STA Law Firm. United Arab Emirates: Saudi Arabia Mandatory Calorie Labeling on Menus. Accessed on November 30th, 2021. Available from: http://www. mondaq.com/x/769316/food+drugs+law/Saudi+Arabia+Mandatory+Calorie+Labeling+On+Menus.\
- Al-Kandari D, Jukes DJ. The food control system in Saudi Arabia Centralizing food control activities. Food Control 2012;28:33-46. DOI: 10.1016/j. foodcont.2012.03.030
- Cheftel JC. Food and nutrition labeling in the European Union. Food Chem 2005;93:531-50. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.11.041
- Anastasiou K, Miller M, Dickinson K. The relationship between food label use and dietary intake in adults: a systematic review. Appetite J 2019;138:280-91. DOI: 10.1016/j.appet.2019.03.025
- Kretser AJ. The new dietary reference intakes in food labeling: the food industry's perspective. Am J Clin Nutr 2006;83:1231-4. DOI: 10.1093/ ajcn/83.5.1231S
- Grunert KG, Fernández-Celemín L, Wills JM, Genannt Bonsmann SS, Nureeva L. Use and understanding of nutrition information on food labels in six European countries. J Public Health 2010;18:261-77. DOI: 10.1007/s10389-009-0307-0
- Prathiraja P, Ariyawardana A. Impact of nutritional labeling on consumer buying behavior. Sri Lankan J Agric Econ 2011;5:35-46. DOI: 10.4038/sjae. v5i0.3475
- Cannoosamy K, Pugo-Gunsam P, Jeewon R. Consumer knowledge and attitudes toward nutritional labels. J Nutr Educ Behav 2014;46:334-40. DOI: 10.1016/j.jneb.2014.03.010
- Van der Merwe D, Bosman M, Ellis S, de Beer H, Mielmann A. Consumers' knowledge of food label information: an exploratory investigation in Potchefstroom, South Africa. Public Health Nutr 2013;16:403-8. DOI: 10.1017/ S136898001200287X
- Drichoutis AC, Lazaridis P, Nayga RM. Nutrition knowledge and consumer use of nutritional food labels. Eur Rev Agric Econ 2005;32:93-118. DOI: 10.1093/erae/jbi003
- Saha S, VemulaSR, Mendu VVR, Gavaravarapu SRM. Knowledge and practices of using food label information among adolescents attending schools in Kolkata, India. J Nutr Educ Behav 2013;45:773-9. DOI: 10.1016/j. jneb.2013.07.011
- Washi S. Nutritional aspects of food labeling in Saudi Arabia. Ahfad J 2001;8:17-29.
- Wahab R. Food label use and awareness of nutritional information among consumers in Bahrain: an exploratory study. Sustainability and resil-

ience conference: mitigating risks and emergency planning. KnE Life Sci 2018;2018:26-36.

- Kliemann N, Wardle J, Johnson F, Croker, H. Reliability and validity of a revised version of the general nutrition knowledge questionnaire. Eur J Clin Nutr 2016;70:1174-80. DOI: 10.1038/ejcn.2016.87
- Song J, Huang J, ChenY, Zhu Y, Li H, Wen Y, et al. The understanding, attitude and use of nutrition label among consumers (China). Nutr Hosp 2015;31:270310.
- Wojcicki JM, Heyman MB. Adolescent nutritional awareness and use of food labels: results from the national nutrition health and examination survey. BMC Pediatr 2012;12:55. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2431-12-55
- Al-Hashem A. Health education in Saudi Arabia: historical overview. Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J 2016;16:e286. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2431-12-55
- Almalki M, FitzGerald G, Clark M. Health Care System in Saudi Arabia: an overview. East Mediterr Health J 2011;17:784. DOI: 10.26719/2011.17.10.784
- Norazlanshah H, Muhammad I, Hasmira MD, Mashitam M, Norfazlila MR, Fazlyla N. The use of nutrition label on food purchasing decision among university students in Kuantan, Malaysia. Health Environ J 2013;4:1-10.
- Nurliyana G, Norazmir MN, Khairil Anuar MI. Knowledge, attitude and practices of university students regarding the use of nutritional information and food labels. Asian J Clin Nutr 2011;3:79-91. DOI: 10.3923/ajcn.2011.79.91
- 24. Miller LM, Cassady DL. The effects of nutrition knowledge on food label use. A review of the literature. Appetite 2015;92:207-16.
- Patel D, Dutta S. Study of consumer awareness on food labeling and use of pack information for purchase of pre-packaged food products. Int J Indian Psychol 2017;4:63-72.
- Jacobs SA, De Beer H, Larney M. Adult consumers' understanding and use of information on food labels: a study among consumers living in the Potchefstroom and Klerksdorp regions, South Africa. Public Health Nutr 2010;14:510-22. DOI: 10.1017/S1368980010002430
- Heidarinejad Z, Hashemi N, Godarzi B, Faghih A, Montaseri M. Attitudes, practices, and views of consumers on food labels in Bandar Abbas, 2017. Int J BioMed Public Health 2018;1:194-9.
- Schupp A, Gillespie J, Reed D. Consumer awareness and use of nutrition labels on packaged fresh meats: a pilot study. J Food Distrib Res 1998;29:24-30.
- Themba G, Tanjo J. Consumer awareness and usage of nutrition information in Botswana. Business Manag Horiz 2013;1:44-58. DOI: 10.5296/bmh. v1i1.3401
- Graham DJ, Laska MN. Nutrition label use partially mediates the relationship between attitude toward healthy eating and overall dietary quality among college students. J Acad Nutr Diet 2012;112:414-8. DOI: 10.1016/j. jada.2011.08.047
- Ng SH, Kelly B, Se CH, Sahathevan S, Chinna K, Ismail MN, et al. Reading the mind of children in response to food advertising: a cross-sectional study of Malaysian schoolchildren's attitudes towards food and beverages advertising on television. BMC Public Health 2015;15:1047. DOI: 10.1186/ s12889-015-2392-z
- Mahgoub SE, Lesoli PP, Gabotswang K. Awareness and use of nutritional information on food packages among consumers in Maseru (Lesotho). African J Food Agric Nutr Dev 2007;7:1-16. DOI: 10.18697/ajfand.17.2585
- Ganbari GM, Ghochani M, Amini TF, Nowrouz P, Dehghanianzadeh RR, Aslani H. Assessing knowledge and practice of food producers, retailers and consumers of food labels in Bostanabad J Food Hyg 2014;4:27-38.
- Hyang SK, Kyong AL. Consumer's awareness and utilization for food labels. J Kore Scoc Food Sci Nutr 1999;28:948-53.
- KasapilaW, Shawa P. Use and understanding of nutrition labels among consumers in Lilongwe (Malawi). AJFAND 2011;11:5171-86. DOI: 10.4314/ ajfand.v11i5.70444
- Loureiro ML, Gracia A, Jr Nayga RM. Do consumers value nutritional labels? Eur Rev Agric Econ 2006;33:249-68. DOI: 10.1093/erae/jbl005
- Nayga RJ. Toward an understanding of consumers' perceptions of food labels. Int Food Agric Manage Rev 1999;2:29-45. DOI: 10.1016/S1096-7508(99)00011-7
- Aryee PA, Helegbe GK, Agordoh PD, Mohammed AJ, Muntala J, Koblaji FA, et al. Exploring consumer knowledge, understanding and use of food and nutrition label information in the tamale metropolis of Ghana. African J Food Agric Nutr Dev 2019;19:14415-31. DOI: 10.18697/ajfand.85.17795
- Washi S. Awareness of food labeling among consumers in groceries in AlAin, United Arab Emirates. Int J Mark Stud 2012;4:38-47.
- Arfaoui L, Alkhaldy A, Alareeshi A, AlSaadi G, Alhendi S, Alghanmi A, et al. Assessment of knowledge and self-reported use of nutrition facts labels, nutrient content, and health claims among Saudi adult consumers. J Multidiscip Health 2021;14:2959-72. DOI: 10.2147/JMDH.S327700