Nutrición Hospitalaria

Trabajo Original

Valoración nutricional

Assessment of the validity of a sedentary behavior questionnaire among university students from low-income regions

Evaluación de la validez de un cuestionario de comportamiento sedentario en estudiantes universitarios de regiones de escasos recursos

Luiz Fernando de Oliveira[1], Evanilson Alves dos Santos[1], Alaiana Marinho Franco[1,2], Kliver Antonio Marin[1], Marcus Vinícius Nascimento-Ferreira[1,3]

[1]Health, Physical Activity and Behavior Research (HEALTHY-BRA) Group. Universidade Federal do Tocantins. Miracema do Tocantins, TO. Brazil. [2]Instituto de Ensino Superior do Sul do Maranhão (IESMA/UNISULMA). Imperatriz, MA. Brazil. [3]Youth/Child Cardiovascular Risk and Environmental (YCARE) Research Group. Faculdade de Medicina. Universidade de São Paulo. São Paulo, SP. Brazil

Abstract

Introduction: to reduce the prevalence of overweight and obesity, lifestyle interventions, particularly in nutritional education programs, should be prioritized among university students. Monitoring sedentary behavior is an important step toward preventing and controlling obesity. Therefore, we assessed the reliability and validity of an online questionnaire on sedentary behavior among university students from low-income regions.

Methods: this cross-sectional methodological feasibility study evaluated the psychometric properties of the South American Youth/Child Cardiovascular and Environmental (SAYCARE) questionnaire. We administered this questionnaire in an online format to 195 and 117 university students (aged between 17 and 53 years) to assess its validity and reliability, respectively. The questionnaire measures the daily time spent watching TV, playing electronic games, using a computer, studying and passive commuting on weekdays and weekends. The questionnaire involved two stages (Q1 and Q2) separated by an interval of 2 weeks. Reliability was assessed using Spearman's correlation analysis. The structural validity of the construct was evaluated by exploratory factor analysis.

Results: all variables showed acceptable reliability (Spearman's rho > 0.30 and p < 0.05). Regarding construct structural validity, the exploratory factor analysis identified 4 factors (variance explained: 71.4 %) and did not exclude any items.

Conclusion: the online SAYCARE questionnaire exhibited acceptable reliability and structural validity for assessing sedentary behavior among university students from low-income regions.

Correspondence:

Kliver Antonio Marin. Health, Physical Activity and Behavior Research (HEALTHY-BRA) Group. Universidade Federal do Tocantins, Campus Universitário de Miracema. Avenida Lourdes Solino s/n, Setor Universitário. Miracema do Tocantins, Tocantins. Brazil
e-mail: klivermarin@mail.uft.edu.br

Resumen

Introducción: para reducir la prevalencia del sobrepeso y la obesidad, las intervenciones en el estilo de vida, particularmente en los programas de educación nutricional, deben priorizarse entre los estudiantes universitarios. Por lo tanto, monitorear el comportamiento sedentario es un paso importante para prevenir y controlar la obesidad. Nuestro objetivo fue investigar la confiabilidad y validez de un cuestionario *online* sobre comportamiento sedentario entre estudiantes universitarios de regiones de escasos recursos.

Métodos: este estudio transversal de factibilidad metodológica evaluó las propiedades psicométricas del cuestionario *South American Youth/Child Cardiovascular and Environmental* (SAYCARE). Administramos este cuestionario en formato online a 195 y 117 estudiantes universitarios (de entre 17 y 53 años) para evaluar su validez y confiabilidad, respectivamente. El cuestionario midió el tiempo diario del estudiante viendo televisión, jugando a juegos electrónicos, usando una computadora, estudiando y viajando pasivamente entre semana y fines de semana. El cuestionario fue respondido en dos etapas (Q1 y Q2), con un intervalo de 2 semanas. La fiabilidad se evaluó mediante el coeficiente de correlación de Spearman. La validez estructural del constructo se evaluó mediante análisis factorial exploratorio.

Palabras clave:

Comportamiento sedentario. Reproducibilidad. Validez estructural. Adultos.

Resultados: todas las variables mostraron una confiabilidad aceptable (rho de Spearman > 0,30 y p < 0,05). En la validez estructural del constructo, el análisis factorial exploratorio encontró 4 factores (varianza explicada del 71,4 %) y ningún ítem fue excluido.

Conclusión: el cuestionario SAYCARE, en formato online, exhibió una confiabilidad y validez estructural aceptables para evaluar el comportamiento sedentario entre estudiantes universitarios de regiones de escasos recursos.

INTRODUCTION

Sedentary behavior, unlike physical inactivity, can be characterized by the performance of small movements when sitting or reclining that require an energy expenditure ≤ 1.5 metabolic equivalents (1). Epidemiological evidence supports the associations of sedentary behavior with metabolic behavior (2-4), mortality (2), and other outcomes (4) in adults. Reducing sedentary behavior could help prevent an increase in body mass index in adulthood and thereby reduce the prevalence of obesity (5), especially in college students (6).

Thus, monitoring sedentary behavior is an important step toward preventing and controlling obesity. Self-reported data (mainly collected via questionnaire) are the most frequent economically and logistically viable method of evaluating sedentary behavior in epidemiological studies (1). The COVID-19 pandemic and subsequent implementation of public health measures, such as social distancing, increased remote research (7), as studies migrated from a face-to-face format to a virtual environment. However, despite the practicality of online tools, some issues may arise regarding the quality of the collected data (8), such as differences in literacy and access to the internet, especially in low- and middle-income countries (7).

Although the use of online tools can expand and increase the flexibility of questionnaire application in epidemiological studies (9,10), including health behaviors (8), an evaluation of the psychometric properties of online sedentary behavior questionnaires, especially in low- and middle-income populations, is lacking. Thus, the objective of the present study was to evaluate the reliability and validity of an online questionnaire assessing sedentary behavior in university students from low-income regions.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

STUDY DESIGN

The present cross-sectional methodological feasibility study (11) is part of a longitudinal multicenter observational study, the 24-hour Movement Behavior and Metabolic Syndrome (24 h-MESYN) study (12), and was structured according to the concepts of the scientific method (13). During the 2021 academic year, the online questionnaire was administered to participants twice to test the temporal stability of responses (reliability) and construct structural validity. Detailed information about the 24 h-MESYN study can be found elsewhere (12).

ETHICAL ASPECTS

This study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki (2008 revision, Seoul, South Korea) and Resolution 466/2012 of the Brazilian Ministry of Health regarding the ethical principles of research involving humans. The study procedure was approved by the Ethics Committee of the *Centro Universitário do Maranhão* (UNICEUMA, no. 4055604). After the educational institution provided written consent, subjects received a formal invitation to participate in the study in a virtual environment. Subjects were also informed of the risks and discomfort of the study, in accordance with the protocols of the institution, and signed an informed consent form (ICF).

SAMPLE CHARACTERIZATION

We invited university students from a higher education institution in the municipality of Imperatriz, Maranhão, Brazil to participate in the study. In 2020, this institution had 2,225 students and offered nine majors (Nursing, Physical Therapy, Nutrition, Physical Education, Aesthetics and Cosmetics, Psychology, Social Work, Administration, and Law). To calculate the necessary sample size, we used an α of 0.05, a β of 0.10 (90 % power) and a correlation coefficient of 0.28 (14); we determined that 98 subjects were needed (15). To compensate for participant drop out (50.0 %), refusal to participate (50.0 %) and missing data (50.0 %), 342 students were invited to participate in the study. We selected students by stratified random sampling, considering distributions (60 %/40 %) based on previous studies by i) biological sex (female and male) and ii) nature of the majors (health sciences and other areas) (16,17).

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

We included undergraduate students ≥ 17 years of age who signed the informed consent form. We excluded students who were pregnant or had a physical disability. In the validity study, we excluded students who returned incomplete questionnaires, and in the reliability study, we excluded students who did not complete the first questionnaire. The exclusions occurred only at the time of data analysis.

STUDY VARIABLES

The operational variables of the study were (13) participant biological sex, age, major, shift of coursework, and duration of sedentary behavior.

INSTRUMENTS

We collected data through the online questionnaire, available at https://forms.gle/L92wXsVaxxfPNgpE8. The sedentary behavior questionnaire was from the South American Youth/Child Cardiovascular and Environmental (SAYCARE) study, which was developed and validated in South American children and adolescents (18). The SAYCARE questionnaire has 10 items regarding time spent watching television, using a computer and/or cell phone, studying, playing electronic games and passive commuting on weekdays and weekends (18). The responses were reported in hours per day according to a preset scale (e.g., 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 hours per day). After administering the questionnaire, we calculated the weighted daily duration of sedentary behavior via the equation:

$$\text{sedentary behavior} = \frac{([(\text{duration on weekdays} \times 5) + (\text{duration on weekends} \times 2)])}{7}$$

Additionally, we retrieved information on biological sex (male/female), chronological age (17 to 99 years), major (Nursing, Physical Therapy, Nutrition, Physical Education, Aesthetics and Cosmetics, Psychology, Social Work, Administration, or Law) and shift of coursework (morning, afternoon, evening, or full).

Procedures

To standardize the research procedures, the multidisciplinary team of researchers underwent 20 hours of training (12). During the training period, we reviewed the online questionnaire to correct typos (and issues with semantics) and address problems with the access link. Next, we conducted data collection in three stages. The first stage consisted of a direct (face-to-face) approach, in which we explained the project and sent the *link* to the informed consent form and questionnaire via WhatsApp.

If the electronic questionnaire was not completed, we sent up to three reminders. In the second and third stages, the online questionnaire was administered twice (Q1 and Q2), separated by an interval of two weeks (12). The Q2 questionnaire was sent only to those who completed the Q1 questionnaire. In the latter two steps, our contact was restricted to messaging via WhatsApp.

DATA ANALYSIS

All statistical analyses were performed using Stata software, version 15.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA). We used the Shapiro-Wilk test to assess the normality of data distribution. The significance level adopted was 95 % ($p \leq 0.05$). To evaluate sensitivity, we used the chi-square goodness-of-fit test compare the distributions of the samples between Q1 and Q2 (19). To evaluate reliability, we used the Spearman correlation coefficient (a nonparametric analysis) with a cutoff point of ≥ 0.30 (20). To evaluate structural validity, we performed an exploratory factor analysis with varimax rotation, excluding items with loadings < 0.3 (19). We extracted the factors based on the Kaiser rule, retaining factors with an eigenvalue > 1 (19). Previously, we conducted a preliminary analysis to determine whether an exploratory factor analysis was feasible given the data, using the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin test (considered feasible when KMO > 0.50) to assess sample adequacy and the Bartlett test (considered statistically significant when $p < 0.05$) to assess data sphericity (19).

RESULTS

Of the 342 students contacted, 43.0 % did not complete the Q1 questionnaire and 40.0 % did not complete the Q2 questionnaire. Thus, we identified a 57.0 % response rate (students contacted who completed the Q1 questionnaire) at Q1 and a 60.0 % response rate at Q2 (students who completed the Q1 questionnaire as well as the Q2 questionnaire). Detailed information regarding the sample is shown in table I. Most participants were women aged 21 to 25 years who majored in Physical Education and attended the night shift at both Q1 and Q2. We did not identify significant differences in participant characteristics at the two time points in the sensitivity analysis (Table I).

Table II shows the characteristics of sedentary behavior according to self-report data. We observed that during a typical weekday, computer use accounted for the highest median duration, followed by studying and watching TV; during a typical weekend day, watching TV and using a computer were the most common behaviors. The mean total daily sedentary duration in our sample was 9.53 (± 4.22) hours/day (data not shown). Furthermore, we identified acceptable reliability in all variables of the SAYCARE questionnaire, with Spearman's correlation coefficients ranging from 0.72 (watching TV on a weekday) to 0.31 (passive commuting on a weekday).

Regarding structural validity (Table III), our data were appropriate for exploratory factor analysis (KMO = 0.572; Bartlett's test, p < 0.001). We identified the following four factors: "studying and using a computer during the week" (Factor 1), "watching TV, using a computer and studying during the week" (Factor 2), "electronic games" (Factor 3) and "passive commuting" (Factor 4); together, these factors explained 71.4 % of the variance in the data. Based on the factor loading and analysis of commonality, no items were excluded.

Table I. Sensitivity analysis based on sociodemographic and academic characteristics

Variables	Q1 (n = 195)	Q2 (n = 117)	p-value*
	%	%	
Biological sex			
Male	31.3	27.4	0.36
Female	68.7	72.6	
Chronological age (years)			
≤ 20	23.6	26.7	0.63
21 to 25	44.6	45.7	
26 to 30	18.5	14.7	
31 to 35	7.2	5.2	
≥ 36	6.2	7.8	
Major			
Nutrition	8.8	6.0	0.17
Physical Education	22.3	24.8	
Nursing	11.1	12.0	
Aesthetics and Cosmetics	7.6	1.7	
Physical Therapy	16.1	18.8	
Law	14.1	11.1	
Psychology	15.0	21.4	
Social Work	3.2	1.7	
Administration	1.8	0.9	
Shift of coursework			
Morning	20.1	20.5	0.92
Afternoon	0.5	0.9	
Evening	61.3	62.4	
Full	18.0	16.2	

*Q1: questionnaire first application; Q2: questionnaire second application. *Chi-squared goodness-of-fit test.*

Table II. Reliability analysis of the American Youth/Child Cardiovascular and Environmental (SAYCARE) sedentary behavior questionnaire

Daily time spent (hour/day)		Q1	Q2	rho
Watching TV	Weekdays	2.0 (0.0-3.0)	1.0 (0.0-3.0)	0.72
	Weekends	2.0 (1.0-4.0)	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	0.68
Playing electronic games	Weekdays	0.0 (0.0-1.0)	0.0 (0.0-2.0)	0.55
	Weekends	0.0 (0.0-2.0)	0.0 (0.0-2.0)	0.56

(Continues on next page)

Table II (Cont.). Reliability analysis of the American Youth/Child Cardiovascular
and Environmental (SAYCARE) sedentary behavior questionnaire

Daily time spent (hour/day)		Q1	Q2	rho
Using a computer	Weekdays	3.0 (1.0-5.0)	4.0 (2.0-6.0)	*0.52*
	Weekends	2.0 (0.0-3.0)	2.0 (0.0-3.0)	*0.70*
Studying	Weekdays	3.0 (2.0-4.0)	2.0 (1.0-4.0)	*0.50*
	Weekends	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	1.0 (1.0-3.0)	*0.60*
Passive commuting	Weekdays	1.0 (0.0-2.0)	0.0 (0.0-1.0)	*0.31*
	Weekends	0.0 (0.0-1.0)	0.0 (0.0-1.0)	*0.52*

Values are median (25th-75th percentile). Q1: questionnaire first application; Q2: questionnaire second application; rho: Spearman's correlation coefficient. Significant values are in italics *(p < 0.05).*

Table III. Validity analysis (exploratory factor analysis) of the American Youth/Child
Cardiovascular and Environmental (SAYCARE) sedentary behavior questionnaire

Item	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3	Factor 4	Uniqueness	Communality (1-uniqueness) %
Watching TV on weekdays		0.847			0.264	73.6
Watching TV on weekends		0.827			0.280	72.0
Playing electronic games on weekdays			0.857		0.207	79.3
Playing electronic games on weekends			0.905		0.161	83.9
Using a computer on weekdays	0.689				0.467	53.3
Using a computer on weekends	0.725	-0.352			0.329	67.1
Studying on weekdays	0.668	0.338			0.401	59.9
Studying on weekends	0.796				0.339	66.1
Passive commuting on weekdays				0.860	0.207	79.3
Passive commuting on weekends				0.871	0.206	79.4
Eigenvalue (proportion of variance)	2.12 (0.21)	1.78 (0.18)	1.69 (0.17)	1.55 (0.15)		
Explained variance*	0.714 or 71.4 %					

*A factor loading < 0.30 was not shown. *Proportion and explained variance for the first 4 factors (factor 1, factor 2, factor 3, and factor 4) identified by using the eigenvalue greater than one rule (Kaiser's rule).*

DISCUSSION

This study is the first to evaluate the psychometric properties of the SAYCARE questionnaire for assessing sedentary behavior among university students as well as the first to evaluate the feasibility of administering such a questionnaire in an online format in a low-income region. We found that the online SAYCARE questionnaire had acceptable temporal stability (reliability) and structural validity. Even though this questionnaire was developed for a face-to-face context, its psychometric properties were similar in a remote context (i.e., during social distancing, in an online format) as a viable alternative for collecting data in a pandemic context in a low-income region.

Although our sample varied in terms of age, sex and major, young women majoring in health sciences comprised the majority of respondents. These findings are in line with previous Brazilian epidemiological studies with university students (21,22), including those in low-income regions (17). In Brazil, young women account for the majority of enrolled in undergraduate health programs (23) who complete higher education degrees (24), which could potentially explain the demographic and academic distribution in our sample.

Our study indicates that the 10-item online SAYCARE questionnaire has acceptable reliability for measuring sedentary behavior among university students from low-income regions. These findings are in line with previous systematic reviews regarding the

psychometric properties of subjective measures among youth (25) and adults (26). Although no previous study has assessed the reliability (and validity) of the SAYCARE questionnaire in adults (to our knowledge), this tool was reliable in young people in South America (18). Consistent with this finding, a systematic review and meta-analysis reported that questionnaires assessing sedentary behavior in epidemiological studies show moderate-to-good reliability; in addition, multiple-item questionnaires have slightly better reliability than single-item questionnaires (pooled correlation coefficient: 1-item = 0.34, 2-to-9-item = 0.35; ≥ 10-item = 0.37) (26). This pattern of reliability can be explained by the stable nature of the behaviors evaluated (e.g., computer use), since sedentary behaviors tend to be more stable than active behaviors (27). Additionally, we speculate that the sedentary behaviors studied are related to the university routine, which could reinforce this behavior pattern and consequently increase the precision of data.

Moreover, we found acceptable structural validity of the SAYCARE questionnaire among the university students studied. The structural validity of this questionnaire has not been studied to date, but studies on similar questionnaires have reported satisfactory criterion (25,28), concurrent (26,28), and structural (29,30) validity. The structural validity of the SAYCARE questionnaire can be explained by its construction (18), which included the following factors: i) construction by experts in behaviors related to energy expenditure; ii) inclusion of a range of typical behaviors (e.g., watching TV, using a computer or passive commuting), which seem sufficiently diverse and complementary in their domains; iii) questionnaire covering a longer recall period (e.g., weekday, weekend) and iv) validation against an objective instrument. Alternatively, the structural validity be attributed to the number of items in the SAYCARE questionnaire. A recent systematic review noted that questionnaires with fewer items (measured behaviors) have greater psychometric robustness, possibly because the participants may struggle with multiple-item questionnaires, making it difficult to replicate patterns and domains of sedentary behavior (26).

The present study has some limitations that should be noted, such as potential biases (including social desirability) and the lack of epidemiological representativeness of the sample (11). Regarding the latter, the current study was not designed to be representative of a specific population[11]; but, to reproduce with sufficient power at a given error level the psychometric properties of the SAYCARE questionnaire under planned population distribution (e.g., age range, biological sex and major) (11). Thus, the results should not be extrapolated beyond the psychometric findings. Although we observed a high rate of refusal to participate (57.0 % at Q1 and 34.2 % at Q2), post hoc analysis revealed that the power of the sample (lowest correlation observed = 0.31; n = 117) remained significant (β = 0.14; power = 0.86). Indeed, the included sample (n = 117) was larger than the planned amount (n = 98), partially attributable to the higher prediction (up to 50.0 %) of drop outs/missing data/refusal to participate incorporated in the study design grounded in our experience in questionnaire validity in

the South American population (18). The research site was also selected by convenience, although the sample was randomly selected. These choices were based on the sociodemographic and academic diversity of the institution, which may provide a good idea of the characteristics of students from low-income regions, since representative methodological studies are not feasible (31) or ethical (14). We successfully recruited a sample with similar demographic and academic characteristics in the university context from low-income regions of Brazil (17,22). Finally, the questionnaire of interest should be evaluated in terms of external validation, preferably against objective data (e.g., using an accelerometer), to confirm its ability to measure the duration of sedentary behavior in this population (32). The strengths of this study were its methodology, involving epidemiological feasibility assumptions for assessing the psychometric properties of the (online) SAYCARE questionnaire; a robust sample, in terms of size and diversity, of Brazilian university students; and its adapted protocol to collect data during social distancing measures in low-income regions.

CONCLUSION

The SAYCARE questionnaire, in online format, exhibited acceptable reliability and validity for assessing sedentary behavior in university students from low-income regions. In this online format, the questionnaire represents a low-cost alternative to face-to-face administration (useful for conditions of restricted social contact).

REFERENCES

1. Tremblay MS, Aubert S, Barnes JD, Saunders TJ, Carson V, Latimer-Cheung AE, et al. Sedentary Behavior Research Network (SBRN) - Terminology Consensus Project process and outcome. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2017;14(1):75. DOI: 10.1186/s12966-017-0525-8
2. de Rezende LF, Rey-López JP, Matsudo VK, do Carmo Luiz O. Sedentary behavior and health outcomes among older adults: a systematic review. BMC Public Health 2014;14:333. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2458-14-333
3. Edwardson CL, Gorely T, Davies MJ, Gray LJ, Khunti K, Wilmot EG, et al. Association of sedentary behaviour with metabolic syndrome: a meta-analysis. PLoS One 2012;7(4):e34916. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0034916
4. Saunders TJ, McIsaac T, Douillette K, Gaulton N, Hunter S, Rhodes RE, et al. Sedentary behaviour and health in adults: an overview of systematic reviews. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab 2020;45(10 (Suppl. 2)):S197-S217. DOI: 10.1139/apnm-2020-0272
5. Mitchell JA, Bottai M, Park Y, Marshall SJ, Moore SC, Matthews CE. A prospective study of sedentary behavior and changes in the body mass index distribution. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2014;46(12):2244-52. DOI: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000000366
6. Quiliche Castañeda RB, Turpo-Chaparro J, Torres JH, Saintila J, Ruiz Mamani PG. Overweight and Obesity, Body Fat, Waist Circumference, and Anemia in Peruvian University Students: A Cross-Sectional Study. J Nutr Metab 2021;2021:5049037. DOI: 10.1155/2021/5049037
7. De Man J, Campbell L, Tabana H, Wouters E. The pandemic of online research in times of COVID-19. BMJ Open 2021;11(2):e043866. DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-043866
8. Hensen B, Mackworth-Young CRS, Simwinga M, Abdelmagid N, Banda J, Mavodza C, et al. Remote data collection for public health research in a COVID-19 era: ethical implications, challenges and opportunities. Health Policy Plan 2021;36(3):360-8. DOI: 10.1093/heapol/czaa158

9. van Gelder MM, Bretveld RW, Roeleveld N. Web-based questionnaires: the future in epidemiology? Am J Epidemiol 2010;172(11):1292-8. DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwq291

10. Kirkpatrick SI, Troiano RP, Barrett B, Cunningham C, Subar AF, Park Y, et al. Measurement Error Affecting Web- and Paper-Based Dietary Assessment Instruments: Insights From the Multi-Cohort Eating and Activity Study for Understanding Reporting Error. Am J Epidemiol 2022;191(6):1125-39. DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwac026

11. Szklo M, Nieto J. Epidemiology: beyond the basics. 3 ed. Jones & Bartlett Learning; 2014. p. 515.

12. Nascimento-Ferreira M, Marin K, Abrão Ferreira R, Oliveira LF. 24 h movement behavior and metabolic syndrome study protocol: A prospective cohort study on lifestyle and risk of developing metabolic syndrome in undergraduate students from low-income regions during a pandemic. Front Epidemiol 2022;2. DOI: 10.3389/fepid.2022.1010832

13. Volpato G. Método Lógico para redação científica. 2 ed. 2017.

14. Nascimento-Ferreira MV, De Moraes ACF, Toazza Oliveira PV, Rendo-Urteaga T. Assessment of physical activity intensity and duration in the paediatric population: evidence to support an a priori hypothesis and sample size in the agreement between subjective and objective methods. Obes Rev 2018;19(6):810-24. DOI: 10.1111/obr.12676

15. Nascimento-Ferreira MV, De Moraes ACF, Toazza Oliveira PV, Rendo-Urteaga T, Gracia-Marco L, Forjaz CLM, et al. Assessment of physical activity intensity and duration in the paediatric population: evidence to support an a priori hypothesis and sample size in the agreement between subjective and objective methods. Obes Rev 2018. DOI: 10.1111/obr.12676

16. Cena H, Porri D, De Giuseppe R, Kalmpourtzidou A, Salvatore FP, El Ghoch M, et al. How Healthy Are Health-Related Behaviors in University Students: The HOLISTic Study. Nutrients 2021;13(2). DOI: 10.3390/nu13020675

17. Barbosa JB, dos Santos AM, Barbosa MM, Barbosa MM, de Carvalho CA, Fonseca PC, et al. Metabolic syndrome, insulin resistance and other cardiovascular risk factors in university students. Cien Saude Colet 2016;21(4):1123-36. DOI: 10.1590/1413-81232015214.10472015

18. De Moraes ACF, Nascimento-Ferreira MV, Forjaz CLM, Aristizabal JC, Azzaretti L, Nascimento Junior WV, et al. Reliability and validity of a sedentary behavior questionnaire for South American pediatric population: SAYCARE study. BMC Med Res Methodol 2020;20(1):5. DOI: 10.1186/s12874-019-0893-7

19. Martınez-Gonzalez M, Sanchez-Villegas A, Atucha E, Fajardo J. Bioestadistica Amigable. 3 ed. Elsevier; 2014.

20. Strong WB, Malina RM, Blimkie CJ, Daniels SR, Dishman RK, Gutin B, et al. Evidence based physical activity for school-age youth. J Pediatr 2005;146(6):732-7. DOI: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2005.01.055

21. Lopes AR, Nihei OK. Depression, anxiety and stress symptoms in Brazilian university students during the COVID-19 pandemic: Predictors and association with life satisfaction, psychological well-being and coping strategies. PLoS One 2021;16(10):e0258493. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0258493

22. Demenech LM, Neiva-Silva L, Brignol SMS, Marcon SR, Lemos SM, Tassitano RM, et al. Suicide risk among undergraduate students in Brazil in the periods before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: results of the SABES-Grad national survey. Psychol Med 2022:1-13. DOI: 10.1017/S0033291722001933

23. Brasil. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. Estatísticas de Gênero - Indicadores sociais das mulheres no Brasil [accessed April 23, 2022]. Available from: https://www.ibge.gov.br/estatisticas/multidominio/genero/20163-estatisticas-de-genero-indicadores-sociais-das-mulheres-no-brasil.html?=&t=resultados

24. Brasil. Instituto Brasileira de Geografia e Estatística. Estatísticas de Gênero Indicadores sociais das mulheres no Brasil [accessed April 21, 2022]. Available from: https://biblioteca.ibge.gov.br/visualizacao/livros/liv101551_informativo.pdf

25. Nascimento-Ferreira MV, Moraes ACF, Rendo Urteaga T, Oliveira PVT, Moreno LA, Barbosa Carvalho H. Impact of methodological approaches in the agreement between subjective and objective methods for assessing screen time and sedentary behavior in pediatric population: a systematic review. Nutr Hosp 2019;36(2):449-62. DOI: 10.20960/nh.2038

26. Bakker EA, Hartman YAW, Hopman MTE, Hopkins ND, Graves LEF, Dunstan DW, et al. Validity and reliability of subjective methods to assess sedentary behaviour in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2020;17(1):75. DOI: 10.1186/s12966-020-00972-1

27. Saint-Maurice PF, Welk GJ. Validity and Calibration of the Youth Activity Profile. PLoS One 2015;10(12):e0143949. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0143949

28. Meh K, Jurak G, Sorić M, Rocha P, Sember V. Validity and Reliability of IPAQ-SF and GPAQ for Assessing Sedentary Behaviour in Adults in the European Union: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021;18(9). DOI: 10.3390/ijerph18094602

29. Charles M, Thivel D, Verney J, Isacco L, Husu P, Vähä-Ypyä H, et al. Reliability and Validity of the ONAPS Physical Activity Questionnaire in Assessing Physical Activity and Sedentary Behavior in French Adults. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021;18(11). DOI: 10.3390/ijerph18115643

30. Atencio-Osorio MA, Carrillo-Arango HA, Correa-Rodríguez M, Rivera D, Castro-Piñero J, Ramírez-Vélez R. Youth Leisure-Time Sedentary Behavior Questionnaire (YLSBQ): Reliability and Validity in Colombian University Students. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021;18(15). DOI: 10.3390/ijerph18157895

31. Carvalho HB, Moreno LA, Silva AM, Berg G, Estrada-Restrepo A, González-Zapata LI, et al. Design and Objectives of the South American Youth/Child Cardiovascular and Environmental (SAYCARE) Study. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2018;26(Suppl 1):S5-S13. DOI: 10.1002/oby.22117

32. Kelly P, Fitzsimons C, Baker G. Should we reframe how we think about physical activity and sedentary behaviour measurement? Validity and reliability reconsidered. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2016;13(1):32. DOI: 10.1186/s12966-016-0351-4