

**Asociación entre bajo consumo  
de lácteos y determinantes de la  
salud en universitarios  
latinoamericanos: un estudio  
multicéntrico**

**Association between low dairy  
consumption and determinants of  
health in Latin American  
university students: a multicenter  
study**

10.20960/nh.05513

04/02/2025

OR 5513

**Association between low dairy consumption and determinants of health in Latin American university students: a multicenter study**

*Asociación entre bajo consumo de lácteos y determinantes de la salud en universitarios latinoamericanos: un estudio multicéntrico*

Diego Gajardo<sup>1</sup>, Georgina Gómez<sup>2</sup>, Valeria Carpio-Arias<sup>3</sup>, Leslie Landaeta-Díaz<sup>4</sup>, Israel Ríos<sup>5</sup>, Solange Parra<sup>6</sup>, Jacqueline Alejandra Araneda Flores<sup>7</sup>, Gladys Ruth Morales Illanes<sup>8</sup>, Eliana Meza<sup>9</sup>, Beatriz Núñez<sup>10</sup>, Gabriela Murillo<sup>2</sup>, Karla Rosángel Cordón Arrivillaga<sup>11</sup>, Edna Judith Nava González<sup>12</sup>, Saby Marisol Mauricio Alza<sup>13</sup>, Jhon Bejarano Rocancio<sup>14</sup>, Brian M. Cavagnari<sup>15</sup>, Rodrigo Valenzuela<sup>16</sup>, Samuel Durán Agüero<sup>17</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universidad Católica del Maule. Talca, Chile. <sup>2</sup>Universidad de Costa Rica. San José, Costa Rica. <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Public Health. Escuela Superior Politécnica de Chimborazo. Riobamba, Ecuador. <sup>4</sup>Universidad Las Américas. Santiago, Chile. <sup>5</sup>FAO. Panama City, Panama. <sup>6</sup>Universidad del Bío-Bío. Concepción, Chile. <sup>7</sup>Department of Nutrition and Public Health. Faculty of Health and Food Sciences. Universidad del Bío-Bío. Concepción, Chile. <sup>8</sup>Universidad de La Frontera. Temuco, Chile. <sup>9</sup>Universidad Nacional de Asunción. Asunción, Paraguay. <sup>10</sup>Universidad María Auxiliadora. Asunción, Paraguay. <sup>11</sup>Universidad San Carlos. Guatemala City, Guatemala. <sup>12</sup>Universidad Autónoma Nuevo León. San Nicolás de los Garza, Nuevo León. Mexico. <sup>13</sup>Universidad Norbert Weigner. Lima, Peru. <sup>14</sup>Universidad Nacional de Colombia. Bogotá, Colombia. <sup>15</sup>School of Nutrition. Faculty of Medical Sciences. Pontificia Universidad Católica Argentina. Buenos Aires, Argentina. <sup>16</sup>Universidad

de Chile. Santiago, Chile. <sup>17</sup>Faculty of Sciences for Health Care. Universidad San Sebastián. Concepción, Chile

Received: 06/09/2024

Accepted: 03/01/2025

**Correspondence:** Samuel Durán Agüero. Faculty of Sciences for Health Care. Universidad San Sebastián. Lientur 1457. Concepción, Chile  
e-mail: sduran74@gmail.com

*Acknowledgements: To the authorities that facilitated the study.*

*Authors' contribution: Research question(s): D. G., and S. D. A.: designing the study, carrying it out, analyzing the data and writing the article. G. G., T. V. C. A., L. L. D., I. R. C., S. P. S., J. A., G. M., E. M. M., B. E. N., G. M., K. C., E. J. N., S. M., J. J. B., B. C., and R. V.: carrying it out, analyzing the data and writing the article.*

*Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.*

*Artificial intelligence: The authors declare not to have used artificial intelligence (AI) or any AI-assisted technologies in the elaboration of the article.*

## **ABSTRACT**

**Objective:** to associate low dairy consumption with determinants of health and the Human Development Index (HDIs) in Latin American university students.

**Methods:** a cross-sectional, multicenter, observational study in university students from eleven Latin American countries.

**Results:** the study included 4880 subjects. In all, 66 % of the sample consumed at least one serving of dairy products per day. A higher

percentage of dairy consumers was observed among participants who are in the highest quartile of quality of life as compared to those in the lowest quartile ( $p < 0.001$ ). Dairy consumption was positively associated with the consumption of breakfast (OR, 1.58; 95 % CI, 1.36-1.85), healthy dinner (OR, 1.16; 95 % CI, 1.01-1.32), fruits (OR, 1.77; 95 % CI, 1.53-2.05), vegetables (OR, 1.19; 95 % CI, 1.02-1.39), fish (OR: 1.37; 95 % CI, 1.36-1.85), whole-grain foods (OR, 1.72; 95 % CI, 1.49-1.98), and the practice of physical activity (OR, 1.16; 95 % CI, 1.01-1.34), and was negatively associated with the consumption of fried food (non-consumption) (OR, 0.72; 95 % CI, 0.58-0.90), junk food (OR, 0.78; 95 % CI, 0.63-0.96), sweet snacks (OR, 0.69; 95 % CI, 0.57-0.82), and alcohol (OR, 0.83; 95 % CI, 0.72-0.95). Furthermore, to reside in a country whose HDI is medium-high was found to be associated as a risk factor for non-compliance with the recommended intake of at least 3 servings of dairy per day, as compared to individuals from countries with very high HDIs (OR, 2.05; 95 % CI, 1.79-2.36). In addition, the results show that being female is a protective factor and is associated with the compliance of the consumption recommendation for dairy products (OR, 0.83; 95 % CI, 0.71-0.98).

**Conclusion:** dairy consumption is related to better diet quality and higher levels of physical activity. Complying with the recommendation to consume 3 servings of dairy per day is associated with better quality of diet, stressing the importance of promoting dairy consumption.

**Keywords:** Dairy. Diet quality. HDI (Human Development Index). Quality of life. Physical activity.

## RESUMEN

**Objetivo:** asociar el bajo consumo de lácteos con determinantes de la salud y el Índice de Desarrollo Humano (IDH) en estudiantes universitarios latinoamericanos.

**Métodos:** estudio observacional transversal, multicéntrico, en estudiantes universitarios de once países latinoamericanos.

**Resultados:** el estudio incluyó a 4880 participantes. El 66 % de la muestra consumían al menos una ración de lácteos al día. Se observó un mayor porcentaje de consumidores de lácteos entre los participantes que se encuentran en el cuartil más alto de calidad de vida, en comparación con los que se encuentran en el cuartil más bajo ( $p < 0,001$ ). El consumo de lácteos se asoció positivamente con el consumo del desayuno (OR: 1,58; IC 95 %: 1,36-1,85), la cena saludable (OR: 1,16; IC 95 %: 1,01-1,32), las frutas (OR: 1,77; IC 95 %: 1,53-2,05), las verduras (OR: 1,19; IC 95 %: 1,02-1,39), el pescado (OR: 1,37; IC 95 %: 1,36-1,85), los alimentos integrales (OR: 1,72; IC 95 %: 1,49-1,98) y realizar actividad física (OR: 1,16; IC 95 %: 1,01-1,34), y se asoció negativamente con el consumo de frituras (no consumo) (OR: 0,72; IC 95 % 0,58-0,90), comida chatarra (OR: 0,78; IC 95 %: 0,63-0,96), aperitivos dulces (OR: 0,69; IC 95 %: 0,57-0,82) y alcohol (OR: 0,83; IC 95 %: 0,72-0,95). Además, residir en un país cuyo IDH es medio-alto, se asoció como factor de riesgo para el incumplimiento de la ingesta recomendada de al menos 3 raciones de lácteos al día, en comparación con los individuos de países con IDH muy alto (OR: 2,05; IC del 95 %: 1,79-2,36). Además, los resultados muestran que ser mujer se asocia con el cumplimiento de la recomendación de consumo de productos lácteos (OR: 0,83; IC 95 %: 0,71-0,98).

**Conclusiones:** el consumo de lácteos está relacionado con una mejor calidad de la dieta y mayores niveles de actividad física. El cumplimiento de la recomendación de consumir 3 raciones de lácteos al día se asocia con una mejor calidad de dieta, lo que subraya la importancia de promover el consumo de lácteos.

**Palabras clave:** Lácteos. Calidad de la dieta. IDH (índice de desarrollo humano). Calidad de vida. Actividad física.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Currently, more than 6 billion people consume milk or milk-derived products (1). Dairy products are an important source of proteins of high biological value and micronutrients such as calcium, magnesium, phosphorus, zinc, iodine, selenium, vitamins of the B-complex and vitamins A and D (2). In addition, scientific research indicates that the consumption of dairy products appears to be associated with the promotion of the development of the musculoskeletal and neurological systems (3), as well as with a reduction in the frequency of non-communicable diseases (NCDs), improvements in cardiovascular health and overall well-being (4). Globally, the average recommendation for dairy consumption consists of 3 daily servings of dairy, for individuals  $\geq$  9 years of age (5).

Currently, evidence shows that dairy consumption is inversely associated with weight gain, overweight and obesity, and plays a role in the physiological regulation of the intestine, adipocytes and musculoskeletal system due to its biochemical composition (6). Moreover, poor eating behaviors such as not having breakfast, eating an unhealthy dinner, poor consumption of fruits, vegetables, fish and legumes, making the choice to consume junk food and sugary drinks, just to mention a few, are associated with increased waist circumference, overweight and obesity and a low consumption of dairy products (7). In addition to the association between low dairy consumption, excess weight and poor diet quality, it has been observed that in the young population those with a poor quality diet that includes low dairy intake tend to smoke, drink alcoholic beverages and be

sedentary, regardless of their gender ( $p < 0.05$ ). Furthermore, it should be noted that only women who practice sports have less harmful determinants, such as smoking less ( $p < 0.001$ ) (8), thus raising questions about the context, gender and determinants that may be associated with low dairy consumption.

In this context, health-related quality of life (HRQoL) has been described as the assessment of several aspects, including: 1) physical status and functional capacity; 2) psychological status and well-being; 3) social interactions; and 4) economic status and its factors (9). In this sense, low consumption of dairy products and fruits, not having breakfast, excess weight and low physical activity are associated with a worse HRQoL in young people (10). Moreover, some studies have shown that overweight and obese individuals have a negative score in most aspects of HRQoL as compared to normal-weight individuals (11). However, direct evidence is lacking to show the association between low dairy consumption and all aspects of HRQoL, although we do know that high dairy consumption is significantly associated with a lower risk of death from all causes (combined RR, 0.93; 95 % CI: 0.89, 0.98;  $I^2 = 47.3$  %) and cardiovascular disease (0.89; 95 % CI: 0.81, 0.98;  $I^2 = 33.2$  %). Each additional daily serving of dairy reduces the risk of all-cause mortality (0.93; 95 % CI: 0.86, 0.99;  $I^2 = 63.3$  %) and cardiovascular disease (0.86; 95 % CI: 0.77, 0.99;  $I^2 = 36.6$  %) (12).

In addition, it is necessary to broaden the context and analyze the influence of nationality, pursued degree program and dairy consumption. The Human Development Index (HDI) is an indicator that assesses the development of a country by considering three key dimensions: long and healthy life; access to knowledge; and decent standard of living. The HDI uses data such as life expectancy at birth, average years of schooling, and adjusted Gross National Income (GNI) per capita. The HDI provides a comprehensive view of human development beyond economics, reflecting people's opportunities and

capabilities (13). A country's development may affect the process to obtain dairy products, resulting in a decrease in their consumption (14). Furthermore, it is known that the human development of a country is inversely correlated with the health of its population, especially in the case of neoplastic diseases which values range from -0.85 to -0.40 according to the Pearson's correlation analysis. In addition, sedentary behavior, alcohol, tobacco and drug use in general presented direct Pearson's correlations ranging from 0.27 to 0.89 (15).

Having identified the need to broaden this context towards dairy consumption and eating habits in general, the objective of this study is to assess the association between low dairy consumption with determinants of health and human development index in Latin American university students.

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

### **Study design**

An observational, multicenter, cross-sectional survey study was conducted in university students from eleven Latin American countries (Argentina, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Guatemala, Mexico, Panama, Paraguay, Peru and Uruguay). Inclusion criteria to participate in the study were: subjects of both sexes aged 18 years and older who were actively enrolled in a higher education institution in one of the participant countries. Exclusion criteria were: university students who partially completed the survey and graduate students. University students were invited to participate in the study (between 5 November and 22 December 2020) through institutional social networks, as well as social networks such as Facebook and Instagram; they accepted a digital informed consent form and completed a self-administered survey. The research protocol was developed in accordance with the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki for research in human subjects and approved by the Scientific Ethics Committee of the Universidad de Las Américas,



Chile. Resolution number 2020001, each participant gave their informed consent before completing the survey.

## **Data collection**

### ***Dairy variable (central and dependent)***

A validated survey on eating habits was administered to assess dairy consumption; this survey was detailed in a previously published study (16). Briefly stated the survey consisted of two parts: healthy food consumption (8 questions) and unhealthy food consumption (4 questions). Based on this information, a dichotomous variable was constructed: "Compliance" or "Non-compliance" with the consumption recommendation (5).

### ***Variables for sociodemographic description (independent)***

The survey included data on nationality, field of study for characterization purposes, and sex (1: Female 2: Male). In addition, the human development index variable was established through the individual classification by nationality (1: Very high 2: Medium-High) (17).

### ***Variables on determinants of health (independent)***

With respect to the excess weight variable, body weight in kilograms and height in meters were self-reported by asking questions such as: What is your current estimated weight? And, what is your current height? (Data necessary for the calculation of BMI and the corresponding nutritional diagnosis). This information was used to obtain the BMI of each individual, including cut-off points and diagnosis as appropriate:  $\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ : Excess weight (overweight or obesity) (18), expressed as a dichotomous variable as: "No (no excess weight)" or "Yes (excess weight)".

A validated survey on eating habits was administered to assess the consumption of dairy and other food products; this survey was detailed in a previously published study (16). On the basis of this information, variables were constructed: fruits, vegetables, fish, and legumes, and were dichotomized as: “Yes (Compliance)” or “No (Non-compliance)”. Breakfast, healthy dinner, and whole-grain foods were dichotomized as: “Yes (daily consumption)” or “No (non-daily consumption).” All of these variables were validated according to global recommendations on healthy diet (19).

Alcohol and tobacco use were also evaluated; the consumption of these substances was identified as unhealthy and the dichotomous variable “No (non-consumption)” and “Yes (consumption) was constructed”. Finally, participants were asked about the practice of physical activity. It was determined that not performing physical activity is a negative determinant of health and, based on the answers, the dichotomous variable “Yes (performance)” and “No (non-performance)” was generated.

Regarding the HRQoL variable, a Likert scale method (the lower the score per response, the lower the HRQoL) was used to examine fundamental dimensions such as physical, psychological-cognitive and social functioning (26 questions) (20), based on the final scores by quartiles, considering the two highest-scoring quartiles as higher HRQoL. The variable was dichotomized as: “Lower (HRQoL)” (quartiles 1 and 2) or “Higher (HRQoL)” (quartiles 3 and 4).

### ***Human Development Index (HDI) (independent variable)***

The Human Development Report 2021-22 was considered, which classifies development according to ranges of scores: Very high: countries with a HDI greater than 0.80. High: countries with a HDI between 0.70 and 0.80. Medium: countries with a HDI between 0.55 and 0.70. Low: countries with a HDI below 0.55 (17). The variable was

dichotomized as: "Medium-High (HDI)" and "Very High (HDI)" according to the nationalities of the participants of the study.

### **Statistical analysis**

Qualitative values were expressed as absolute value (frequency) and relative value (percentage) for the description of the sample. To determine the association between dairy consumption, determinants of health, and HDI, the chi-square test ( $\chi^2$ ) was used. For the logistic regression, the dairy variable was dichotomized as follows: "Compliance (with the recommendation)"; those who consume 3 or more servings per day were assigned the category "0 = Compliance". Those who showed "Non-compliance (with the recommendation)", that is, do not consume dairy or consume less than 3 servings per day were assigned the category "1 = Non-compliance". The logistic regression model was used and the following adjustments were made: Model 1, dairy and excess weight. In Model 2, dietary and behavioral variables were added. In Model 3, HRQoL and HDI variables were added. Model 4 was adjusted by gender. It was dichotomized and considered: 1 = Non-compliance (with the consumption recommendation of 3 or more servings of dairy per day). Yes (there is excess weight), No (non-daily consumption of breakfast, healthy dinner and whole-grain foods), No (non-compliance with the consumption recommendation of fruits, vegetables, fish and legumes), Yes (consumption of sugary drinks, fried foods, junk food and unhealthy snacks), No (non-performance of physical activity), Yes (consumption of alcohol and tobacco), Lower (health-related quality of life), Medium-high (human development index) and Female (gender).

A  $p$  value of  $< 0.05$  was considered significant. To determine the association, OR values and confidence interval of 95 % (95 % CI) are presented. All the analyses were performed using the R software package, "Library (Rcmdr)".

## RESULTS

At the end of the data collection period, we ended with a database of 4,958 responses. Seventy-eight students were eliminated for incomplete data. Therefore, the present study evaluated 4,880 completed the survey correctly, which represents 98.4 % of the total database; 73.8 % were female, and average age was 22.4 years. As can be seen in table I, 66 % of the total sample reported consuming at least one serving of dairy products per day. It was observed that there is a higher proportion of female consumers of dairy as compared to men (71 % vs. 29 %). There was a significantly higher percentage of dairy consumers among participants residing in countries with a high HDI as compared to those living in countries with medium-high HDIs. On the other hand, the percentage of consumers differs widely among countries, with a range varying from 16.6 % in Ecuador to 76.9 % in Uruguay. In addition, a higher proportion of dairy consumers was observed among health science students when compared to other fields of study (Table I).

The 28.8 % of participants meet the recommendation to consume 3 servings of dairy per day. However, a large variability was observed depending on the country of residence. Figure 1 shows the percentage of students who consume dairy 3 times a day, twice a day, once a day, < once a day. It was found that Chile, Argentina, Uruguay, and Costa Rica are the countries with the highest consumption, with an intake of at least 3 servings per day. Ecuador, Mexico, Peru and Panama have the highest proportion of < once a day.

Table II shows the association between dairy consumption (compliance with the recommendation) and the determinant of health, including the presence or absence of excess weight. It is observed that there was no statistically significant difference between both groups ( $p = 0.054$ ).

Table III displays the associations between dairy consumption (compliance with the recommendation) and dietary and behavioral determinants of health. It is observed that the proportion of students

who have desired determinants is higher among those who meet the dairy consumption recommendation. There were significant differences between groups of dairy products and the consumption of breakfast ( $p < 0.001$ ), healthy dinner ( $p < 0.001$ ), fruit consumption ( $p < 0.001$ ), vegetable consumption ( $p < 0.001$ ), fish consumption ( $p < 0.001$ ), legume consumption ( $p < 0.05$ ), consumption of whole-grain foods ( $p < 0.001$ ), and lower consumption of sugary drinks ( $p < 0.001$ ), unhealthy snacks ( $p < 0.001$ ), alcohol ( $p < 0.001$ ) and practice of physical activity ( $p < 0.001$ ). No differences were observed between the consumption of fried food, junk food and tobacco use. In addition, a higher percentage of dairy consumers was observed among participants who are in the highest quartiles of quality of life as compared to those in the lowest quartiles ( $p < 0.001$ ) (Table IV).

Furthermore, a higher percentage of dairy consumers was found among participants whose country of residence had a HDI ranging from medium to high as compared to very high HDIs ( $p < 0.001$ ) (Table V).

Finally, table VI displays the association between dairy consumption and health-related and sociodemographic behavioral variables. It was found that dairy consumption was associated with the consumption of breakfast (OR, 1.58; 95 % CI, 1.36-1.85), healthy dinner (OR, 1.16; 95 % CI, 1.01-1.32), fruits (OR, 1.77; 95 % CI, 1.53-2.05), vegetables (OR, 1.19; 95 % CI, 1.02-1.39), fish (OR, 1.37; 95 % CI, 1.36-1.85), whole-grain foods (OR, 1.72; 95 % CI, 1.49-1.98), and the practice of physical activity (OR, 1.16; 95 % CI, 1.01-1.34). On the other hand, dairy consumption was negatively associated with the consumption of fried food (non-consumption) (OR, 0.72; 95 % CI, 0.58-0.90), junk food (OR, 0.78; 95 % CI, 0.63-0.96), sweet snacks (OR, 0.69; 95 % CI, 0.57-0.82), and alcohol (OR, 0.83; 95 % CI, 0.72-0.95).

Similarly, to reside in a country whose HDI is medium-high was found to be associated as a risk factor for non-compliance with the recommended intake of at least 3 servings of dairy per day, as compared to individuals

from countries with very high HDIs (OR, 2.05; 95 % CI, 1.79-2.36). In addition, the results show that being female is a protective factor and is associated with the compliance of the consumption recommendation for dairy products (OR, 0.83; 95 % CI, 0.71-0.98).

## **DISCUSSION**

The main result of this study is that dairy consumption in Latin American university students is positively and significantly associated with healthier dietary patterns. In addition, students who reported compliance with the consumption recommendation for dairy had a better quality of life and higher levels of physical activity than those who did not meet the recommendation.

Another important finding is that there was no association between not meeting dairy consumption recommendations and excess weight. Research on this association has yielded contrasting results in different studies; some have found a positive association (21), and others, an inverse relationship (22). A study conducted in Chile in adult population showed that dairy consumption was associated with lower body weight and BMI and better diet quality in men, while, in women, it was only associated with better diet quality (6). A recent meta-analysis showed that increasing total dairy intake without energy restriction in adults does not affect body composition. However, in the context of an energy-restricted diet, increased dairy intake leads to a reduction in fat mass and body weight, with no conclusive effects on waist circumference or lean mass (23). One possible explanation for the non-association between dairy consumption and nutritional status could be that nutritional status was estimated by self-report. It is known that the perception of obesity is distorted by several factors (24,25).

Studies conducted in different age groups have shown that dairy consumption is associated with improved diet quality. One example of the above is a study in American children and adolescents using data

from the NHANES 2003-2006 which found that only one third of the sample consumed yogurt at least once a week, with these individuals having a better diet quality, as assessed by the HEI-2005 (26). In another study that included children 8 to 18 years of age who participated in the NHANES 2005-2008, yogurt consumption was associated with higher intakes of calcium, vitamin D and protein, and lower intakes of total fat and saturated fat (27).

Various studies have assessed diet quality in university students, with results demonstrating a high consumption of sugary drinks, ultra-processed foods and alcohol; on the other hand, there is a low consumption of fruits, vegetables and legumes (28,29). This eating pattern, along with low physical activity, favors the development of chronic diseases that are highly prevalent in the region. Moreover, it is possible to observe the occurrence of a double burden of disease within the same family, that is, family members with chronic malnutrition and others with obesity or other chronic diseases (30,31).

Additionally, dairy products are a heterogeneous group that offers great versatility. In general, milk products are easy to find at universities, since they are available in university canteens, cafeterias, vending machines, in the form of a carton of milk, yogurt, or cheese in preparations such as sandwiches, therefore, they are easily accessible food products. Barriers to an adequate consumption of dairy include following a vegan diet, being intolerant to lactose, disliking the taste of dairy, or the economic cost of this food product (32,33). Our study found that university students from higher-income countries consume more dairy products than those from middle-to-low-income countries, thus their cost is probably the main barrier to consumption.

A recent systematic review reported that a higher dairy intake (regardless of fat content) did not show detrimental effects on anthropometric outcomes, blood lipids, and blood pressure. Both low-fat and full-fat dairy improved systolic blood pressure levels, however, they

may simultaneously impair glycemic control. Yogurt improved waist circumference, triglycerides, and HDL cholesterol as compared to milk. Overall it appears that current recommendations for dairy food intake did not have a negative influence on the markers of cardiometabolic health (34).

Although the consumption of dairy products should be recommended in university students due to their contribution of protein, calcium, vitamin D, among other nutrients, it is important that the recommendation considers low-sugar or sugar-free dairy products, since a large proportion of sugar consumed by adolescents may come from yogurt or flavored milk (35).

Among the limitations of this study it can be mentioned that it is based on a cross-sectional survey, therefore, it is only possible to establish associations but not to determine causality. In addition, the survey was administered online and included self-reported height and body weight, which may provide little certainty about the accuracy of data. Although the study included a large sample of university students, the sample was not representative of the population.

Among the strengths of this research, the use of validated instruments and the possibility of replicating the study may be highlighted.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

Dairy consumption in Latin American university students is positively associated with better diet quality, furthermore, students who reported compliance with the consumption recommendation of 3 or more servings of dairy per day had a better quality of life and higher levels of physical activity than those who did not meet the recommendation. This stresses the importance of promoting the consumption of dairy products among university students.



## REFERENCES

1. FAO. Leche y Productos Lácteos [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2024 Apr 1]. Available from: <https://www.fao.org/dairy-production-products/products/es/>
2. Varela Moreiras G. Milk as a driver for a healthy population. *Nutr Hosp* 2018;35(Spec No 6):49-53. DOI: 10.20960/nh.2288
3. Visioli F, Strata A. Milk, Dairy Products, and Their Functional Effects in Humans: A Narrative Review of Recent Evidence. *Adv Nutr* 2014;5(2):131-43. DOI: 10.3945/an.113.005025
4. Salas-Salvadó J, Babio N, Juárez-Iglesias M, Picó C, Ros E, Moreno Aznar LA. Importancia de los alimentos lácteos en la salud cardiovascular: ¿enteros o desnatados? *Nutr Hosp* 2018;35(6):1479-90. DOI: 10.20960/nh.2353
5. Rice BH, Quann EE, Miller GD. Meeting and exceeding dairy recommendations: effects of dairy consumption on nutrient intakes and risk of chronic disease. *Nutr Rev* 2013;71(4):209-23. DOI: 10.1111/nure.12007
6. Fuentes C, Morales G, Valenzuela R. Dairy intake and prevention of overweight or obesity: A review of current evidence. *Rev Chil Nutr* 2021;48(6):942-54. DOI: 10.4067/S0717-75182021000600942
7. Obesity and overweight. WHO; 2024. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight>
8. Robles MI, Obando J, González MT, Bueno J. Asociación entre dieta, actividad física y consumo de tabaco en adolescentes. *SEMERGEN - Medicina de Familia* 2011;37(5):238-45. DOI: 10.1016/j.semerg.2011.01.004
9. Urzúa A. Health related quality of life: Conceptual elements. *Rev Med Chil* 2010;138(3):358-65.
10. Vilugrón Aravena F, Molina GT, Gras Pérez ME, Font-Mayolas S. Association between eating habits and health related quality of life

- among school age adolescents. *Rev Med Chil* 2020;148(7):921-9. DOI: 10.4067/S0034-98872020000700921
11. Arzate Hernández G. Calidad de vida relacionada con la salud en adultos con normopeso, sobrepeso y obesidad. *Mexican Journal of Eating Disorders* 2022;10(4):397-405. DOI: 10.22201/fesi.20071523e.2020.4.583
  12. Tutunchi H, Naghshi S, Naemi M, Naeini F, Esmailzadeh A. Yogurt consumption and risk of mortality from all causes, CVD and cancer: a comprehensive systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Public Health Nutr* 2023;26(6):1196-209. DOI: 10.1017/S1368980022002385
  13. Human Development Data. 2023-2024 HUMAN DEVELOPMENT REPORT. Available from: <http://hdr.undp.org/es/data>.
  14. Gallegos-Daniel C, Taddei-Bringas C, González-Córdova AF. Panorama de la industria láctea en México. *Estud Soc Rev Aliment Contemp Desarro Reg* 2023;33(61):e231251. DOI: 10.24836/es.v33i61.1251
  15. Higueta-Gutiérrez LF, Cardona-Arias JA. Índice de desarrollo humano y eventos de salud pública: revisión sistemática de la literatura 1990-2015. *Rev Fac Nac Salud* 2018;36(1):5-16. DOI: 10.17533/udea.rfnsp.v36n1a02
  16. Durán AS, Valdés BP, Godoy CA, Herrera VT. Eating habits and physical condition of physical education students. *Rev Chil Nutr* 2014;41(3):251-9. DOI: 10.4067/S0717-75182014000300004
  17. United Nations Development Programme. Human development report 2021/2022: uncertain times, unsettled lives: shaping our future in a transforming world. 305 p. Available from: [https://hdr.undp.org/system/files/documents/global-report-document/hdr2021-22reportenglish\\_0.pdf](https://hdr.undp.org/system/files/documents/global-report-document/hdr2021-22reportenglish_0.pdf)
  18. Núñez Sánchez MC, Reyes Huarcaya RME. Importancia de diferenciar puntos de corte del IMC de acuerdo a la edad [Importance of

- differentiating cutoff points from BMI according to age]. *Nutr Hosp* 2017;34(5):1263. DOI: 10.20960/nh.1416
19. Healthy Diet. WHO 2018. Available from: [https://www.who.int/health-topics/healthy-diet#tab=tab\\_2](https://www.who.int/health-topics/healthy-diet#tab=tab_2)
  20. Tudela LL. Health-related quality of life. *Aten Primaria* 2009;41(7):411-6. DOI: 10.1016/j.aprim.2008.10.019
  21. Huang T, Ding M, Bergholdt Helle KM, Wang T, Heianza Y, Sun D, et al. Dairy Consumption and Body Mass Index Among Adults: Mendelian Randomization Analysis of 184802 Individuals from 25 Studies. *Clin Chem* 2018;64(1):183-91. DOI: 10.1373/clinchem.2017.280701
  22. Mirmiran P, Esmailzadeh A, Azizi F. Dairy consumption and body mass index: an inverse relationship. *Int J Obes* 2005;29(1):115-21. DOI: 10.1038/sj.ijo.0802838
  23. López-Sobaler AM, Aparicio A, López Díaz-Ufano ML, Ortega RM, Álvarez-Bueno C. Effect of dairy intake with or without energy restriction on body composition of adults: overview of systematic reviews and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials. *Nutr Rev* 2020;78(11):901-13. DOI: 10.1093/nutrit/nuaa003
  24. Richmond TK, Sonnevile KR, Milliren CE, Thurston IB. Unraveling the meaning of weight misperception in a sample of college students: Unaware or body satisfied? *Body Image* 2022;43:87-94. DOI: 10.1016/j.bodyim.2022.08.007
  25. Joo YY, Kim J, Lee K, Cho GJ, Yi KW. Misperception of body weight and associated socioeconomic and health-related factors among Korean female adults: A nationwide population-based study. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* 2022;13:1007129. DOI: 10.3389/fendo.2022.1007129
  26. Keast D, Hill Gallant K, Albertson A, Gugger C, Holschuh N. Associations between Yogurt, Dairy, Calcium, and Vitamin D Intake and Obesity among U.S. Children Aged 8–18 Years: NHANES, 2005–2008. *Nutrients* 2015;7(3):1577-93. DOI: 10.3390/nu7031577

27. O'Leary F, Hattersley L, King L, Allman-Farinelli M. Sugary drink consumption behaviours among young adults at university. *Nutrition & Dietetics* 2012;69(2):119-23. DOI: 10.1111/j.1747-0080.2012.01583.x
28. Crovetto M, Valladares M, Oñate G, Fernández M, Mena F, Durán Agüero S, et al. Association of weekend alcohol consumption with diet variables, body mass index, cardiovascular risk and sleep. *Human Nutrition & Metabolism* 2022;27:200140. DOI:10.1016/j.hnm.2022.200140
29. Morales G, Durán-Agüero S, Parra-Soto S, Landaeta-Díaz L, Carpio V, Cavagnari B, et al. Ultra-processed food and homemade fried food consumption is associated with overweight/obesity in Latin American university students during COVID-19. *American Journal of Human Biology* 2023;35(8):e23900. DOI: 10.1002/ajhb.23900
30. Oviedo-Solís C, Monterrubio-Flores E, Cediel G, Denova-Gutiérrez E, Barquera S. Trend of Ultraprocessed Product Intake Is Associated with the Double Burden of Malnutrition in Mexican Children and Adolescents. *Nutrients* 2022;14(20):4347. DOI: 10.3390/nu14204347
31. Dos Reis Araujo T, Lubaczeuski C, Carneiro EM. Effects of double burden malnutrition on energetic metabolism and glycemic homeostasis: A narrative review. *Life Sci* 2022;307:120883. DOI: 10.1016/j.lfs.2022.120883
32. Dhont K, Ioannidou M. Similarities and differences between vegetarians and vegans in motives for meat-free and plant-based diets. *Appetite* 2024;195:107232. DOI: 10.1016/j.appet.2024.107232
33. Li A, Zheng J, Han X, Yang S, Cheng S, Zhao J, et al. Advances in Low-Lactose/Lactose-Free Dairy Products and Their Production. *Foods* 2023;12(13):2553. DOI: 10.3390/foods12132553
34. Kiesswetter E, Stadelmaier J, Petropoulou M, Morze J, Grummich K, Roux I, et al. Effects of Dairy Intake on Markers of Cardiometabolic Health in Adults: A Systematic Review with Network Meta-Analysis.

Advances in Nutrition 2023;14(3):438-50. DOI:  
10.1016/j.advnut.2023.03.004

35. Ricciuto L, Fulgoni VL, Gaine PC, Scott MO, DiFrancesco L. Intakes of Added Sugars, with a Focus on Beverages and the Associations with Nutrient Adequacy in US Adults (NHANES 2003–2018). *Nutrients* 2023;15(18):3916. DOI: 10.3390/nu15183916



Table I. Sociodemographic characteristics of the sample and proportion of dairy consumers, *n* (%)

	<i>n</i> total	Consumption of dairy products		<i>p</i> -value
		Non-compliance	Compliance	
Total sample	4880	3230 (66.2)	1650 (33.8)	
<i>Sex</i>				
Male	1281	803 (62.7)	478 (37.3)	0.002
Female	3599	2427 (67.4)	1172 (32.6)	
<i>Human Development Index</i>				0.001
Medium-High	3107	718 (23.1)	2389 (76.9)	
Very High	1773	687 (38.7)	1086 (61.3)	
<i>Country</i>				< 0.001
Argentina	503	205 (40.8)	298 (59.2)	
Colombia	275	96 (34.9)	179 (65.1)	
Chile	378	182 (48.1)	196 (51.9)	
Costa Rica	586	222 (37.9)	364 (62.1)	
Ecuador	674	109 (16.6)	565 (83.8)	
Guatemala	376	94 (25.0)	282 (75.0)	
Mexico	1242	235 (18.9)	1007 (81.1)	
Panama	293	68 (23.2)	225 (76.8)	
Paraguay	257	124 (48.2)	133 (51.8)	
Peru	283	60 (21.2)	223 (78.8)	
Uruguay	13	10 (76.9)	3 (23.1)	
<i>Field of study</i>				
Health Sciences	3110	2202 (70.8)	908 (29.2)	
Engineering and Exact Sciences	882	497 (56.3)	385 (43.7)	
Education, Social	198	116 (58.6)	82 (41.4)	

Sciences & Humanities				
Arts, Architecture and Design	66	35 (53.0)	31 (47.0)	
Farming and Biological Sciences	207	128 (61.8)	79 (38.2)	
Other	261	167 (64.0)	94 (36.0)	

$p$ -value according to the  $\chi^2$  test.



Table II. Association between dairy consumption (according to the recommendation) and excess weight (according to BMI)

<b>Dairy products</b>	<b>Excess weight</b>		<b>p-value</b>
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	
Non-compliance	1140 (32.8)	2335 (67.2)	0.054
Compliance	421 (30.0)	984 (70.0)	

Note: "Non-compliance" or "Compliance" with the consumption recommendation of 3 or more servings of dairy per day. "Yes" or "No" for the presence of overweight or obesity. *p*-Value according to the  $\chi^2$  test.

Nutrición  
Hospitalaria



Table III. Proportion of university students—*n* (%)—and compliance with recommendations with respect to dietary and behavioral determinants of health, according to dairy consumption

	<b>Determinants of health (diet and habits)</b>		
<b>Dairy products</b>	<b>Breakfast</b>		<b><i>p</i>-value</b>
	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
Non-compliance	1262 (36.3)	2213 (63.7)	< 0.001
Compliance	328 (23.3)	1077 (76.7)	
	<b>Healthy dinner</b>		
	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
Non-compliance	2311 (66.5)	1164 (33.5)	< 0.001
Compliance	798 (56.8)	607 (43.2)	
	<b>Fruits</b>		
	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
Non-compliance	2428 (69.9)	1047 (30.1)	< 0.001
Compliance	705 (50.2)	700 (49.8)	
	<b>Vegetables</b>		
	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
Non-compliance	2596 (74.7)	879 (25.3)	< 0.001
Compliance	840 (59.8)	565 (40.2)	
	<b>Fish</b>		
	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
Non-compliance	3192 (91.9)	283 (8.1)	< 0.001
Compliance	1221 (86.9)	184 (13.1)	
	<b>Legumes</b>		
	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
Non-compliance	1535 (44.2)	1940 (55.9)	< 0.05
Compliance	566 (40.3)	839 (59.7)	
	<b>Whole-grain foods</b>		
	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	

Non-compliance	1871 (53.8)	1604 (46.2)	< 0.001
Compliance	509 (36.2)	896 (63.8)	
<b>Sugary drinks</b>			
	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
Non-compliance	1187 (34.2)	2288 (65.8)	< 0.001
Compliance	574 (50.9)	831 (59.1)	
<b>Fried food</b>			
	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
Non-compliance	461 (13.3)	3014 (86.7)	0.216
Compliance	168 (12.0)	1237 (88.0)	
<b>Junk food</b>			
	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	0.059
Non-compliance	565 (16.3)	2910 (83.7)	
Compliance	198 (14.1)	1207 (85.9)	
<b>Unhealthy snacks</b>			
	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
Non-compliance	766 (22.0)	2709 (78.0)	< 0.001
Compliance	228 (16.2)	1177 (83.8)	
<b>Physical activity</b>			
	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
Non-compliance	2416 (69.5)	1059 (30.5)	< 0.001
Compliance	840 (59.8)	565 (40.2)	
<b>Alcohol</b>			
	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
Non-compliance	2093 (60.2)	1382 (39.8)	< 0.001
Compliance	764 (54.4)	641 (45.6)	
<b>Tobacco</b>			
	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
Non-compliance	3217 (92.6)	258 (7.4)	0.369
Compliance	1311 (93.3)	94 (6.7)	

Note: “Non-compliance” or “Compliance” with the consumption recommendation of 3 or more servings of dairy per day. “Yes” (meet the recommendation) or “No” (does not meet the recommendation) on the

consumption of breakfast, healthy dinner, fruits, vegetables, fish, legumes, whole-grain foods, sugary drinks, fried food, junk food, unhealthy snacks, alcohol, tobacco and physical activity.  $p$ -Value according to the  $\chi^2$  test.



Table IV. Association between dairy consumption (according to the recommendation) and health-related quality of life (by score quartiles)

<b>Dairy products</b>	<b>Determinants of Health (HRQoL)</b>		<b>p-value</b>
	<b>Lower</b>	<b>Higher</b>	
			< 0.001
Non-compliance	2679 (72.6)	796 (66.9)	
Compliance	1012 (27.4)	393 (33.1)	

HRQoL: Health-related quality of life. Note: “Non-compliance” or “Compliance” with the consumption recommendation of 3 or more servings of dairy per day. “Lower” or “Higher” level of Health-related quality of life. *p*-Value according to the chi<sup>2</sup> test.

Nutrición  
Hospitalaria

Table V. Association between dairy consumption (according to the recommendation) and human development index (according to the HDI classification)

<b>Dairy products</b>	<b>HDI</b>		<b>p-value</b>
	<b>Medium-high</b>	<b>Very high</b>	
			< 0.001
Non-compliance	2389 (76.9)	1086 (61.3)	
Compliance	718 (23.1)	687 (38.7)	

HDI: Human Development Index. Note: “Non-compliance” or “Compliance” with the consumption recommendation of 3 or more servings of dairy per day. “Medium-High” or “Very High” according to the Human Development Index classification. *p*-Value according to the  $\chi^2$  test.

Nutrición  
Hospitalaria

Table VI. Association of dairy products (as a dependent variable) with excess weight (crude Model 1), dietary and behavioral variables (Model 2), health-related quality of life and Human Development Index (Model 3), adjusted by sex (most fully adjusted Model 4)

	<b>Crude Model 1 OR (95 % CI)</b>	<b>Model 2 OR (95 % CI)</b>	<b>Model 3 OR (95 % CI)</b>	<b>Model 4 OR (95 % CI)</b>
Excess weight (Yes)	1.14 (0.99- 1.30) <sup>§</sup>	1.00 (0.87- 1.15)	0.99 (0.86- 1.14)	0.97 (0.84- 1.12)
Breakfast (No)		1.56 (1.34- 1.81) <sup>†</sup>	1.59 (1.36- 1.85) <sup>†</sup>	1.58 (1.36- 1.85) <sup>†</sup>
Healthy dinner (No)		1.24 (1.08- 1.42) <sup>†</sup>	1.15 (1.00- 1.32) <sup>*</sup>	1.16 (1.01- 1.33) <sup>*</sup>
Fruits (No)		1.68 (1.45- 1.94) <sup>†</sup>	1.78 (1.54- 2.06) <sup>†</sup>	1.77 (1.53- 2.05) <sup>†</sup>
Vegetables (No)		1.35 (1.16- 1.57) <sup>†</sup>	1.21 (1.04- 1.41) <sup>*</sup>	1.19 (1.02- 1.39) <sup>*</sup>
Fish (No)		1.36 (1.10- 1.68) <sup>†</sup>	1.37 (1.10- 1.69) <sup>†</sup>	1.37 (1.10- 1.69) <sup>†</sup>
Legumes (No)		0.96 (0.84- 1.09)	0.96 (0.84- 1.10)	0.96 (0.84- 1.10)
Whole-grain foods (No)		1.60 (1.39- 1.83) <sup>†</sup>	1.72 (1.49- 1.98) <sup>†</sup>	1.72 (1.49- 1.98) <sup>†</sup>
Sugary drinks (Yes)		1.20 (1.03- 1.38) <sup>*</sup>	1.13 (0.97- 1.31) <sup>§</sup>	1.11 (0.96- 1.29)
Fried food (Yes)		0.78 (0.62- 0.97) <sup>*</sup>	0.72 (0.57- 0.90) <sup>†</sup>	0.72 (0.58- 0.90) <sup>†</sup>
Junk food (Yes)		0.76 (0.62- 0.93) <sup>†</sup>	0.77 (0.63- 0.94) <sup>*</sup>	0.78 (0.63- 0.96) <sup>*</sup>

Unhealthy snacks (Yes)		0.67 (0.56-0.80) <sup>‡</sup>	0.68 (0.57-0.82) <sup>‡</sup>	0.69 (0.57-0.82) <sup>‡</sup>
Physical activity (No)		1.19 (1.04-1.37) <sup>*</sup>	1.15 (1.00-1.33) <sup>*</sup>	1.16 (1.01-1.34) <sup>*</sup>
Alcohol (Yes)		0.76 (0.66-0.87) <sup>‡</sup>	0.84 (0.73-0.96) <sup>*</sup>	0.83 (0.72-0.95) <sup>*</sup>
Tobacco (Yes)		1.06 (0.82-1.39)	1.05 (0.81-1.38)	1.04 (0.79-1.36)
HRQoL (Lower quality)			1.01 (0.86-1.17)	1.02 (0.87-1.19)
HDI (Medium-high)			2.09 (1.82-2.40) <sup>‡</sup>	2.05 (1.79-2.36) <sup>‡</sup>
Sex (Female)				0.83 (0.71-0.98) <sup>*</sup>

\* $p < 0.05$ ; <sup>†</sup> $p < 0.01$ ; <sup>‡</sup> $p < 0.001$ ; <sup>§</sup> $p < 0.1$ ; HRQoL: health-related quality of life; HDI: Human Development Index. Note: In this document, 1 = Non-compliance (with the consumption recommendation of 3 or more servings of dairy per day). Yes (there is excess weight); No (non-daily consumption of breakfast, healthy dinner and whole-grain foods); No (non-compliance with the consumption recommendation of fruits, vegetables, fish and legumes); Yes (consumption of sugary drinks, fried food, junk food and unhealthy snacks); No (non-performance of physical activity); Yes (consumption of alcohol and tobacco); Lower (health-related quality of life); Medium-high (human development index); and Female (gender).




Figure 1. Description of dairy consumption according to the nationality of the sample.

Nutrición  
Hospitalaria