

OR 1549

Oxygen pulse as a protective factor of insulin resistance in sedentary women with overweight or obesity

Luis González Rojas¹, Johana Soto Sánchez², Marcelo Cano Capellacci³, Rodrigo Muñoz Claro³ and Javiera Cancino Ramírez¹

¹Centro de Tratamiento de la Obesidad. Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile. Santiago, Chile. ²Facultad de Ciencias de la Actividad Física del Deporte y Recreación. Departamento de Educación Física. Universidad de Playa Ancha. Valparaíso, Chile. ³Facultad de Medicina. Departamento de Kinesiología. Laboratorio de Ciencias de la Actividad Física. Programa de Fisiología. Instituto de Ciencias Biomédicas. Universidad de Chile. Santiago, Chile. ⁴Departamento de Cirugía Digestiva. Pontificia Universidad Católica. Santiago, Chile

Received: 10/09/2017

Accepted: 02/06/2018

Correspondence: Javiera Cancino Ramírez. Centro de Tratamiento de la Obesidad. Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile. Av. Nevería, 4444. Santiago, Chile

e-mail: javieracancinoramirez@gmail.com

DOI: 10.20960/nh.1549

ABSTRACT

Background: obesity is associated with insulin resistance (IR). Through exercise, insulin resistant obese patients can effectively improve their cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF). The effect of exercise on patients CRF can be determined by oxygen pulse (PO₂) analysis. Despite its usefulness, there is limited literature on PO₂ analysis in patients with obesity and insulin resistance.

Objective: the goal of the present study is to evaluate the relation between PO₂ and IR in sedentary obese women.

Methods: fifty-five women were submitted to a maximal exercise test for evaluation of maximal oxygen consumption and PO_2 . The subjects with a homeostatic model assessment of IR index greater or equal to 2.5 were considered as insulin-resistant (IR). Participants were divided into two groups, IR group (n = 35) and non-IR group (n = 20).

Results: the IR group had lower values of PO_2 relative to body weight (11.0 ± 1.7 versus 12.6 ± 1.4 ml·kg·beats⁻¹, p = 0.001) and relative to lean mass (21.7 ± 2.9 versus 23.2 ± 2.8 ml·kg·beats⁻¹, p = 0.038) than non-IR group. No statistical differences were found in maximal oxygen consumption between the groups (non-IR = 1.53 ± 0.27 l·min⁻¹, IR = 1.51 ± 0.28 l·min⁻¹; p = 0.386). PO_2 relative to body weight and HOMA-IR was inversely correlated (p < 0.001; r = -0.465). Logistic regression analysis showed an association between PO_2 relative to weight (p = 0.001, OR = 0.47) and fat free mass (p = 0.01, OR = 0.73), both models adjusted by age.

Conclusions: this study demonstrates a relation between HOMA-IR and PO_2 . Our results suggest that PO_2 could be a protective factor against insulin resistance.

Key words: Cardiorespiratory fitness. Insulin resistance. Cardiopulmonary exercise test. Oxygen consumption. Obesity.

RESUMEN

Introducción: la sensibilidad a la insulina ha sido ampliamente relacionada con el *fitness* cardiorrespiratorio (FCR), el cual puede ser evaluado a través del pulso de oxígeno (PO_2). Este corresponde al producto entre el volumen sistólico y la diferencia arteriovenosa de oxígeno y en sujetos sin alteraciones cardiovasculares podría ser un indicador de alteraciones del metabolismo a nivel periférico como la resistencia a la insulina (RI).

Objetivo: el objetivo del presente estudio es evaluar la relación entre el PO_2 y la RI en mujeres sedentarias con obesidad.

Métodos: cincuenta y cinco mujeres fueron sometidas a una prueba de ejercicio maximal para la evaluación del consumo máximo de oxígeno (VO_{2max}) y del PO_2 . Los sujetos con un índice HOMA-IR mayor o igual a 2,5 fueron considerados con resistencia a la insulina. Los participantes fueron divididos en dos grupos, RI (n = 35) y no-RI (n = 20).

Resultados: el grupo RI presentó valores menores de PO_2 relativo al peso corporal ($11,0 \pm 1,7$ versus $12,6 \pm 1,4$ ml·kg·latidos⁻¹, $p = 0,001$) y relativo a la masa libre de grasa ($21,7 \pm 2,9$ versus $23,2 \pm 2,8$ ml·kg·latidos⁻¹, $p = 0,038$) respecto al grupo no-RI. No se encontraron diferencias estadísticamente significativas en el VO_{2max} entre ambos grupos (no-RI = 1.53 ± 0.27 l·min⁻¹, RI = $1,51 \pm 0,28$ l·min⁻¹; $p = 0,386$). Se encontró una correlación inversa entre el PO_2 relativo al peso y el índice HOMA-IR ($p < 0,001$; $r = -0,465$). El análisis de regresión logística mostró una asociación entre el PO_2 relativo al peso ($p = 0,001$, OR = 0,47) y la masa libre de grasa ($p = 0,01$, OR = 0,73), ambos modelos ajustados por edad.

Conclusiones: este estudio demuestra que existe una relación entre el índice HOMA-IR y el PO_2 . Estos resultados sugieren que el PO_2 podría ser un factor protector de RI.

Palabras clave: *Fitness* cardiorrespiratorio. Resistencia a la insulina. Prueba de esfuerzo cardiopulmonar. Consumo de oxígeno. Obesidad.

INTRODUCTION

Obesity is a global pandemic associated with increased mortality, morbidity and a poor quality of life (1). Many authors suggest an inverse relationship between the adverse effects of obesity and cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) (2,3).

Many studies have linked CRF with insulin sensitivity in both healthy and obese subjects (4,5). At the same time, CRF has been described as an independent and strong predictor of insulin sensitivity (6). An adequate evaluation of insulin sensitivity and CRF gives us accurate information about the health status of this group of patients (7).

Oxygen maximal consumption (VO_{2max}) is the gold standard in the evaluation of CRF. According to Fick's equation, the VO_{2max} depends of stroke volume, heart rate and arteriovenous oxygen difference (a- vO_2 diff) (8).

In turn, oxygen pulse (PO_2) is defined as the total oxygen amount that can be extracted by the peripheral tissue in every heart beat (9) and has been inversely related to mortality risk in a more sensitive way than peak oxygen consumption in median age adults with cardiac insufficiency (10). In turn, the oxygen pulse (PO_2) is defined as the total oxygen amount that can be extracted by the peripheral tissue in every heart beat (9). PO_2 has been inversely related with a higher sensitivity to mortality risk over the

peak oxygen consumption in median age adults with cardiac insufficiency (10). PO_2 is the product between stroke volume and $a-vO_2$ diff, therefore, in patients with cardiovascular disease it has been used as an indirect indicator of the stroke volume in maximal exercise intensities (11,12), assuming a normal arterial concentration of oxygen and a normal $a-vO_2$ diff (11). We propose that in subjects with normal values of stroke volume, the alterations in PO_2 could be an indicator of an impaired $a-vO_2$ diff.

VO_{2max} and PO_2 depend on central and peripheral cardiovascular parameters. In the case of the VO_{2max} the individual contribution of these variables is impossible to distinguish. However, if we assume a normal stroke volume, PO_2 could indicate a peripheral alteration of $a-vO_2$ diff, providing information about the metabolic compromise, evaluated through insulin sensitivity.

The goal of the present study is to evaluate the relation between PO_2 and IR in sedentary women with obesity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

This was a retrospective study approved by the Ethics Committee with a waiver of the need to obtain informed consent (register 15-267). All participants' data was obtained from our database at Obesity Treatment Center according to inclusion/exclusion criteria.

Patients

Fifty-five women who met the criteria shown in figure 1, which were selected in a non-probabilistic way, were identified.

Body composition

Height was measured with a stadiometer (0.5 cm precision) and weight with a Seca® digital scale (0.1 kg precision). Both assessments were performed without shoes and in light clothing. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as $\text{weight} \cdot \text{height}^{-2}$ ($\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$). Lean and fat mass, in kilograms, was assessed by using octopolar multi-frequency bioimpedance (InBody 720) in a four-hour fasting state, without menstruation and with at least 12 hours free of exercise.

Cardiorespiratory fitness

An incremental cycle ergometer test was performed with gas analysis (Metalyzer 3B-R2, Cortex®). The participants were free of exercise, alcohol, coffee, drugs or other stimulant consumption in the previous 24 hours and in a six-hour fasting condition. Theoretical maximal load (W_t) was estimated by the Jones equation in watts (13). Protocol consisted of a three-minute rest period, then a three-minute warm up at 20% of W_t , followed by six-minute stages at 30, 40, 50 and 60% of W_t until a respiratory exchange ratio (RER) ≥ 1 was reached. Then one-minute stages were performed with an increase 10% of W_t until exhaustion. Verbal stimuli were allowed. The test was considered as maximal if a RER ≥ 1.1 was reached and/or if the maximal heart rate (HR_{max}) was greater or equal to the theoretical maximum predicted by the Morris equation for an ergometer cycle test (14). This protocol was adapted from the one proposed by Brun, Romain and Mercier (15). The next variables were calculated from the average of the final 30 seconds from the last completed stage (breath by breath): HR_{max} in $\text{beats}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$, maximal load in Watts (W_{max}), maximal load expressed as a percentage of W_t ($W_{max(\%)}$) and relative to body weight in $\text{watts}\cdot\text{kg}$ ($W_{max\text{rel}}$). The same methodology was used for determining CRF from the measurements of VO_{2max} . This was expressed as an absolute value in $\text{l}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ and as a percentage of the maximum estimated ($VO_{2max(\%)}$), according to the Wasserman and Hansen weight algorithm (16). The peak oxygen pulse (PO_2) expressed in $\text{ml}\cdot\text{beats}^{-1}$ and calculated as $VO_{2max}\cdot HR_{max}^{-1}$, PO_2 relative to corporal weight (PO_{2rel}) calculated as $PO_2\cdot\text{weight}^{-1}$ and the PO_2 relative to lean mass (POM_{rel}^{lm}) calculated as $PO_2\cdot\text{lean mass}^{-1}$, both expressed in $\text{ml}\cdot\text{kg}\cdot\text{beats}^{-1}$. All percentage variations were calculated considering 100% of the group was non-insulin resistant (non-IR).

Insulin resistance

The homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), proposed by Mathews et al. (17), was used. Patients were considered as IR if they had a HOMA-IR value greater or equal to 2.5 according to the existent literature (18,19).

Statistical analysis

The sample was categorized into two groups according to the presence of IR (IR group and non-IR group). Distribution of the variables was assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Variables with normal distribution are presented as mean and standard deviation and those without normal distribution are shown as median and 25-75 percentile. For comparing means between both groups a Student's t-test for independent samples was used. In variables with normal distribution and in non-normally distributed variables, a Wilcoxon test was used. Since all the variables to be correlated had a non-parametric behavior, a Spearman correlation coefficient was used for correlations. For association of IR with oxygen pulse, a stepwise logistic regression analysis was performed, adjusted by age and BMI accordingly.

Data is presented as odds ratio and confidence interval of 95%. Goodness of fit was evaluated with a Hosmer-Lemeshow test. The significance level was set as < 0.05 . Statistical analysis was performed with the computational statistics program STATA 12 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX).

RESULTS

Body composition

IR group presents a higher weight (81.5 [78.4-88.8] vs 73.4 [69.1-80.8] kilos, $p = 0.004$), BMI (32.8 [30.3-34.8] vs 29.0 [27.7-31.5], $p = 0.001$), fat mass (39.3 ± 7.5 vs 32.9 ± 8.3 , $p = 0.002$) and body fat percent (46.7 ± 4.9 vs 42.8 ± 8.6 , $p = 0.020$), and a lower lean mass percentage with respect to body weight (50.2% [46.8-53.1] vs 53.9% [51.7-57.4], $p = 0.004$) in contrast to the non-IR group. No differences were found between both groups in height (158.9 ± 5.7 vs 161.1 ± 7.6 cm, respectively) and in fat free mass (40.7 [39.3-45.9] vs 40.9 [37.8-44.1] kg, respectively).

Insulin resistance

The IR group showed higher values of HOMA (4.1 [3.2-5.8] vs 2.1 [2.0-2.5], $p < 0.001$), fasting glycaemia (87 [83-94] vs 84 [82-89] mg/dl, $p = 0.044$) and fasting insulinemia (19.2 [15.0-28.9] vs 10.2 [8.9-11.9] mg/dl, $p < 0.001$) in contrast to the non-IR group.

Cardiorespiratory fitness

Table II shows differences in CRF between both groups. The $VO_{2max\%}$ was a 7.1% lower in the IR group. At the same time, the PO_{2rel} and the PO_{2rel}^{lm} were lower in the IR group in a 12.7% and a 6.5%, respectively. A negative correlation between HOMA-IR and PO_{2rel} was also found (Fig. 2) in all the subjects. Table III shows logistic regression models for association of IR with PO_2 , PO_{2rel} and PO_{2rel}^{lm} , adjusted by age. Models b) and c) were statistically significant and both are valid according to Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of fit test ($p = 0.446$ and $p = 0.289$, respectively).

DISCUSSION

In this study it was found that patients with insulin resistance had lower values of PO_2 relative to weight and lean mass. In addition, an association between these variables was observed that could indicate a possible protective role against IR.

Several studies have shown that patients with higher weights and BMI have higher stroke volumes (20,21). From this, if we consider that PO_2 depends directly on stroke volume and a- vO_2 diff (peripheral oxygen extraction during exercise) (8,9), the IR group (with higher weight and BMI) should have had higher PO_2 values. However, this was not the case and, when normalized by weight or fat free mass, the IR group presents lower values in comparison to the non-IR group.

The above could be explained considering that peripheral metabolic compromise is capable of nullifying the possible impact of stroke volume increase (related to weight) on PO_2 , so there are no differences in net values. At the same time, if the values of PO_2 are normalized by weight or fat free mass, the impact of these variables on stroke volume and, in turn, on PO_2 are annulled, allowing to detect the impact of peripheral metabolism on this variable.

There are some articles that have related the decreases in muscle mitochondrial oxidative capacity with the decreases in muscle insulin sensitivity (22-25). These variations in mitochondrial metabolism could explain the decreases in peripheral oxygen utilization during exercise, although more studies are needed to verify this relationship.

From these results, PO_2 relative to weight or to fat free mass could be a useful parameter to estimate the peripheral metabolic status in patients with overweight

and/or sedentary, whenever no stroke volume alterations exist. Further studies are necessary to elucidate the factors that allow us to differentiate the physiopathological context in which the PO_2 is a better reflex of the stroke volume or of the arteriovenous oxygen difference.

One of the main limitations of the study is the utilization of HOMA-IR instead of the hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp, which is considered as the gold standard for the determination of IR. In addition, it is necessary to evaluate the arteriovenous oxygen difference and stroke volume in these patients for a better understanding of the impact of these variables in the IR diagnosis. For future studies, it would be interesting to consider the variation in time of PO_2 and IR and to relate the variations of both variables after an exercise intervention.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study demonstrates a relation between IR and PO_2 . Our results suggest that PO_2 could be a protective factor against insulin resistance. Further studies should be oriented to the treatment of IR through improvement in peripheral variables of physical fitness, such as PO_2 . The evaluation of the impact of exercise in the PO_2 variations and their relationship with the improvements on insulin sensitivity is necessary.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

This study was approved by the Scientific Ethics Committee (register 15-267). All procedures performed in the study were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee.

INFORMED CONSENT

A waiver of the need to obtain informed consent was obtained from Scientific Ethics Committee.

REFERENCES

1. Major P, Matlok M, Pedziwiatr M, Migaczewski M, Budzynski P, Stanek M, et al. Quality of life after bariatric surgery. *Obes Surg* 2015;25(9):1703-10. DOI: 10.1007/s11695-015-1601-2.
2. Barry VW, Baruth M, Beets MW, Durstine JL, Liu J, Blair SN. Fitness vs. fatness on all-cause mortality: a meta-analysis. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2014;56(4):382-90. DOI: 10.1016/j.pcad.2013.09.002. PubMed PMID: 24438729
3. Baptista LC, Machado-Rodrigues AM, Martins RA. Exercise but not metformin improves health-related quality of life and mood states in older adults with type 2 diabetes. *Eur J Sport Sci* 2017;17(6):794-804. DOI: 10.1080/17461391.2017.1310933. PubMed PMID: 28394739
4. Morris C, Grada CO, Ryan M, Roche HM, De Vito G, Gibney MJ, et al. The relationship between aerobic fitness level and metabolic profiles in healthy adults. *Mol Nutr Food Res* 2013;57(7):1246-54. DOI: 10.1002/mnfr.201200629. PubMed PMID: 23505034
5. Shalev-Goldman E, McGuire KA, Ross R. Waist circumference and cardiorespiratory fitness are independently associated with glucose tolerance and insulin resistance in obese women. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2014;39(3):358-62. DOI: 10.1139/apnm-2013-0160. PubMed PMID: 24552378
6. Lalia AZ, Dasari S, Johnson ML, Robinson MM, Konopka AR, Distelmaier K, et al. Predictors of whole-body insulin sensitivity across ages and adiposity in adult humans. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2016;101(2):626-34. DOI: 10.1210/jc.2015-2892. PubMed PMID: 26709968; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4880121
7. Gallart-Aragón T, Fernández-Lao C, Castro-Martín E, Cantarero-Villanueva I, Cozar-Ibáñez A, Arroyo-Morales M. Health-related fitness improvements in morbid obese patients after laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy: a cohort study. *Obes Surg* 2016;27(5):1182-8. DOI: 10.1007/s11695-016-2427-2. PubMed PMID: 27783365
8. Stringer WW, Hansen JE, Wasserman K. Cardiac output estimated noninvasively from oxygen uptake during exercise. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 1997;82(3):908-12. DOI: 10.1152/jappl.1997.82.3.908. PubMed PMID: 9074981

9. Laukkanen JA, Kurl S, Salonen JT, Lakka TA, Rauramaa R. Peak oxygen pulse during exercise as a predictor for coronary heart disease and all cause death. *Heart* 2006;92(9):1219-24.
10. Corra U, Piepoli MF, Adamopoulos S, Agostoni P, Coats AJ, Conraads V, et al. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing in systolic heart failure in 2014 - The evolving prognostic role: a position paper from the committee on exercise physiology and training of the heart failure association of the ESC. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2014;16(9):929-41. DOI: 10.1002/ejhf.156. PubMed PMID: 25175894
11. Mezzani A, Agostoni P, Cohen-Solal A, Corra U, Jegier A, Kouidi E, et al. Standards for the use of cardiopulmonary exercise testing for the functional evaluation of cardiac patients: a report from the Exercise Physiology Section of the European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil* 2009;16(3):249-67.
12. Sun X-G, Hansen JE, Oudiz RJ, Wasserman K. Exercise pathophysiology in patients with primary pulmonary hypertension. *Circulation* 2001;104(4):429-35.
13. Jones NL, Makrides L, Hitchcock C, Chypchar T, McCartney N. Normal standards for an incremental progressive cycle ergometer test. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1985;131(5):700-8. DOI: 10.1164/arrd.1985.131.5.700. PubMed PMID: 3923878
14. Morris CK, Myers J, Froelicher VF, Kawaguchi T, Ueshima K, Hideg A. Nomogram based on metabolic equivalents and age for assessing aerobic exercise capacity in men. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1993;22(1):175-82. PubMed PMID: 8509539
15. Brun JF, Romain AJ, Mercier J. Maximal lipid oxidation during exercise (Lipoxmax): from physiological measurements to clinical applications. Facts and uncertainties. *Sci Sports* 2011;26(2):57-71. DOI: 10.1016/j.scispo.2011.02.001
16. Arena R, Myers J, Abella J, Pinkstaff S, Brubaker P, Moore B, et al. Determining the preferred percent-predicted equation for peak oxygen consumption in patients with heart failure. *Circ Heart Fail* 2009;2(2):113-20. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.108.834168. PubMed PMID: 19808326; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2747756
17. Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting

plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia* 1985;28(7):412-9. PubMed PMID: 3899825

18. Kuk JL, Ardern CI. Are metabolically normal but obese individuals at lower risk for all-cause mortality? *Diabetes Care* 2009;32(12):2297-9. DOI: 10.2337/dc09-0574

19. Acosta A, Escalona M, Maiz A, Pollak F, Leighton F. Determination of the insulin resistance index by the Homeostasis Model Assessment in a population of Metropolitan Region in Chile. *Rev Méd Chile* 2002;130(11):1227-31.

20. Rider OJ, Petersen SE, Francis JM, Ali MK, Hudsmith LE, Robinson MR, et al. Ventricular hypertrophy and cavity dilatation in relation to body mass index in women with uncomplicated obesity. *Heart* 2011;97(3):203-8. DOI: 10.1136/hrt.2009.185009. PubMed PMID: 20483890

21. Aurigemma GP, De Simone G, Fitzgibbons TP. Cardiac remodeling in obesity. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2013;6(1):142-52. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.111.964627. PubMed PMID: 23322729

22. Kelley DE, He J, Menshikova EV, Ritov VB. Dysfunction of mitochondria in human skeletal muscle in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 2002;51(10):2944-50. PubMed PMID: 12351431.

23. Petersen KF, Dufour S, Befroy D, Garcia R, Shulman GI. Impaired mitochondrial activity in the insulin-resistant offspring of patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2004;350(7):664-71. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa031314. PubMed PMID: 14960743; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2995502

24. Mogensen M, Sahlin K, Fernstrom M, Glinborg D, Vind BF, Beck-Nielsen H, et al. Mitochondrial respiration is decreased in skeletal muscle of patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 2007;56(6):1592-9. DOI: 10.2337/db06-0981. PubMed PMID: 17351150

25. Affourtit C. Mitochondrial involvement in skeletal muscle insulin resistance: A case of imbalanced bioenergetics. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2016;1857(10):1678-93. DOI: 10.1016/j.bbabi.2016.07.008. PubMed PMID: 27473535

Table I. Cardiorespiratory fitness


	<i>Non-IR (n = 20)</i>	<i>IR (n = 35)</i>	<i>p-value</i>
VO _{2max} (l·min ⁻¹)	1.53 ± 0.27	1.51 ± 0.28	0.386
VO _{2max} (%) (%)	83.2 ± 10.2	76.1 ± 11.9	*0.015
HR _{max} (bpm)	161 ± 23	165 ± 17	0.212
PO ₂ (ml·beats ⁻¹)	9.59 ± 1.27	9.13 ± 1.33	0.111
PO _{2rel} (ml·kg·beats ⁻¹)	12.6 ± 1.4	11.0 ± 1.7	*0.001
PO _{2rel} ^{lm} (ml·kg·beats ⁻¹)	23.2 ± 2.8	21.7 ± 2.9	*0.038
W _{max} (W)	108.3 ± 27.0	110.8 ± 24.1	0.360
W _{max} % (%)	88.1 ± 24.8	88.6 ± 17.7	0.460
W _{max rel} (W·kg ⁻¹)	1.40 ± 0.08	1.29 ± 0.06	0.317

VO_{2max}: maximal oxygen consumption; VO_{2max}(%): percentage of the theoretical maximum oxygen consumption; HR_{max}: maximal heart rate; PO₂: maximal oxygen pulse; PO_{2rel}: maximal oxygen pulse relative to weight; PO_{2rel}^{lm}: maximal oxygen pulse relative to lean mass; W_{max}: maximal workload; W_{max}%: percentage of the theoretical maximum workload. Values are expressed as mean (±) standard deviation. *Student's t-test for independent samples: p < 0.05.

Table II. Logistic regression for association of IR with PO₂

<i>Model</i>	<i>OR</i>	<i>95% CI</i>	<i>p-value</i>
a) PO ₂	0.76	0.48-1.20	0.234
b) PO _{2rel}	0.47	0.30-0.74	*0.001
c) PO _{2rel} ^{lm}	0.73	0.57-0.93	*0.010

OR: odds ratio; 95% CI: confidence interval of 95%; PO₂: maximal oxygen pulse; PO_{2rel}: maximal oxygen pulse relative to weight; POM_{rel}^{lm}: maximal oxygen pulse relative to lean mass. *p-value < 0.05






Fig. 1. Sample's selection flow chart. BMI: body mass index; ACSM: American College of Sports Medicine; VO₂: oxygen consumption.




Fig. 2. Correlation between insulin sensitivity (HOMA-IR) and oxygen pulse relative to weight (PO_{2rel}) in all subjects.

**Nutrición
Hospitalaria**