

OR 1279

Cardiorespiratory fitness and fat oxidation during exercise as protective factors for insulin resistance in sedentary women with overweight or obesity

Javiera Cancino Ramírez¹⁻², Johana Soto Sánchez³, Hermann Zbinden-Foncea⁴, Manuel Moreno González⁵, Bárbara Leyton-Dinamarca⁶ and Luis González Rojas²⁻⁴

¹Magíster de Fisiología Clínica del Ejercicio. Facultad de Medicina. Universidad Mayor. Santiago, Chile. ²Centro de Tratamiento de la Obesidad. Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile. Santiago, Chile. ³Facultad de Ciencias de la Actividad Física del Deporte y Recreación. Departamento de Educación Física. Universidad de Playa Ancha. Valparaíso, Chile. ⁴Laboratorio de Ciencias del Ejercicio. Escuela de Kinesiología. Facultad de Medicina. Universidad Finis Terrae. Santiago, Chile. ⁵Departamento de Nutrición, Diabetes y Metabolismo. Facultad de Medicina. Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile. Santiago, Chile. ⁶Instituto de Nutrición y Tecnología de los Alimentos Doctor Fernando Monckeberg Barros. Universidad de Chile. Santiago, Chile

Received: 17/05/2017

Accepted: 21/12/2017

Correspondence: Luis González Rojas. Laboratorio de Ciencias del Ejercicio. Escuela de Kinesiología. Facultad de Medicina. Universidad Finis Terrae. Av. Pedro de Valdivia 1509, Santiago, Chile

e-mail: rojas.gonzalez.luis@gmail.com

DOI: 10.20960/nh.1279

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Obesity is a global pandemic and it is the biggest risk factor for death worldwide nowadays. Studies suggest that both cardiorespiratory fitness and fat oxidation in exercise are related to insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes mellitus, and they could be used as metabolic fitness markers.

Objectives: The aim of this study is to determine if cardiorespiratory fitness (VO_2) and fat oxidation during exercise are protective factors of insulin resistance (IR) in sedentary women with obesity or overweight.

Methods: Sixty women were selected for fat oxidation analysis and 55 for cardiorespiratory fitness analysis that fitted the inclusion and exclusion criteria. VO_2 , maximal fat oxidation (MFO) and the intensity where MFO is reached (FATmax) were determined through an incremental test on a cycle ergometer with gas analysis. The subjects with a Homeostatic model assessment of IR index greater or equal to 2.5 were considered as insulin-resistant. Participants were divided into 2 groups, IR group (n = 38) and Non-IR group (n = 22).

Results: $VO_{2(\%)}$ and MFO were lower in the IR group (76.1% vs. 83.2%; $p = 0.015$ and $1.08 \text{ mg} \times \text{kg}^{-1} \times \text{min}^{-1}$ vs. $1.62 \text{ mg} \times \text{kg}^{-1} \times \text{min}^{-1}$; $p = 0.044$, respectively) compared to the Non-IR group. There was an association between $VO_{2(\%)}$ and IR (OR = 0.92, $p = 0.017$) and between MFO and IR (OR = 0.52, $p = 0.035$), both models adjusted for age and body mass index.

Conclusions: $VO_{2(\%)}$ and MFO are independent protective factors for IR. No association was found between FATmax and IR.

Key words: Cardiorespiratory fitness. Lipid metabolism. Insulin resistance. Cardiopulmonary exercise test. Oxygen consumption.

RESUMEN

Introducción: la obesidad es una pandemia global y actualmente es el mayor factor de riesgo de muerte a nivel mundial. Estudios sugieren que tanto el *fitness* cardiorrespiratorio (VO_2) como la oxidación de grasas durante el ejercicio podrían ser utilizados como marcadores del *fitness* metabólico.

Objetivos: el objetivo de este estudio es determinar si el VO_2 y la oxidación de grasas durante el ejercicio son factores protectores de resistencia a la insulina en mujeres sedentarias con obesidad o sobrepeso.

Métodos: fueron seleccionadas 60 mujeres para análisis de oxidación de grasas y 55 para análisis de VO_2 que cumplieran con los criterios de inclusión y exclusión. El VO_2 , la máxima oxidación de grasas (MFO) y la intensidad donde se alcanza el MFO (FATmax) fueron determinados mediante un test incremental en cicloergómetro con análisis de gases. Los sujetos con un índice HOMA-IR

mayor o igual a 2,5 fueron considerados con resistencia a la insulina. Los participantes fueron divididos en dos grupos, IR (n = 38) y No-IR (n = 22).

Resultados: el $VO_{2(\%)}$ y la MFO fueron menores en el grupo IR en comparación al grupo No-IR (76,1% versus 83,2%; $p = 0,015$ y $1,08 \text{ mg} \times \text{kg}^{-1} \times \text{min}^{-1}$ versus $1,62 \text{ mg} \times \text{kg}^{-1} \times \text{min}^{-1}$; $p = 0,044$, respectivamente). Mediante el análisis de regresión logística se encontró una asociación entre $VO_{2(\%)}$ e IR (OR = 0,92; $p = 0,017$) y entre MFO e IR (OR = 0,52; $p = 0,035$), ambos modelos ajustados por edad e índice de masa corporal.

Conclusión: el $VO_{2(\%)}$ y la MFO son factores protectores independientes de IR. No se encontró asociación entre el FATmax y la IR.

Palabras clave: *Fitness* cardiorrespiratorio. Metabolismo de lípidos. Resistencia a la insulina. Calorimetría indirecta. Prueba de esfuerzo cardiopulmonar. Consumo de oxígeno.

INTRODUCTION

Many studies suggest that a lipids oversupply in peripheral tissues could contribute to insulin resistance (IR) development (1). Subjects with IR frequently show an abnormal lipids metabolism including an increase of free fatty acids, an elevated content of intramyocellular lipids, and an impaired mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation (1-3).

On the other hand, multiples studies have related IR with mitochondrial oxidative capacity, which, in effect, is strictly related to fat oxidation and total body oxidative capacity (4). Oxygen consumption (VO_2) is a reflex of this oxidative capacity and many authors suggest that higher values of VO_2 substantially reduce the adverse effects of obesity on morbidity and mortality (5), and that lower levels of VO_2 predispose to metabolic conditions such as IR and type 2 diabetes mellitus (6).

Just as VO_2 , maximal fat oxidation during exercise reflects mitochondrial respiration and, since their changes are related to mitochondrial fat oxidation capacity, it could be used as metabolic fitness markers (7,8).

Physical training is one of the non-pharmacological actions most used in the prevention and treatment of IR, and diverse studies have related physical fitness with insulin sensitivity (9). Nevertheless, there are no studies that determine the protective role of aerobic capacity and fat oxidation on IR. The aim of this study is to determine the protective role of cardiorespiratory fitness and fat oxidation during exercise on IR in sedentary women with obesity or overweight.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by Ethics Committee with a waiver of the need to obtain informed consent (register 15-267). All participants' data were obtained from our retrospective database at Obesity Treatment Center according to inclusion/exclusion criteria.

Patients

We identified 60 women who met the criteria shown in Figure 1 that were selected in a non-probabilistic way.

Body composition

Height was measured with a stadiometer (0.5 cm precision) and weight with a SECA digital scale (0.1 kg precision). Both assessments were performed without shoes and in light clothing. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as $\text{weight} \times \text{height}^{-2}$ ($\text{kg} \times \text{m}^{-2}$). Lean and fat mass was assessed by octopolar multifrequency bioimpedance (10) in 4 hours fasting state, without menstruation and with at least 12 hours free of exercise.

Cardiorespiratory fitness

An incremental cycle ergometer test was performed with gas analysis (Metalyzer 3B-R2, Cortex). The patients were free of exercise, alcohol, coffee, drugs or other stimulants consumption in the previous 24 hours and in a 6 hours fasting condition. Theoretical maximal load (W_t) was estimated by Jones equation in Watts (11). Protocol consisted in a 3-minutes rest period, then a 3-minutes warm up at 20% of W_t followed by 6 minutes stages at 30, 40, 50 and 60% of W_t until a respiratory exchange ratio (RER) ≥ 1 was reached. Then 1-minute stages were performed with an increase 10% of W_t until exhaustion. Verbal stimuli were allowed. The test was considered as maximal if a RER ≥ 1.1 was reached and/or if the maximal heart rate (HR_{max}) was greater or equal to the theoretical maximum predicted by Morris equation for ergometer cycle test (12). This protocol was adapted from the one proposed by Brun, Romain and Mercier (7). The next variables were calculated from the average of the final 30 seconds from the last completed stage (breath by

breath): HR_{max} in beats \times min^{-1} , maximal load in Watts (W_{max}), maximal load expressed as a percentage of W_t ($W_{max(\%)}$) and relative to body weight in watts \times kg ($W_{max\ rel}$).

The same methodology was used for determining cardiorespiratory fitness from the measurements of maximal oxygen consumption. This was expressed as an absolute value in $L \times min^{-1}$ (VO_{2max}) and as a percentage of the maximum estimated ($VO_{2max(\%)}$) according to the Wasserman and Hansen weight algorithm (13).

Fat oxidation

Frayn equations were used (14) with the average value of oxygen and carbon dioxide volumes of the last 2 minutes of every completed stage of 6 minutes. Was calculated: a) maximal fat oxidation rate (MFO), that is the maximum fat amount used during an incremental workload exercise and is expressed as an absolute value ($g \times hour^{-1}$) and relative to body weight and to fat free mass ($mg \times kg^{-1} \times min^{-1}$); and b) the intensity or workload where MFO is reached (FATmax), expressed as percentage of W_t (FATmaxW) and as a percentage of theoretical maximum oxygen consumption (FATmax VO_2) (13).

Insulin resistance

The homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) proposed by Mathews et al. (15) was used. Patients were considered with insulin resistance if they had a HOMA-IR value greater or equal to 2.5 according to the existent literature (16).

Statistical analysis

The sample was categorized into two groups according to the presence of IR. Distribution of the variables was assessed with Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Variables with normal distribution are presented as mean and standard deviation and those without normal distribution are shown as a median and in the 25-75 percentile. For comparing means between both groups a Student's t-test for independent samples was used for variables with normal distribution and a Wilcoxon test for non-normally distributed variables. For association of IR with cardiorespiratory fitness and exercise fat oxidation a stepwise logistic regression analysis was performed, adjusting by age and BMI. Data are presented as *odds ratio* and confidence interval of 95%. The goodness of fit was evaluated with a Hosmer-Lemeshow test. For all the analysis a p-value < 0.05 was considered significant. A sample size analysis revealed that a 42 subjects sample is enough to obtain

statistical power with a power of 0.8 and an alpha of 0.05 (17). Statistical analysis was performed with the computational statistics program STATA 12 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX).

RESULTS

General characteristics of the subjects are shown in table I. IR group presents a higher weight, BMI, fat mass and body fat percent in contrast to Non-IR group, whereas this last one presents a greater amount of fat-free mass.

Table II shows the differences in cardiorespiratory fitness and fat oxidation between both groups. $VO_{2max}(\%)$ and MFO were lower in the IR group in a 7.1% and 33.3%, respectively.

Table III shows logistic regression models for the association of IR with $VO_{2max}(\%)$, MFO and FATmax, adjusted by age and BMI. Models a) and b) were statistically significant and both are valid according to the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of fit test ($p = 0.056$ and $p = 0.309$, respectively).

DISCUSSION

The goal of the present study was to determine the protector role of cardiorespiratory fitness and exercise fat oxidation on IR in women with obesity or overweight. Statistically significant differences were found between both groups in $VO_{2max}(\%)$, which was lower in IR group (76.1% *versus* 83.2%; $p = 0.015$). At the same time, an association between $VO_{2max}(\%)$ and IR was found, which could indicate a possible protective role against the condition. It was determined that the increase in one unit of $VO_{2max}(\%)$ reduces the probability of presenting IR in an 8%. Although there are no studies that associate VO_{2max} with IR, the results are consistent with previous studies that describe VO_{2max} as a strong independent predictor of insulin sensitivity in non-diabetic subjects (18). In turn, this has been associated with glucose tolerance and HOMA2-IS in sedentary women with obesity (19) and with the presence of metabolic syndrome in overweight subjects (20). This has also been evidenced in adolescents with obesity, where a low VO_{2max} was related to higher insulin indexes (HOMA-IR and insulin secretion in oral glucose tolerance test) (21). Similarly, Haufe et al. (22) found that in healthy subjects with obesity, those that had a greater VO_{2max} were more sensitive to insulin, similar to that described by Messier et al. (23) and by Morris et al. (24) in postmenopausal women with obesity and in healthy subjects, respectively.

In regard to fat oxidation, no differences in FATmax were found between both groups, similar to the findings of Mogensen et al. (25) and Larsen et al. (26) in subjects with obesity with and without type 2 diabetes mellitus, and by Croci et al. in trained normal weight and overweight subjects (27).

However it was observed that the IR group had a lower MFO than the Non-IR group ($1.08 \text{ mg} \times \text{kg}^{-1} \times \text{min}^{-1}$ versus $1.62 \text{ mg} \times \text{kg}^{-1} \times \text{min}^{-1}$; $p = 0.044$). In turn, an association between MFO and IR was found that also could indicate a possible protective role against the condition.

As in the previous case, there are no studies that associate IR with MFO and they principally focus on the study of insulin sensitivity. In congruence with the results previously shown, Robinson et al. found a positive correlation between plasma insulin (28) and insulin sensitivity (29) with MFO in healthy young men.

Fat oxidation is strictly linked to mitochondrial oxidative capacity (30,31). At the same time, the mitochondrial metabolism has been related to IR (4,32). If we consider that $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$ and MFO are indirect and systemic clinical manifestations of muscular mitochondrial metabolism, it could be hypothesized that the present results might be due to a relation between mitochondrial metabolism and IR. It is necessary to mention the existence of some studies that have not found that relationship (33,34), including Lalia et al. (18) who showed a strong association between $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$ and IR, without finding an association between this last one and mitochondrial metabolism. Nevertheless, a correlation between $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$ and mitochondrial enzymatic content (35) and cytochrome c oxidase activity (36) has been described, which suggests a possible relation of the total quantity of mitochondrial respiration enzymes with oxidative muscular capacity and thus with total body oxidative capacity.

Previous researchers have evidenced an increase in MFO and $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$ through different types of training in subjects with IR and obesity (37). Studies related to the limitations of fatty acid utilization in skeletal muscle during exercise are controversial, attributing it to enzymes and/or transporters involved in the translation of intermediate metabolites and to beta oxidation (38). Training has shown improvements in the function of all components involved in healthy subjects (38,39). Therefore, physical training has risen as one of the fundamental tools in the treatment of IR (40), but it is still not possible to determine which of the many parameters modified through exercise would have a greater impact on IR.

Currently, there is much divergence in the objectives and strategies of treatment in this patients profile, so the results of the present study could be useful in trying to define more accurate strategies on IR treatment. Future studies must evaluate the variation of $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$ and MFO and its impact in the improvements in insulin sensitivity and IR.

The principal limitation of this study is the utilization of HOMA-IR instead of hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp, which is considered the gold standard for the determination of IR. Another

limitation of the study is the lack of a normal weight control group for contrasting the obtained results.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrated that $VO_{2max(\%)}$ and MFO present a protective role against IR. An increase of 1% in $VO_{2max(\%)}$ reduces the risk of IR in an 8%, whereas an increase of $1 \text{ mg} \times \text{kg}^{-1} \times \text{min}^{-1}$ in MFO reduces the risk of IR in a 48% in sedentary women with overweight or obesity. No association between FATmax and IR was found. Future studies should explain the mechanism by which an increase of VO_{2max} and of MFO can improve insulin sensitivity (considering fatty acid transporters, enzymes and metabolic variables) and how these improvements could favor the treatment of IR.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

This study was approved by the Scientific Ethics Committee (register 15-267). All procedures performed in the study were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

INFORMED CONSENT

A waiver of the need to obtain informed consent was obtained from Scientific Ethics Committee.

REFERENCES

1. Ritter O, Jelenik T, Roden M. Lipid-mediated muscle insulin resistance: different fat, different pathways? *J Mol Med (Berl)* 2015;93(8):831-43.
2. Savage DB, Petersen KF, Shulman GI. Disordered lipid metabolism and the pathogenesis of insulin resistance. *Physiol Rev* 2007;87(2):507-20.
3. Holland WL, Knotts TA, Chavez JA, Wang L-P, Hoehn KL, Summers SA. Lipid Mediators of Insulin Resistance. *Nutrition Reviews* 2007;65(6):39-46.
4. Szendroedi J, Phielix E, Roden M. The role of mitochondria in insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2012;8(2):92-103.

5. Barry VW, Baruth M, Beets MW, Durstine JL, Liu J, Blair SN. Fitness vs. fatness on all-cause mortality: a meta-analysis. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2014;56(4):382-90.
6. LaMonte MJ, Blair SN, Church TS. Physical activity and diabetes prevention. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 2005;99(3):1205-13.
7. Brun JF, Romain AJ, Mercier J. Maximal lipid oxidation during exercise (Lipoxmax): From physiological measurements to clinical applications. Facts and uncertainties. *Science & Sports* 2011;26(2):57-71.
8. Samjoo IA, Safdar A, Hamadeh MJ, Glover AW, Mocellin NJ, Santana J, et al. Markers of skeletal muscle mitochondrial function and lipid accumulation are moderately associated with the homeostasis model assessment index of insulin resistance in obese men. *PLoS One* 2013;8(6):e66322.
9. Johannsen NM, Swift DL, Lavie CJ, Earnest CP, Blair SN, Church TS. Combined Aerobic and Resistance Training Effects on Glucose Homeostasis, Fitness, and Other Major Health Indices: A Review of Current Guidelines. *Sports Med* 2016;46(12):1809-18.
10. Faria SL, Faria OP, Cardeal MD, Ito MK. Validation study of multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance with dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry among obese patients. *Obes Surg* 2014;24(9):1476-80.
11. Jones NL, Makrides L, Hitchcock C, Chypchar T, McCartney N. Normal standards for an incremental progressive cycle ergometer test. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1985;131(5):700-8.
12. Morris CK, Myers J, Froelicher VF, Kawaguchi T, Ueshima K, Hideg A. Nomogram based on metabolic equivalents and age for assessing aerobic exercise capacity in men. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1993;22(1):175-82.
13. Arena R, Myers J, Abella J, Pinkstaff S, Brubaker P, Moore B, et al. Determining the preferred percent-predicted equation for peak oxygen consumption in patients with heart failure. *Circ Heart Fail* 2009;2(2):113-20.
14. Frayn KN. Calculation of substrate oxidation rates in vivo from gaseous exchange. *J Appl Physiol Respir Environ Exerc Physiol.* 1983;55(2):628-34.
15. Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia* 1985;28(7):412-9.
16. Kuk JL, Ardern CI. Are Metabolically Normal but Obese Individuals at Lower Risk for All-Cause Mortality? *Diabetes Care* 2009;32(12):2297-9.

17. Kim MK, Reaven GM, Chen YD, Kim E, Kim SH. Hyperinsulinemia in individuals with obesity: Role of insulin clearance. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2015;23(12):2430-4.
18. Lalia AZ, Dasari S, Johnson ML, Robinson MM, Konopka AR, Distelmaier K, et al. Predictors of Whole-Body Insulin Sensitivity Across Ages and Adiposity in Adult Humans. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2016;101(2):626-34.
19. Shalev-Goldman E, McGuire KA, Ross R. Waist circumference and cardiorespiratory fitness are independently associated with glucose tolerance and insulin resistance in obese women. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2014;39(3):358-62.
20. Boule NG, Bouchard C, Tremblay A. Physical fitness and the metabolic syndrome in adults from the Quebec Family Study. *Can J Appl Physiol* 2005;30(2):140-56.
21. Maggio AB, Bou Puigdefabregas JW, Schwitzgebel VM, Chamay-Weber C, Beghetti M, Farpour-Lambert NJ. Insulin secretion response during oral glucose tolerance test is related to low cardiorespiratory fitness in obese adolescents. *J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab* 2015;28(5-6):539-44.
22. Haufe S, Engeli S, Budziarek P, Utz W, Schulz-Menger J, Hermsdorf M, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness and insulin sensitivity in overweight or obese subjects may be linked through intrahepatic lipid content. *Diabetes* 2010;59(7):1640-7.
23. Messier V, Malita FM, Rabasa-Lhoret R, Brochu M, Karelis AD. Association of cardiorespiratory fitness with insulin sensitivity in overweight and obese postmenopausal women: a Montreal Ottawa New Emerging Team study. *Metabolism* 2008;57(9):1293-8.
24. Morris C, Grada CO, Ryan M, Roche HM, De Vito G, Gibney MJ, et al. The relationship between aerobic fitness level and metabolic profiles in healthy adults. *Mol Nutr Food Res* 2013;57(7):1246-54.
25. Mogensen M, Vind BF, Hojlund K, Beck-Nielsen H, Sahlin K. Maximal lipid oxidation in patients with type 2 diabetes is normal and shows an adequate increase in response to aerobic training. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2009;11(9):874-83.
26. Larsen S, Ara I, Rabol R, Andersen JL, Boushel R, Dela F, et al. Are substrate use during exercise and mitochondrial respiratory capacity decreased in arm and leg muscle in type 2 diabetes? *Diabetologia* 2009;52(7):1400-8.
27. Croci I, Hickman IJ, Wood RE, Borrani F, Macdonald GA, Byrne NM. Fat oxidation over a range of exercise intensities: fitness versus fatness. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2014;39(12):1352-9.
28. Robinson SL, Chambers ES, Fletcher G, Wallis GA. Lipolytic Markers, Insulin and Resting Fat Oxidation are Associated with Maximal Fat Oxidation. *Int J Sports Med* 2016;37(8):607-13.

29. Robinson SL, Hattersley J, Frost GS, Chambers ES, Wallis GA. Maximal fat oxidation during exercise is positively associated with 24-hour fat oxidation and insulin sensitivity in young, healthy men. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 2015;118(11):1415-22.
30. Blomstrand E, Radegran G, Saltin B. Maximum rate of oxygen uptake by human skeletal muscle in relation to maximal activities of enzymes in the Krebs cycle. *J Physiol* 1997;501 (Pt 2):455-60.
31. Sahlin K, Mogensen M, Bagger M, Fernstrom M, Pedersen PK. The potential for mitochondrial fat oxidation in human skeletal muscle influences whole body fat oxidation during low-intensity exercise. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2007;292(1):E223-30.
32. Petersen KF, Befroy D, Dufour S, Dziura J, Ariyan C, Rothman DL, et al. Mitochondrial dysfunction in the elderly: possible role in insulin resistance. *Science* 2003;300(5622):1140-2.
33. Toledo FG, Menshikova EV, Azuma K, Radikova Z, Kelley CA, Ritov VB, et al. Mitochondrial capacity in skeletal muscle is not stimulated by weight loss despite increases in insulin action and decreases in intramyocellular lipid content. *Diabetes* 2008;57(4):987-94.
34. Hancock CR, Han DH, Chen M, Terada S, Yasuda T, Wright DC, et al. High-fat diets cause insulin resistance despite an increase in muscle mitochondria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2008;105(22):7815-20.
35. Schwerzmann K, Hoppeler H, Kayar SR, Weibel ER. Oxidative capacity of muscle and mitochondria: correlation of physiological, biochemical, and morphometric characteristics. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1989;86(5):1583-7.
36. Riou ME, Pigeon E, St-Onge J, Tremblay A, Marette A, Weisnagel SJ, et al. Predictors of cardiovascular fitness in sedentary men. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2009;34(2):99-106.
37. Lanzi S, Codecasa F, Cornacchia M, Maestrini S, Capodaglio P, Brunani A, et al. Short-term HIIT and Fat max training increase aerobic and metabolic fitness in men with class II and III obesity. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2015;23(10):1987-94.
38. Jeppesen J, Kiens B. Regulation and limitations to fatty acid oxidation during exercise. *J Physiol* 2012;590(5):1059-68.
39. Jeppesen J, Jordy AB, Sjøberg KA, Füllekrug J, Stahl A, Nybo L, et al. Enhanced fatty acid oxidation and FATP4 protein expression after endurance exercise training in human skeletal muscle. *PLoS One* 2012;7(1):e29391.
40. Bohm A, Weigert C, Staiger H, Haring HU. Exercise and diabetes: relevance and causes for response variability. *Endocrine* 2016;51(3):390-401.

Nutrición Hospitalaria

Table I. General characteristics

	No-IR (n = 22)	IR (n = 38)		p-value
Age ¹ (years)	35.4 ± 10.2	30.6 ± 8.5		0.028*
Weight ² (kg)	74.7 (69.6-81.0)	81.8 (79.1-88.8)		0.007 [†]
Height ¹ (cm)	161.9 ± 8.1		159.3 ± 5.7	0.054
BMI ² (kg × m ⁻²)	29.4 (28.1-31.8)	32.8 (30.6-35.9)		0.001 [†]
FFM ¹ (kg)	42.2 ± 5.8	42.4 ± 4.9		0.445
FFM ² (%)	53.2 (51.5-57.1)	50.1 (46.9-53.1)		0.006 [†]
FM ² (kg)	33.6 (26.6-41.3)	38.8 (35.1-42.8)		0.016 [†]
FM ¹ (%)	43.3 ± 8.4	46.8 ± 4.8		0.023*
Fasting glycemia (mg × dL ⁻¹)	84.8 ± 5.3	89.3 ± 8.0		0.022*
Fasting insulinemia (mg × dL ⁻¹)	10.1 (8.7-11.8)	19.3 (15.4-28.9)		0.000 [†]
HOMA-IR	2.1 (2.0-2.5)	4.2 (3.4- 5.8)		0.000 [†]

BMI: body mass index; FFM: fat free mass; FM: fat mass; HOMA-IR: homeostatic model assessment.

¹ Values are expressed as mean (±) standard deviation; ² Values are expressed as median (p25-75).

*Student's t test for independent samples: p < 0.05. [†]Wilcoxon test for independent samples: p < 0.05.

**Nutrición
Hospitalaria**

Table II. Cardiorespiratory fitness and fat oxidation

	No-IR (n = 22)	IR (n = 38)	p-value
VO _{2max} (L × min ⁻¹)	1.53 ± 0.27 ^b	1.51 ± 0.28 ^c	0.386
VO _{2maxrel} (mL × kg ⁻¹ × min ⁻¹)	24.3 ± 3.1 ^b	23.9 ± 3.2 ^c	0.321
VO _{2max} (%)	83.2 ± 10.2 ^b	76.1 ± 11.9 ^c	0.015*
Maximal load (W _{max})	108.3 ± 27.0 ^b	110.8 ± 24.1 ^c	0.360
Maximal load (W _{max} (%))	88.1 ± 24.8 ^b	88.6 ± 17.7 ^c	0.460
MFO (g × h ⁻¹)	7.63 ± 6.6	5.4 ± 5.0	0.076
MFO (mg × kg ⁻¹ × min ⁻¹)	1.62 ± 1.37	1.08 ± 1.01	0.044*
MFO ^a (mg × kg ⁻¹ × min ⁻¹)	3.0 ± 2.6	2.2 ± 2.0	0.073
FATmax W (%)	33.4 ± 5.7	32.1 ± 5.5	0.198
FATmax VO ₂ (%)	46.1 ± 9.0	45.3 ± 8.5	0.358

VO_{2max}: maximal oxygen consumption; MFO: maximal fat oxidation rate.

Values are expressed as mean (±) standard deviation.

^akg of fat free mass; ^bn = 20; ^cn = 35. *Student's t test for independent samples: p < 0.05

**Nutrición
Hospitalaria**

Table III. Logistic regression for association of IR with VO_{2max} and fat oxidation

<i>Model**</i>	<i>OR</i>	<i>95% CI</i>	<i>p-value</i>
a) $VO_{2max}(\%)$	0.92	0.87-0.97	0.017*
b) MFO ($mg \times kg^{-1} \times min^{-1}$)	0.52	0.28-0.96	0.035*
c) FATmax (%)	0.99	0.92-1.06	0.774

OR: *odds ratio*; 95% CI: confidence interval of 95%; VO_{2max} : maximal oxygen consumption; MFO: maximal fat oxidation rate.

*p-value < 0.05. **Model adjusted by age and BMI.

**Nutrición
Hospitalaria**




Figure 1. Sample's selection flow chart (BMI: body mass index; ACSM: American College of Sports Medicine; VO_2 : maximal oxygen consumption; MFO: maximal fat oxidation; FATmax: intensity were MFO is reached).